

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the December 5, 1991, Meeting  
Page 1

A regular session of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, December 5, 1991, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City Nevada, beginning at 9 a.m.

PRESENT:                      Tom Feticc                      Mayor Pro-Tem  
   Greg Smith                      Supervisor, Ward 1  
   Tom Tatro                      Supervisor, Ward 3  
   Kay Bennett                      Supervisor, Ward 4

STAFF PRESENT:    John Berkich                      City Manager  
   Kiyoshi Nishikawa                      Clerk-Recorder  
   Paul McGrath                      Sheriff  
   Bill Lewis                      Chief Juvenile Probation Officer  
   Jack Fralinger                      Public Health Director  
   Judie Fisher                      Personnel Manager  
   Charles P. Cockerill    Chief Deputy District Attorney  
   Ted Berrum                      Division Chief  
   Katherine McLaughlin                      Recording Secretary  
   (B.O.S. 12/5/91 Tape 1A-0005)

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorders office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. Roll call was taken and a quorum was present although Mayor Teixeira was absent.

**AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (1-0012)** - The Fire Chief's item would be heard before Item 4. Purchasing Agent. Item 15. Parks and Recreation Director - Action on the Policy and Fees for Camping in the City Parks was pulled. The Clerk-Recorders final item would be the Action on Appointment of a Guardianship for Warren Powers. (Tape 1A failed at this point.) Item 5. A. District Attorney - Action on Lease of 111 West Telegraph Street for the District Attorney's Office was pulled. Item 5. C. Action on Fiscal Year 90-91 Collective Bargaining Agreement Between Carson City and Carson City Fire Fighters Association was scheduled for the end of the day session.

First Baptist Church Rev. Ken de Lyser requested a moment of silence in honor of the Care Flight crew and gave the Invocation. Mr. Cockerill lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES - October 3 and 17, 1991 (1B-0088)** - Supervisor Tatro moved to approve the Minutes of October 3 and 17 as presented. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS**

**1. PROCLAMATION - ACTION ON PROCLAMATION REGARDING "NATIONAL DRUNK AND DRUGGED DRIVING AWARENESS WEEK OF DECEMBER 7-13, 1991 (1B-0101)** - Sheriff McGrath explained the request. Supervisor Tatro read the proclamation into the record and moved that the Board proclaim the week of December 7-13, 1991, as "National Drunk and Drugged Driving Awareness Week". Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**2. PERSONNEL MANAGER - Judie Fisher - ACTION ON RETIREMENT RESOLUTION FOR ANITA FOUNTAIN (1B-0201)** - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board of Supervisors adopt Resolution 1991-R-63, A RESOLUTION COMMENDING RETIREMENT, and read the resolution into the record. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc noted his involvement with her while employed at the Sheriff's

Office and wished her well in her future endeavors. Motion carried 4-0.

**CITIZEN COMMENTS (1B-0267)** - May Ruth French wished all a Happy Holiday.

**3. CHIEF JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICER - Bill Lewis - STATUS REPORT ON ACTIVITIES OF THE JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENT (1B-0262)** - Mr. Lewis reviewed his report. He and Mr. Berkich responded to the Board's questions concerning nationwide statistics; repeat offender statistics; status of the computerized referral program; referral statistics; procedures for handling sexually abused children; need for protective custody in Carson City; need for the Boys and Girls Club and its role in Carson City; need to utilize a cooperative, coordinated effort when attempting to address the gang problems and to avoid duplication of efforts in this area; School District's involvement in the Boys and Girls Club and the Hispanic Council; Reno's Childrens Cabinet; the School District's involvement in truancy problems; Douglas County's proposal to have a separate detention facility; need for regional programs; benefits received from law enforcement gang seminar; and reasons programs attempt to reach the elementary school children in an effort to curtail the gang problems. Mr. Lewis invited the Board and community to contact his office if they wish a tour or have questions on the services. No formal action was required or taken by the Board.

**4A. FIRE CHIEF - Division Chief Ted Berrum - ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - ACTION ON BILL NO. 164, AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 14.04.070 TO REVISE CERTAIN DISTRICT LIMITS FOR THE ABOVE GROUND STORAGE OF FLAMMABLE LIQUIDS IN THE PUBLIC ZONING DISTRICT (1B-1535)** - Supervisor Smith moved to adopt on second reading Ordinance No. 1991-63, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 14.04.070 (DISTRICT LIMITS--STORAGE OF FLAMMABLE LIQUIDS) OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**4B. PURCHASING AGENT - Assistant John Iratcabal.**

**A. ACTION ON REQUEST FOR FINAL PAYMENT ON CONTRACT 9091-302 - TIMBERLINE WATER TANK AND PIPELINE PROJECT (1B-1575)** - Even with the change orders, the total cost was under the next higher bidder. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board approve the request for final payment as presented by the Purchasing Assistant in the amount of \$18,501.28 to Q and D Construction Inc., 3675 Mill Street, Reno, Nevada 89510, and accept the Contract Summary as presented, the funding source is Account 520-3505. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**B. ACTION ON REQUEST FOR FINAL PAYMENT ON CONTRACT 9091-284 WASTEWATER MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION (1B-1640)** - Clarification noted the location of the shop. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board approve the request for final payment as presented by the Purchasing Assistant to D. G. Hand Construction Co., 710 North Curry Street, Carson City, and accept the Contract Summary as presented, funding source for Fiscal Year 91-92 Account No. 515-0000 and Fiscal Year 9091 Account No. 510-0000 - \$5,189.10. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**6. TREASURER - Ted P. Thornton.**

