Carson Range

Multi-Jurisdictional Fuel Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Strategy

With Addendum

United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service

Nevada Division of Forestry

Nevada Division of State Lands

Nevada Division of State Parks

Nevada Fire Safe Council

Carson City – Parks and Recreation, Open Space Commission

Carson City Fire Department

Washoe County, NV

Douglas County, NV

Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California

Sierra Fire Protection District

City of Reno Fire Department

Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District

Whittell Forest, University of Nevada, Reno

East Fork Fire and Paramedic Protection District

For More Information Contact:

Amanda Brinnand
USDA Forest Service
Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest
Carson & Bridgeport Ranger Districts
(775) 884-8142

United States Department of Agriculture | Forest Service | Intermountain Region | 324 25th Street
Ogden, UT 84401
801-625-5605

File Code: 5150
Date: January 30, 2008

Ron Wenker
Nevada State Director
Bureau of Land Management
1340 Financial Blvd.
PO Box 12000
Reno, NV 89520

Dear Mr. Wenker:

I am pleased to announce that the Carson Range Multi-jurisdictional Comprehensive Fuels Reduction & Wildfire Prevention Strategy is complete and approved. This strategy encompasses those portions of Washoe, Carson City, and Douglas counties in western Nevada along the Carson Front. This strategy was directed to be developed by the White Pine County Conservation, Recreation and Development Act of 2006 (P.L. 109-432) which amended the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998.

This strategy represents culmination of many months and long hours of collaboration by 15 federal, state and local agencies in western Nevada, including the Nevada Fire Safe Council which represents the private land owners within the Carson Range. This strategy will form the foundation of fuels treatments for all the agencies for the next 10 years, including projects proposed for funding under Round 8.

Each of the 15 agencies has reviewed the strategy, and concur that the strategy is complete and meets their expectations. Therefore, it is my pleasure to approve the plan, and notify you of its completion.

Sincerely,

/s/ Cathrine L. Beaty (for)
HARV FORSGREN
Regional Forester

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... **III**

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION **1**

PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN 1
AGENCIES INVOLVED OR CONSULTED 1
COLLABORATIVE PROCESS 2
ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 2

SECTION 2: WILDLAND FUEL REDUCTION PROJECTS **4**

CURRENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS 4
PROPOSED PROJECTS 4
PRESCRIPTIONS AND TREATMENT METHODOLOGIES 8
Prescriptions 8
Treatment Methodology 16

SECTION 3: PROPOSED PROJECT PRIORITY **19**

SECTION 4: PROPOSED PROJECT COSTS **21**

IMPLEMENTATION COSTS 21
PLANNING COSTS 22
TOTAL COSTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECTS 22

SECTION 5: UTILIZATION POTENTIAL **24**

BIOMASS 24
Support for Biomass 24
Availability of Biomass 25
Existing Demand for Biomass 26
FIREWOOD AND CHRISTMAS TREES 26
SMALL LOGS 27
LARGE LOGS 27

SECTION 6: VALUES AT RISK **28**

COMMUNITIES, SAFETY, AND INFRASTRUCTURE 28
MUNICIPAL WATERSHEDS 29
SCENIC AND INTRINSIC VALUES 30
FOREST AND ECOSYSTEM HEALTH 31

SECTION 7: PROPOSED PROJECT PREDICTED OUTCOMES **32**

CURRENT CONDITION 32
Background 32
Fire History and Occurrence 33
Current Vegetative Conditions and Fire Regimes 36
Current Wildfire Potential 39
Desired Conditions 45
PREDICTED OUTCOMES 47

SECTION 8: ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS AND COMPLIANCE **53**

NATIONAL POLICIES AND REGULATIONS 53
The National Fire Plan and 10-Year Comprehensive Strategy 53
National Environmental Policy Act 53
The Healthy Forest Restoration Act (H.R. 1904, December 2003) 54
REGIONAL POLICIES AND REGULATIONS 54
Toiyabe National Forest Land Management Plan 54

Nevada Division of Forestry NRS 528 54
Nevada Revised Statutes 472.041 and Carson City Municipal Code, Title 14, Chapter 14.02 54
AGENCY REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITY 54
Land Management Agencies 55
Regulatory Agencies 56

SECTION 9: PUBLIC EDUCATION AND WILDFIRE PREVENTION PLANS 56

SECTION 10: CONCLUSIONS 58

PREPARERS 59

PLANNING CADRE MEMBERS 59

ADDENDUM 61

APPENDIX A – BIOMASS FEDERAL/STATE POLICIES 64

APPENDIX B – COOPERATING AGENCY LETTERS OF SUPPORT 65

List of Tables

Table 1. Summary of roles and responsibilities of agencies and individuals to implement the strategy 3
Table 2. Priority projects (acres) and schedule by county 19
Table 3. Implementation costs in the Carson Range and adjacent communities 21
Table 4. Ten-year projected costs for first and second entry/maintenance treatments 23
Table 5. Demand for biomass in and near the Carson Range 26
Table 6. Existing vegetation types within the Carson analysis area 36
Table 7. Desired wildland fuel conditions 45

List of Figures

Figure 1. Carson Range strategic planning area vii
Figure 2. Example community wildfire protection plan incorporated in this plan 5
Figure 3. Acres of fuel reduction projects completed by jurisdiction from 2000 to present 6
Figure 4. Percent and acres of proposed projects lead by each jurisdiction 7
Figure 5a. Proposed treatments within the northern portion of Washoe County 9
Figure 5b. Proposed treatments within the southern portion of Washoe County 10
Figure 6. Proposed treatments within Carson City 11
Figure 7a. Proposed treatments within the northern portion of Douglas County 12
Figure 7b. Proposed treatments within the southern portion of Douglas County 13
Figure 8. Wildland-urban interface areas in the Carson Range strategic planning area 14
Figure 9. Example community project zone 15
Figure 10. Computer simulation of a SPLAT treatment 16
Figure 11. Priority and scheduling of projects 20
Figure 12. Wildfire acres burned in the Carson Range area by decade 33
Figure 13. Bark beetle infestation areas in the Carson Range 34
Figure 14. Historic fire history and ignitions in the Carson Range area 35
Figure 15. Existing fire regime condition classes 41
Figure 16. Potential flame lengths before treatments 42
Figure 17. Existing potential for crown fire 43
Figure 18. Existing potential for rate of fire spread 44
Figure 19. Modeling outcome for flame length in the Hunter Fire scenario 48
Figure 20. Modeling outcome for rate of spread in the Hunter Fire scenario 48
Figure 21. Modeling outcome for fire type in the Hunter Fire scenario 48
Figure 22. Predicted flame lengths following treatment 49
Figure 23. Predicted crown fire following treatment 50
Figure 24. Predicted rate of spread following treatments 51