CARSON CITY PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS 201 North Carson Street, Suite 3 Carson City, NV 89701 775-283-7137/FAX 887-2107 http://www.carson.org/index.aspx?page=998

RFP #1314-132

January 15, 2014

Addendum No. 1

Please make the following additions/changes to the above referenced project.

As of 1/15/2014, the following questions have been received. Answers are provided in red:

- 1. Does an 11x17 page count as 1 or 2 pages (specifically for the org chart) Two
- 2. Can Carson City provide their definition of a GMP? We have performed contracts that define GMP as a fixed lump sum contract and we have performed other contracts that define GMP as a not-to-exceed price with a fee, and we have performed contracts with a definition in between these interpretations. The GMP would be Lump Sum with a schedule of values.
- 3. Is there a minimum amount of work that is required to be self-performed by the CMAR similar to standard language in NDOT or Orange Book Specifications? Must self-perform 20% of the work.
- 4. What time of contractor's license will be required, A or B? A License.
- 5. Does the scoring from the RFP response carry-over to the scoring for the interview, or are the short-listed candidates on a level playing field once the interview process starts? No, the scoring starts over with the interviews.
- 6. Is any special paperwork required for a Joint Venture? We have prepared proposals that require a completed JV Agreement, while other proposals accept a memorandum of understanding between the JV Partners? The City will require a JV agreement. This is not counted in the page count and shall be submitted as an appendix.
 - Section 9 requires insurance and bonding capacity. Is a letter from each partners bonding company referencing the individual partners bonding capacities sufficient No.
 - b. Will insurance certs from each partners insurance company be acceptable No.
- 7. Is Carson City going to be hiring an Independent Cost Estimator during preconstruction to help develop and verify the CMAR's estimate and budget? No.
- 8. Article 6, Question 3 Key Personnel on this Project is excluded from page count and shall be attached as an appendix. If we include additional information in the body of the proposal about the personnel in Question 3 Key Personnel on this Project, will it count towards the page count? Yes.
- 9. Article 6, Question 7 Litigation and Dispute History is excluded from page count and shall be attached as an appendix. If we include additional information in the body of the proposal about dispute resolution in Question 7 Litigation and Dispute History, will it count towards the page count? Yes.

- 10. Article 6, Question 8 Miscellaneous Submittal Requirements asks the applicant to include the Safety Program established. This section is excluded from page count and shall be attached as an appendix. Due to the length and proprietary nature of our Safety Program, we would prefer to provide only the Table of Contents at this time. Will this satisfy the requirement? If this does not satisfy the requirements and we need to submit the entire Safety Program Manual, can it be submitted separately and double-sided? Please submit, double sided is O.K. and can be submitted separately.
- 11. Are covers and tabs included in the page count? Covers and Tabs will not be included as long as they do not have information regarding the proposal included.
- 12. Would an indexed Table of Contents be included in the page count? No, a table of contents would not be counted.
- 13. Under ARTICLE 6 REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS, for the Carson City Wastewater Reclamation Plant Improvements proposal, item 10 is missing. Should there be an item 10, or should this be discarded? There is no item 10, it was a typing error.
- 14. The RFP mentions the City completed the Carson City Wastewater Reclamation Plant (WRP) Design Report in 2006 that identified treatment processes and modifications associated with the project. I have looked on the City's website and cannot locate it. Is it possible to get a copy or a link to it? We would like to review it if we can get a copy of it? Since the design report is old, Carson City has deviated from it in our current program and would prefer to not make it a part of the RFP process as it could cause confusion. There are parts of the report that we will sent out to the short-listed firms to help them prepare for the interview process.

End of Addendum 1