**A. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - ACTION ON BILL NO. 163 - AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE BY CARSON CITY, NEVADA, OF ITS GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) WATER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES DECEMBER 1, 1991, IN THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$4,500,000 AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO.**

**B. ACTION ON RESOLUTION AWARDING THE CARSON CITY, NEVADA, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) WATER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED**

**REVENUES), SERIES DECEMBER 1, 1991, IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$4,500,000 TO THE BEST BIDDER AND PROVIDING FOR THE MATURING DATES, THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNTS MATURING, AND THE INTEREST RATES FOR EACH MATURITY (1B-1702)** - Mr. Thornton explained the bids received this morning. The low bidder was Valley Bank of Nevada at 6.570769 percent. Bond Counsel Jennifer Stern distributed new pages to the Ordinance and Resolutions reflecting this bid. Mr. Thornton introduced Bond Counsellor Jennifer Stern and Financial Consultants Scott Nash and Marty Johnson. The annual percentage figures and true interest costs had been verified. Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt on second reading Bill No. 163, Ordinance No. 1991-64, AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CARSON CITY, NEVADA, DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "1991 WATER BOND ORDINANCE"; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ITS REGISTERED, NEGOTIABLE, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) WATER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES DECEMBER 1, 1991, IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$4,500,000; STATING THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE BONDS ARE TO BE ISSUED; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERM AND CONDITIONS THEREOF AND COVENANTS RELATING TO THE PAYMENT OF SAID BONDS AND THE HANDLING OF OF FUNDS; PROVIDING FOR THE SALE OF BONDS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF ANNUAL GENERAL (AD VALOREM) TAXES FOR THE PAYMENT OF BONDS; ADDITIONALLY SECURING THEIR PAYMENT BY A PLEDGE OF REVENUES DERIVED FROM THE WATER SYSTEM OF WHICH THE FINANCED PROJECT IS A PART; RATIFYING ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN TOWARD THE ISSUANCE OF SAID BONDS AND EFFECTING THE PURPOSE OF THEIR ISSUANCE; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Comments were solicited but none made. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Tatro - Yes; Bennett - Yes; Smith - Yes; and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc - Yes. Motion carried 4-0-1.

Following Mr. Thornton's explanation of the Resolution, Supervisor Smith moved that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1991-R-64, A RESOLUTION DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "1991 WATER BOND AWARD RESOLUTION"; PROVIDING FOR THE AWARD OF THE CARSON CITY, NEVADA, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) WATER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES DECEMBER 1, 1991, IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$4,500,000 TO THE BEST BIDDER; PROVIDING FOR THE MATURITY DATES, THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNTS MATURING AND THE INTEREST RATES FOR EACH MATURITY OF THE BONDS; AND PROVIDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE THEREOF. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Tatro - Yes; Bennett - Yes; Smith - Yes; and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc - Yes. Motion carried 4-0-1. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc then read the following from Page 73, "The presiding officer thereupon declared that a majority of the members of the Board having voted in favor thereof, said motion was carried and the resolution duly passed and adopted."

Supervisor Bennett then distributed copies to the Board and Clerk of a report on the City's outstanding bonded indebtedness and expressed her concern about the ratio allocated to the Water and Sewer Utilities.

#### **5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY - Charles P. Cockerill.**

**B. ACTION ON SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH GENERAL BUILDERS, INC. (1B-2175)** - Mr. Cockerill reviewed the history, the fiscal impact, the allocated funding, change orders, other bids, and the agreement. He then responded to Board questions concerning the amount of staff time involved in the process, estimate cost for staff time, and delay in completing the project. Mr. Berkich expressed his feeling that the project had not been delayed by the lawsuit. A Certificate of Occupancy had been issued. The final inspection had been completed. Supervisor Smith expressed his feeling that the settlement was in the best interest of the City. Supervisor Smith then moved that the Board approve and authorize the Mayor to sign the settlement agreement between General Builders, Inc., and Carson City, the fiscal impact to Carson City will be \$40,833; an explanation of that impact is \$15,000 from the Nevada Insurance Pool, \$8,686 from the Fuji Park Construction Account, and \$17,147 from the Insurance Fund. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the

following result: Bennett - No; Tatro - Yes; Smith - Yes; and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc - Yes. Motion carried 3-1-1.

BREAK: A ten minute recess was declared at 10:20 a.m. When the meeting reconvened at 10:30 a.m., a quorum was present although Mayor Teixeira was absent as noted.

**7. ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR - Mary Walker - ACTION ON ACCEPTANCE OF CARSON CITY FISCAL YEAR 90-91 COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (1B-2565) -**

Ms. Walker introduced Deloitte and Touche Representatives Bryan Merriman and Roberta Reese. Mr. Merriman reviewed the opinions on the financial statements and the City's federal financial assistance program. Comments were solicited following his review, however, none were made. Ms. Reese reviewed via slides graphs detailing the City's financial status for the last six years. Copies were given to the Board and Clerk. Ms. Walker explained that the total ending fund balance included funding already allocated for specific purposes. Overages from previous years were allocated to capital expenditures in accordance with the financial stabilization plan. She also explained that the revenue figures were within \$20,000 of the amount estimated in the budget. The financial austerity program with its cutbacks had saved the City between \$6 and \$700,000. The final budget had included a "fund equity of six percent". Discussion ensued among the Board and Ms. Walker on the SCCRT estimate included in the budget. These funds will be considered by the Board in December or January. Ms. Reese continued her comparisons and responded to Board questions on the graph reflecting the Sewer Fund Net Income for 1990. Ms. Walker explained the reasons for needing to increase the water fees by 53 cents for the Darling Water Rights and the net income graph procedures. Budget documents include the working capital and five year figures. This report includes only the net income. Mr. Merriman then expressed his feeling that the City was in great financial condition particularly when compared with other local governments. The City's financial situation in 1986 was compared to the City's current status. Mr. Merriman stressed the need to continue to analyze the economy and adjust expenditures accordingly. Supervisor Bennett suggested a video film be made by Ms. Walker explaining the City's budgets, funding, and allocations. Ms. Walker agreed and explained her original proposal for the survey. Her concept had been for a written survey which would provide graphs and an explanation of the City's financial picture, however, the telephone survey could not do this. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc felt that if an explanation of the funds were given it would help. Figures did not need to be included. Mr. Berkich commended Ms. Walker and her staff on their continued monitoring of the City's financial position and ability to make wise fiscal decisions. Ms. Walker then explained Moody's bonding rate for the City's bond was "A" which helped the City obtain a favorable interest rate today. Supervisor Bennett then moved that the Board accept the Carson City Fiscal Year 90-91 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**8. CLERK-RECORDER - Kiyoshi Nishikawa.**

**G. ACTION ON APPOINTMENT OF A GUARDIANSHIP FOR WARREN POWERS (2-0551) -**

Carson-Tahoe Hospital Life Stress Unit Representative Shawn Berry explained the request. Clarification noted that Mr. Powers has six children. The daughter who lives in the area has been involved in getting Mr. Powers in convalescent centers, however, Mr. Powers was not cooperative there. Reasons for placing him in another convalescent center were outlined. Mr. Powers is under Medicare and Medicaid assistance with the City. Supervisor Bennett then moved that the Board of Supervisors direct the Carson City Public Administrator to petition the Court to serve as the guardian pursuant to NRS 253.044 for Mr. Warren Powers. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**E. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF THE NEW SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE SCHOOL TRUSTEE BOARD (2-0742) -**

Chief Elections Clerk Anne Clancy explained the request. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1991-R-65, RESOLUTION TO CHANGE BOUNDARIES OF THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUSTEE ELECTION AREAS. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**A. ACTION ON A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DESTRUCTION OF ORIGINAL RECORDS - MARRIAGE APPLICATIONS WITH CONSENT FORMS (1-89/12/89 AND 1/90/12-90) (2-**

**0845)** - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1991-R-66, A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DESTRUCTION OF ORIGINAL RECORDS for Marriage Applications for 1989 through 1990, Numbers 95050 through 101634 and 101635 through 106942. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**B. ACTION ON RESOLUTION AMENDING MARRIAGE LICENCE BUREAU HOURS (2-0871)** - Mr. Nishikawa explained an amendment to declare the office closed on December 25th to the Resolution and requested that the Board include it in the motion. Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1991-R-67, A RESOLUTION AMENDING MARRIAGE LICENSE BUREAU HOURS as presented in the staff report with the exception that on Line 14 at the end of the phrase there be inserted "except December 25th on which day the office shall be closed". Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**D. ACTION ON APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO THE CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE (2-0910)** - Mr. Nishikawa noted the applications received by Personnel. Supervisor Tatro noted that the Board ratifies individuals nominated by others for this Committee. Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board appoint Vivian Pickett and Ron Swirczek as members of the Charter Review Commission. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**F. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING - ACTION ON AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE 2.02.215 TO AMEND AWARD BOUNDARIES FOR CARSON CITY EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1992 (2-0956)** - Supervisor Tatro explained the purpose of the Ordinance. Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board introduce Bill No. 166 on first reading, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 2.02.015 (WARD BOUNDARIES) AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

BREAK: A five minute recess was taken at 11:10 a.m. When the meeting reconvened at 11:15 a.m. a quorum was present as noted.

**9. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR** - Principal Planner Rob Joiner and Senior Planner Juan Guzman.

#### **PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRALS - REVIEW AND APPEAL ITEMS**

**A. ACTION ON V-91/92-4 REGARDING A VARIANCE APPLICATION FROM RANDY AND BRENDA TRUJILLO TO VARY FROM THE MINIMUM STREETSIDE FENCE SETBACK REQUIREMENTS ON PROPERTY ZONED SINGLE FAMILY 6000 (SF6000) LOCATED AT 2590 PANAMINT ROAD (APN 8-794-01) - PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 4-3-0-0 (2-1025)** - Mr. Joiner clarified for the record that Jim Pierce's letter had been given to the Planning Commission at the meeting. The other items included in the packet were reviewed. The Applicants' examples of variances were explained. Staff had offered to meet with the Applicants in an attempt to reach a compromise on the request, however, they had failed to do so. Reasons for staff's feeling that if the variance is approved it would establish a precedence were noted. Discussion ensued on reasons for wishing to meet with the Applicants in an attempt to reach a compromise. Mr. Trujillo then reviewed his reasons for the appeal. Two letters were purportedly given to the Board yesterday. Extra copies were given to the Board. He distributed a third letter to the Clerk and Board. He then clarified his need to have storage shed in his backyard. He then read his appeal expressing his feeling that his yard was unique in size and that the setback requirements were unreasonable as it would make the yard practically unusable. He displayed photographs of his yard. (These photographs were taken back by Mr. Trujillo at the end of the discussion.) He also used a map of his yard to explain where the storage shed would have to be placed if the request is not approved. The reason he did not wish to have a three foot fence were clarified. The four small lots in his subdivision were explained. None were as small as his. He also explained reasons he felt that the request to meet with staff and attempt to reach a compromise was not done. He felt that as his neighbors supported his request, was for a type of fence found throughout the neighborhood, was for a unique lot, and that the staff's

reasons for denying the request should be found to be in error. Discussion ensued among the Board and staff concerning the Code requirements for a three foot fence, the subjective nature of the recommendations for denial, compared the request to another fence which was not in compliance with the Code requirements, and the uniqueness of his lot. Mayor Pro-Tem Fetic urged the Applicants to meet with staff and attempt to find the necessary findings to eliminate the precedent concerns. Supervisor Bennett expressed her feeling that the staff and the Planning Commission's decisions were extremely restricted as to the issues it could consider. She expressed the hope that these restrictions could be modified. She supported the variance request. Supervisor Smith felt that the lot had special circumstances due to the limited amount of usable space. Mr. Cockerill noted that there are 6,000 square foot lots throughout the City which would have similar situations. The lot was purchased with the house as construction and the fence as indicated by staff. Self-imposed circumstances were not reasons for approval. Supervisor Tatro felt that there were many 7,000 square foot or less lots throughout the City with the same problem. Discussion ensued on the findings staff had made to deny the request. Mayor Pro-Tem Fetic explained his reasons for suggesting that the Applicants meet with staff and attempt to reach a compromise on the issues. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board continue the matter until the next regularly scheduled Board of Supervisors which would be December 19, 1991, as long as the Applicant concurs with that date. Mr. Trujillo agreed to that date. Discussion ensued on whether new information would have to be returned to the Planning Commission. Mr. Cockerill explained that as no new information was indicated at this time, it could not be determined whether this would be necessary. Mr. Trujillo agreed to the date. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**B. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - ACTION ON BILL NO. 165 (A-91/92-5) - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 18.06.059 (CONDITIONAL USES) BY ADDING BED AND BREAKFAST AS A LIMITED CONDITIONAL USE AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (2-2879)** - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt on second reading Ordinance No. 1991-65, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 18.02.059 (CONDITIONAL USES) BY ADDING BED AND BREAKFAST AS A LIMITED CONDITIONAL USE AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO. Clarification indicated the reasons for modifying the Code to allow this usage in the Single Family 6000 zone. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Comments were solicited but none made. Motion carried 4-0.

BREAK: A lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m. When the meeting reconvened at 1:30 a quorum was present although Mayor Teixeira was absent as noted.

**10. PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR** - Daniel O'Brien.

**C. UTILITY DIVISIONS** - Utility Manager Dorothy Timian-Palmer.

**i. ACTION ON UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY AND CARSON CITY JOINT FUNDING AGREEMENT FOR WATER RESOURCE MONITORING (2-2970)** - Supervisor Smith moved that the Board approve and authorize the Mayor to sign the 1991-92 Joint Funding Agreement between Carson City and the United States Geological survey for water resource monitoring covering the following: 1. Monthly water resource conditions reporting of surface and ground water at a participation cost of \$550; 2. The operation and maintenance of eleven stream gauges at a cost of \$41,825; and 3. The recharge program monitoring and coordination at a cost of \$8,550; for a total cost to the City of \$50,925. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Comments were solicited but none made. Motion carried 4-0.

**ii. UPDATE ON SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING PROGRAMS (3-0018)** - Ms. Timian-Palmer introduced Environmental Control Office Supervisor Ken Arnold and Sewer Utility Superintendent John Hastie. She used an overhead projector to display and explain the management program. (Copies were given to the Clerk and Board.) Her review included the proposed ordinance; Kleinfelder's review of the Kennedy, Jenks, Chilton Consultants (KJC) study indicates KJC's estimate of 20 years was only off by two years--18 years; effective date of Subtitle D; additional geo-technical studies needed on the landfill; projected cost of this contract;

and the current landfill inspection program. She responded to Board questions on manifest. Mr. Hastie detailed the landfill inspection program. Ms. Timian-Palmer continued her explanation with AB 320 including reasons it was not part of the proposed ordinance; status of the State's AB 320 requirements; need for commercial enterprise to be involved with recycling; and the Board's desire to have more than two meetings on the recycling program. Mr. O'Brien supported more than two meetings and noted the cost indicated in Reno for such a recycling program. Ms. Timian-Palmer felt certain staff could meet the January 1, 1993 deadline. Ms. Timian-Palmer, Mr. O'Brien, and Mr. Hastie then explained and responded to Board questions on the estimated cost of the recycling program citing Phoenix as an example; the recycling now occurring at the landfill; the starting point for the 25 percent reduction; cost to close the current site; reasons for the City's delay in starting its recycling program until the State completes its plan; recycling's ability to extend the life of the site; Subtitle B's ban on the disposal of any liquid waste effective October 9, 1993; and potential State funding. Clarification indicated that the State's letter on the towns that are in compliance had been distributed to the Board this morning.

(3-0528) Mr. Hastie and Mayor Pro-Tem Fetic explained for Edward Froelich the estimated disposal of wood waste and the program for recycling these wastes via a Susanville power plant. Ms. Timian-Palmer explained the grass recycling program. Mr. O'Brien noted California's ban on such disposal.

No formal action was taken or required.

**D. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING - ACTION ON AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 12.01.010 (DEFINITIONS), SECTION 12.01.020 (SCHEDULE OF RATES), SECTION 12.01.220 (FIRE PROTECTION), AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO INCREASING WATER RATES APPROXIMATELY 4.5 PERCENT AND PROVIDING A PROCEDURE AND RELATED FEE FOR FIRE FLOW TESTING (3-0573)** - Following Mr. O'Brien's explanation of the proposed ordinance, he responded to Board questions concerning the 4.5 percent rate increase necessary to finance the bond for the Darling water rights; the fee for fire protection; the proposed reduction in this fee; the Code establishing a fee for this service; proposed modification of the Code to assess only improved parcels; different fees discussed for this service; and the notification process. His comments stressed that the item was not agendized to remove the fire flow protection service fee. It was, however, agendized for modifying the fee. Additional Board comments were solicited but none made. Mr. O'Brien then noted that the fee was a recommendation made by an ad hoc committee and not by Mr. Guastella in his report. Members of this committee were outlined and the citizen-at-large's reasons for recommending the fee. Projected revenue at the indicated fee was noted.

(3-0925) Edward Froelich, representing a majority of the 250 individuals who would be assessed the fee, then read their letter of opposition to the fee due to the feeling that the Board was merely a rubber stamp for staff; that the City was using this Section as a means to illegally obtain or force abandonment of private wells; staff's unwillingness to work with the residents; the feeling that the fee was in fact a double taxation of the residents as each was currently paying for fire service as a portion of his/her ad valorem tax; and staff was wasting tax money on the water line extensions in rural areas. He urged the Board to retain the private wells in case a disaster occurred to the City system. These private wells would be available for public use rather than have all the eggs in one basket. He urged the Board to purchase the water rather than steal it. If the City did not change its tactics, he threatened a lawsuit. He also suggested the Board have evening meetings on such issues.

(3-1315) David Moore explained the Water Department's response to his questions on the Ordinance. He felt this was poor public relations. Clarification noted that the ordinance assessing the fee had been adopted and that the matter for action was a modification of that fee.

(3-1372) Jane Barbarisi expressed her problems with Public Works beginning one year ago when the City extended the water line in her area. Her health and fixed income were explained. The proposed fee would require her to forego necessary medical treatments.

(3-1495) Sandra Scott explained her rural residence, health problems she experienced with City water due to an allergy to chlorine, Code requirements that she could not upgrade her well, and pumping problems which occurred when the City pumped its well on South Edmonds. She questioned the City's reasons for extending the fire hydrants into her area and what benefits the residents would receive for the proposed fee.

(3-1598) Bill Kulik explained that as a resident of the Comstock Water District he had already paid for the system. The proposed fire hydrant assessment was to his thinking a double tax. He questioned whether the fee should be the same as water system users' service fee. He urged the Board to direct staff to determine the true cost to maintain the fire hydrant system.

(3-1672) Paul Pine explained that he represented Ms. Barbarisi and that the fires in her area had always been controlled by the fire tankers and had not utilized the hydrants. He felt that the system was of no benefit to the residents; that the urban area had always paid more property taxes than the developed area; that the residents had not had a voice in the installation of the fire hydrants; that the fee was unfair and unjust assessment against senior citizens and residents; and urged the Board to assess the new developments for the extended service.

(3-1785) Leslie Blair questioned why she had not been notified when the proposal was originally adopted.

(3-1810) Ms. Timian-Palmer and Mr. O'Brien responded to Carleen Kline questions on the ad hoc committee, its meetings which were posted, Board action on its proposals, delay in bringing the fee back to the Board, and the committee's composition. Ms. Kline felt that the committee and its recommendations had addressed many issues and that this proposal was probably lost in the notification process. She had also contacted Public Works and was purportedly informed that the City did not wish to have residents on wells as the City is unable to monitor private water usage. This created problems in projecting growth.

(3-1990) Mr. Pine expressed his feeling that the response to Ms. Kline's question had indicated that private well users had not been on the committee, therefore, it was not a "representative cross group of the residents". Ms. Timian-Palmer expressed her feeling that the City had not been aware of the proposed fee assessment when the committee members were selected. She felt that one committee member may have been on a well. Mr. Froelich felt that well owners had not been represented. This meeting would provide this group of individuals to unite and present facts to the Board concerning its staff. Supervisor Smith responded by expressing his feeling that the Board and staff were not adversaries. He then expressed his feeling that notification may not have been appropriate when the original proposal was considered by the Board.

Supervisor Bennett acknowledged his point and commended the public on its presentation. Her concern about the comments regarding staff's response to the public as well as the potential subterfuge issues indicating the Water Department's desire to coerce all of the well users to join the municipal system was stressed. She felt these issues showed a lack of communication and should be addressed. She also stressed her feeling that the Board was considering two separate issues--one for the 4.5 percent rate increase, two for the fire hydrant fee--an issue which was buried among other items. Mr. Cockerill responded by explaining staff's attempt to modify the ordinance regarding rates which was not an attempt to be deceptive. He urged the Board to direct staff as to how the noticing should be handled as the notifications had been done in accordance with the policy and legal requirements. Mr. Berkich pointed out that staff's proposal was to reduce a fee which should have been adopted in April. Mayor Pro-Tem Fettic explained his involvement in the original process. At no time had the indicated issue been considered one for individual well owners. The concept to assess well owners for fire protection was outlined. He stressed that staff was only following out the Board's direction. He questioned the actual cost of the service. Mr. O'Brien responded by explaining the study which determined that \$383,350 of the water service was related to the fire service capacity and hydrants. Twenty-two thousand dollars of this amount is recovered through private fire service charges. The remaining balance is to be shared by all the users of the water system. The rate study utilized 10,500 customers for this service. Public Works assumes 15 percent of the residents were not connected to the water service, however, in actuality this was only 250 residences. The report then determined that there are 12,350 beneficiaries who should share the \$362,000 cost rather than the current 10,500 water users. The proposal was to have all the users share equally in the \$362,000 cost. Clarification by Supervisor Tatro indicated that this would



CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the December 5, 1991, Meeting  
Page 9

require an annual fee of \$30.

(3-2717) Dave Morgan expressed his feeling that his ad valorem taxes were for the same fire protection service. Supervisor Tatro responded by explaining that the water utility was an enterprise account and did not share in the ad valorem rates.

Ms. Timian-Palmer then explained that the Comstock District residents would not have to pay the connection fees when coming onto the system as their assessment had included this fee. Further clarification indicated that the annual fee should be \$30 plus the billing costs. The billing cost was an unknown figure.

(3-2799) Mr. Kulik expressed his feeling that the figure of half of the water service charge did not compute. Discussion ensued between Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc and Mr. Kulik on his statement and the purpose of the agenda item.

(3-2865) Louie Rowan noted that his neighbor had not received a letter which indicated to him that his neighbor would not be charged. Ms. Timian-Palmer responded that even though the line may run in front of the property, the ordinance stipulated that the measurements be from the fire hydrant. Reasons for the 250 foot limit were outlined.

Clarification between the staff and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc indicated that the theory was one of assessing a fair share cost for a service being provided. Discussion ensued concerning the need to implement the 4.5 percent rate increase and that a motion could delete the section concerning the fire service fee from the ordinance. Necessary modifications to the proposed ordinance were discussed between the Board and staff. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc expressed his desire to have staff meet with Supervisor Tatro and develop the appropriate motion. He then called for a ten minute recess.

BREAK: At 3 p.m. a ten minute recess was declared. When the meeting reconvened at 3:10 p.m. a quorum was present although Mayor Teixeira was absent as noted.

(4-0110) Supervisor Tatro then expressed his feeling that the original concept had neglected to consider all the angles even though an attempt had been made to consider all issues. For this failure he apologized. The purpose of public hearings was to allow the public to voice concerns when an oversight of this nature occurs. He then outlined a proposed motion which would approve the proposed ordinance and delete the section related to parcels within 250 feet of any in-service City maintained fire hydrant be charged a monthly service fee. Ms. Timian-Palmer then reviewed the fee for industrial and commercial fire protection testing provided by the Water Utility also included in the ordinance. Clarification indicated that this was a new charge assessed against developers and new users for a service needed to bring the firm on line. Supervisor Tatro then moved to introduce on first reading Bill No. 167, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 12.01.010 - DEFINITIONS, SECTION 12.01.020 - SCHEDULE OF RATES, SECTION 12.01.220 - FIRE PROTECTION , AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO, including increasing water rates approximately 4.5 percent and providing a procedure and related fees for fire flow testing and amending the ordinance from what was presented by staff, on Page 7, Section 3, deleting in its entirety what is currently headed Paragraph 2 and by placing a bracket behind the period after number 2 on Line 28, and by putting a bracket at the end of Line 38 to effectively delete all language contained between those brackets, and at Line 39 deleting the number 3 and replacing it with number 2, all else will remain the same. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc then read the language being deleted. Mr. O'Brien then explained the ordinance would be again heard on December 19 and that billings would not be sent out on January 1 for the fire service provided residents on wells within 250 feet of a fire hydrant. Clarification indicated that the Board could agenda at a future date the issue of assessing a fee for fire service to those residents. Motion to introduce on first reading Bill 167 as amended was voted by roll call with the following result: Bennett - Yes; Smith - Yes; Tatro - Yes; and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc - Yes. Motion carried 4-0-1. Mr. Berkich commended all for their presentations and attendance. He then requested all individuals who had experienced negative treatment from staff to contact him, Mr. O'Brien, or Ms. Timian-Palmer. Mr. O'Brien requested anyone with such a situation to obtain a name.

BREAK: A five minute recess was declared at 3:20 p.m. When the meeting reconvened at 3:25 p.m. a quorum was present as previously noted.

**5. C. ACTION ON FISCAL YEAR 90-91 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND CARSON CITY FIRE FIGHTERS ASSOCIATION (4-0355)** - Following Mr. Cockerill's review of the negotiation process, arbitration, fiscal impact, and the final agreement, Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc requested Board comments. None were made. Carson City Fire Fighters Association President David Park then expressed his desire to have the Board actively participate in the negotiations particularly in view of the fact that the City is currently six months into the 91-92 fiscal year and the Association does not have a contract. Clarification ensued on the 56 hourly personnel and range for the Association. Supervisor Smith supported the need for additional Board involvement in the negotiations. Supervisor Smith then moved that the Board approve and authorize the Mayor to sign Fiscal Year 1990-1991 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Carson City and Carson City Firefighters Association. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion and expressed her reluctance as a policy maker to become involved with management situations. Mayor Pro-Tem ruled that this issue should be agendaized for discussion at a future meeting. The motion to approve the agreement was voted and carried 4-0-1.

Discussion ensued on whether the Board should discuss Mr. Park's request. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc again requested Supervisor Bennett agendaize the issue.

**10. A. ACTION ON WATER LINE AGREEMENT BETWEEN JOHN SERPA AND CARSON CITY (4-0605)** - Clarification indicated Paragraph 6 would require Mr. Serpa to pay connection fees and user charges. Benefits of the pressure zone created by the connection would not be assessed in accordance with Page 2, Paragraph 2, Lines 16 through 18. Supervisor Smith also noted that the agreement allowed a benefit for both parties and was not giving away something to Mr. Serpa. Supervisor Smith then moved that the Board approve the water line agreement between John Serpa and Carson City. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**B. REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION ITEM - STATUS REPORT ON REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PROJECTS (4-0695)** - City Engineer Tim Homann and Senior Engineer Harvey Brotzman reviewed RTC projects and responded to Board questions on the master plan; priorities; scheduling of and the improvements to Roop Street between Little Lane and Evelyn; State development plans in this area which may change the schedule for the Roop improvements; need for improvements to Industrial; need for additional funding and funding sources; attempts to block and plans to block traffic on Saliman when construction shuts down for the day and winter; status of the Saliman Street improvements and Graves from 395 to Coombs Canyon; access for residents on Hillview; the comprehensive computerized signalization program for Roop, William, Stewart, and Carson; the need for a standard signage program; NDOT's 1995 projected traffic count for Little Lane; and need for a stop sign at Silver Sage and Clearview. During the discussion Mr. O'Brien explained the progress on obtaining a right-of-way for Graves Lane extension and potential for an NDOT-City joint participation for signalization of the Graves-395 intersection. Mr. O'Brien stressed the need for appropriate "warrants" before modifying signage. He requested the Board advise him of any inconsistencies in the signage and noted that Lompa and Edmonds had been addressed. Discussion then ensued on whether RTC funding could or should be used for public transportation. Mr. Berkich then explained that NDOT has applied for a grant to update a 1987 study. Once this is accomplished a feasibility study will be conducted. The commuter bus service from Reno to Carson City may commence in January. Supervisor Bennett directed Mr. Brotzman to schedule the public transportation issue for discussion at the January RTC meeting. Discussion ensued on the work involved in doing a study of the need for a stop sign at Silver Sage and Clearview; the traffic study required from Walmart and for new development; NDOT's traffic study of Carson Street and proposed speed modifications between 50 and Colorado; traffic study plans for Lompa Lane; status of the computerized traffic study program; and needed changes at Fairview and Edmonds. No formal action was required or taken by the Board.

**11. PARKS AND RECREATION DIRECTOR** - Steve Kastens.

**A. PRESENTATION AND STATUS REPORT ON GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION (4-2005)** - (NOTE: Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc passed the gavel to Supervisor Bennett at 4:15 p.m. and stepped from the room. He returned at 4:20 p.m. and took back the gavel. A quorum was present during his absence.) Mr. Kastens reviewed his status, goals and objectives report. He then responded to Board questions concerning the State's plans for enforcement of the parking restrictions along Highway 28 at Lake Tahoe; acknowledged TRPA's involvement with same as pointed out by Supervisor Bennett; and agreed to attend TRPA's December 12th meeting. Mr. Kastens then continued his review. Supervisor Bennett explained the status of a second median and requested he participate with the committee. Mr. Kastens also reported on the model airplane runway, which had been constructed at a "substantial" savings. Supervisor Bennett echoed his accolades on the runway. Mr. Kastens' comments also noted the increased Parks and Recreation Commission activities and commended the members on their participation; the increased youth activities; rest period for Edmonds park, Centennial and Governors Field. Supervisor Smith commended him on his report. Mr. Kastens expressed his feeling that there had not been any recent pump problems at the indoor pool. (4-2925) May Ruth French requested any cost savings occurring at the model airplane runway be used for the Roberts House. No action was taken or required by the Board.

**B. PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION REFERRALS**

**i. ACTION ON RECOMMENDATION TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE CARSON CITY RAILROAD ASSOCIATION (4-2957)** - Discussion ensued between the Board and Mr. Kastens on the Association's fiscal impact if the train does not run at all during the construction period and the two weekends which may reimburse the liability insurance cost. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board approve the Parks and Recreation Commission's recommendation to amend the agreement between the City and the Carson City Railroad Association; the amendment will be to waive the ten percent portion the City collects from the Association up to and not exceeding the cost of the liability insurance; this amendment will only be in effect for calendar year 1992 and is conditioned upon the City's successful negotiations with the contractor for the right to operate the train during construction. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**C. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ON ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION FOR THE ARCHITECT FOR THE MILLS PARK EVENTS CENTER (5-0065)** - Mr. Kastens read Mayor Teixeira's letter into the record. A copy is in the supporting documentation. Discussion ensued among the Board and staff concerning whether the bid requests should include alternatives to the fabric roof, the delay failure to have alternatives included would create if the bids are over the estimate, and sprinkler requirements for different roofing materials. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc passed the gavel to Supervisor Bennett and moved that the Board approve an additional appropriation for the Architect for Mills Park Events Center to explore the metal covered roof in an amount of \$6,000. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Tatro - Yes; Bennett - No; Smith - Yes, and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc - Yes. Motion carried 3-1. Following the vote the gavel was returned to Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc.

**12. CITY MANAGER REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS, AND BOARD DIRECTIVES** - John Berkich.

**I. MAINTENANCE OF CLEAR CREEK ROAD (5-0285)** - Carson City's abandonment of its share of the road, the current maintenance by NDOT, NDOT's desire to discontinue maintenance, need for improvements on Clear Creek Road, the inter-governmental committee's direction to the respective agencies to determine the improvements and estimates necessary to improve it, and the current lack of funding by any of these agencies. Future meeting(s) will determine the agency which will undertake the improvements. A majority of the residents live in Carson City. (5-0382) Robert Schills explained his representation of his neighbors and reason for attending the hearing. Comments by these neighbors would be made after an evaluation of the needs and costs. No formal action was taken or required on this item.

**F. LONE MOUNTAIN CEMETERY; G. DEFINITION OF PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT AND COMMISSION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES (5-0401) - Pulled.**

**E. POLICY, PROCEDURE, AND PAMPHLET REGARDING SPECIAL EVENTS WITHIN THE CITY (5-0410)** - Deputy City Manager Pat Sorenson reviewed the sample pamphlet and reasons for having one. (A copy is included in the supporting documentation.) Discussion included the individuals involved in its preparation and need for a questionnaire to determine its shortcomings. Supervisor Smith expressed his support for the pamphlet and commended staff on it. No formal action was required or taken on this item.

**H. MOVEMENT OF THE BUILDING AND SAFETY DIVISION FROM COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT TO PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (5-0655)** - Mr. O'Brien explained a conceptual drawing of the floor plan for the relocation of the Public Works Department to the area east of Community Development. This is the final impediment to implementation of the "one stop shop" program directed previously by the Board. Under the proposal the City would be responsible for relocating Mr. Hadden's firm to the suite further east, move the Parks and Recreation Commission and Conference Room 67 to Public Works' current area, close the breezeway, isolate the Utility Billing service from the Public Works/Community Development activities, and require an amendment to the building lease. RTC and Utility Billings' leasing costs were noted. Discussion ensued on Mr. Hadden's cooperation, the relocation of Planning/Community Development and the Conference Room, benefits of the concept, and proposed plan check procedures. No formal action was required or taken on this item.

**A. HISPANIC COUNCIL; B. CHANGEMASTERS; C. PRO-ACTIVE HOUSING INSPECTION PROGRAM; AND D. LANDFILL OPERATIONS ALONG THE CARSON RIVER** - None.

**13. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS, AND PROCLAMATIONS**

**A. MAYOR TEIXEIRA; B. SUPERVISOR SMITH (5-1131)** - None.

**D. SUPERVISOR TATRO (5-1135)** - His appointment to the Boys and Girls Club of Western Nevada for Carson City Board of Directors was explained. He then explained a suggestion he had been given which would utilize the Veterinarians to handle the selling of dog licenses.

**E. SUPERVISOR BENNETT (5-1196)** - Announced the Grand Opening of the second phase of Carson-Tahoe Hospital building program and invited the Board and public to attend.

Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc then recessed the Board of Supervisors and immediately convened the Redevelopment Authority. For Minutes of the Redevelopment Authority, see its folder. Following adjournment of the Redevelopment Authority, at 5:25 p.m. Chairperson Feticc reconvened the Board of Supervisors and immediately recessed the meeting until 6 p.m. At 6 p.m. a quorum of the Board was present although Mayor Teixeira was absent as noted previously. Staff members present included City Manager Berkich, Clerk-Recorder Nishikawa, Deputy District Attorney Suglia, and Recording Secretary McLaughlin. (5-1260)

**14. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ON THE PHYSICAL FEASIBILITY OF THE PROPOSED V AND T RAILROAD PROJECT** - Supervisor Tatro began the session by outlining the history of the project, its opposition, and current lack of a majority of the Board's support. He felt that in view of this lack of support the project should be abandoned. Supervisor Bennett requested the report be presented. Mr. Berkich then reviewed staff's evaluation of the engineering feasibility and outlined the previous staff reports. The feasibility report indicated that with adequate funding all engineering issues could be addressed. The budget had allocated \$200,000 for this purpose. This report indicated this was an appropriate allocation. Traffic and parking issues would have to be addressed in the process but would not flaw the feasibility report. Discussion ensued concerning Board direction and outstanding issues.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the December 5, 1991, Meeting  
Page 13

(5-1601) May Ruth French demanded an accountability of staff time and costs incurred on this project. Her comments included a notation that the State Transportation report had indicated traffic conditions in the proposed area made it infeasible and Kurt Brown's statements concerning the reasons New Orleans' railroad project should not be used as a comparison. She urged the Board to place the issue on the ballot as it currently is and abandon all studies.

(5-1675) Mary Ann Bennett presented a copy of her statement to the Board and Clerk. She then read the statement into record requesting an itemized fiscal accounting of all costs incurred by Carson City for this project. Mr. Berkich acknowledged the request and noted that this would take time to accumulate. The report would be presented as soon as possible. Ms. Bennett requested that staff time taken to assimilate this information also be included in the report.

Supervisor Bennett requested that the report also include number and amount of volunteer time and funding also dedicated to this project. Supervisor Smith then expressed his reluctance to see the project abandoned as it may prohibit others from coming forward with possible incentives. Supervisor Tatro questioned the motives behind the request and suggested that estimates be utilized rather than allocate additional time to the project. Supervisor Bennett elaborated on her reluctance to abandon the project due to the merit and value she saw in it. She hoped that similar visions and ideas would not be abandoned due to action taken on this project. She cited the golf course expansion program and the Events Center as examples of ideas and visions which were valuable to the community. She commended the individuals who had dedicated so much time and energy to the project. She urged the opposition to come forward with an alternative.

(5-2025) Mary Ann Bennett responded by expressing her feeling that the Rotary's concept was good, however, her position had been based on the fixed rails only.

(5-2039) Ms. French expressed her opposition to any project which would ultimately cost the taxpayers. She felt projects which are supported by the public without tax monies should go forward. She felt that the expenditure of tax monies should be a ballot question.

Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc acknowledged the issues which had been presented. He, too, questioned the reasons for the accounting request. Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board direct the City Manager to discontinue all pursuit of the V and T project for a fixed rail and notify the Convention and Visitors Bureau of the Board's decision. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Board comments were solicited but none made. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Bennett - No; Smith - Yes; Tatro - Yes; and Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc - Yes. Motion carried 3-1.

**CITIZEN COMMENTS (5-2150)** - Peggy Stanley commended the Board on its acceptance of the "heat" on this project. She felt that the process had accomplished several things, including her personal involvement. She expressed a willingness to be involved with the future downtown projects.

Supervisor Tatro moved to adjourn. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0. Mayor Pro-Tem Feticc adjourned the meeting at 6:30 p.m.

The Minutes of the December 5, 1991, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON January 16, 1992.

---

Marv Teixeira, Mayor

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the December 5, 1991, Meeting  
Page 14

ATTEST:

---

Kiyoshi Nishikawa, Clerk-Recorder