

A special meeting of the Carson City city Board of Supervisors was held on Tuesday, May 16, 1989, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East Williams Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 7 p.m.

PRESENT: Marv Teixeira Mayor
 Marilee Chirila Supervisor, Ward 3
 Ron Swirczek Supervisor, Ward 1
 Tom Fettic Supervisor, Ward 2
 Kay Bennett Supervisor, Ward 4

STAFF PRESENT: L. H. Hamilton City Manager
 Mike Rody Deputy City Manager
 Mary Walker Finance Director
 Charles P. Cockerill Chief Deputy District Attorney
 Katherine McLaughlin Recording Secretary
 (B.O.S. 5/16/89 Tape 1-0001)

Mayor Teixeira called the meeting to order at 7 p.m. Supervisor Chirila lead the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll call was taken and a quorum was present as noted.

PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING THE FISCAL YEAR 1989-90 BUDGET--DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE FOLLOWING:

SIERRA FOREST FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (1-0025) - Western Regional Forester David Freitas distributed copies of his report to the Board and Clerk. The only changes in the budget from the previous year were the inclusion of SCRRT tax and the reduction in the ad valorem rate. Discussion ensued concerning the part-time seasonal employee for the Clark McNary District and the benefits of belonging to the State program. Supervisor Fettic moved to approve the budget for the Sierra Forest Fire Protection District in the amount of \$104,396. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

SENIOR CITIZENS' CENTER - ACTION ON TRANSFER FROM CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT TO SITE PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (1-0224) - Executive Director Pat Blake explained the request. Supervisor Swirczek began a motion to approve the transfer. Supervisor Bennett explained the request's review process. Supervisor Swirczek then moved that the Board approve the transfer from the Contingency Account to Site Preparation and Construction Management Account for the beginning construction costs, which is in the amount of \$17,956.51, which refers to the Senior Citizens Center Fund 615 Account Number 59947910. Supervisor Fettic seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

SENIOR CITIZENS' CENTER (1-0287) - Ms. Blake then explained the budget including the changes and the supplemental. Ms. Walker explained how the supplemental could be handled. Supervisor Bennett expressed her support for the request to upgrade the Account Clerk and directed that the upgrade be made. Discussion ensued concerning the budget process for the Center. Mayor

Teixeira also directed that the upgrade be addressed. Ms. Blake then explained the supplemental request to modify the Director's grade. Supervisor Fettic directed the Director's grade be modified. Ms. Walker then explained the changes in the Senior Citizens budget. Ms. Blake explained the line items in Services and Supplies and Operating Contingencies. Discussion stressed that the ten year plan matched the budget.

(1-0655) Senior Citizen Governing Board Member Bruce Scott expressed his optimism about the program, its progress, and the desire to remain within the specified budget. He then explained personnel problems due to the difference between City salaries and those paid by the Center. Alternatives will be discussed with the Board in the near future.

REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (1-0724) - Redevelopment Representative Steve Hartman briefly reviewed the budget and outlined the improvements which had been undertaken. The augmentations were still being made. Discussion ensued concerning the need to solicit letters of interest and replace two members who had resigned. Discussion also noted the high school students' paintings on the FitzHenry Mortuary building. The Board commended Mr. Hartman and the students for their effort. Supervisor Bennett moved that the final budget for the Carson City Redevelopment Authority be accepted in the amount of \$107,578. Supervisor Fettic seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

PERSONNEL (1-1022) - Personnel Manager Judie Fisher briefly reviewed her budget and supplementals. Mayor Teixeira directed that her request for a secretary be added to the wish list.

AIRPORT (1-1168) - Deputy City Manager Mike Rody reviewed this budget in detail and noted his supplementals, which were withdrawn due to pending legislation on establishing the Airport Authority. Discussion ensued concerning the City's responsibility for the water and sewer charges of the terminal building, the ending fund balance, and the grant process. The budget included \$10,000 for Mr. Rody's services.

WELFARE (1-1539) - Mr. Rody explained the personnel, services, statutory requirements of the Department, and budget. Discussion ensued concerning the shortfall which was occurring in the current budget, the supplemental indigent care program, and pending legislation. Supervisor Bennett requested the Secretary III for the Deputy City Manager be added to the wish list.

SUPPLEMENTAL INDIGENT (1-1755) - Mr. Rody explained the budget and pending legislation. Supervisor Bennett noted the financial burdens which would be created by medical expenses in the future.

INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION (1-1893) - Mr. Rody explained the personnel, budget increases, and his travel expenses.

INSURANCE (1-1947) - Mr. Rody explained the insurance premium, claims, and

the cost to insure the City. Reasons for cities maintaining their own insurance reserve were discussed.

GROUP MEDICAL INSURANCE (1-2121) - The health insurance premium, employee costs, SIIS claims, other options for employee coverage were discussed.

STATE INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE (1-2297) - Mr. Rody explained the SIIS increase, the basic premium, projected liability, and previous years' coverages. Refunds are returned to the General Fund.

STATE MEDICAL INDIGENT (1-2449) - This mandated coverage was discussed.

BREAK: A ten minute recess was taken at 8:20 p.m. When the meeting reconvened at 8:30 p.m. the entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - WATER (1-2527) - Public Works Director Dan O'Brien briefly outlined the budgets and requested personnel changes. Mr. O'Brien, Water Engineer Dorothy Timian-Palmer and Water Maintenance Superintendent Tom Hoffert outlined in detail the reasons for making the personnel changes and responded to Board questions related thereto. Emphasis was placed on the revenue which would be generated by the meter replacement program and decreased utilization of the consortium and other consulting engineers. Reasons for originally having a consortium were included in the discussion. Discussion also noted a water problem in the college area and a solution to it. It was pointed that the change in title from Water Engineer to Water Manager would require an Ordinance change. Discussion ensued with Ms. Walker concerning the decision to not increase the water user rates at this time as well as the financing plans for the various projects. The original plan would have utilized the State Water Board, however, there has been a delay in finalizing funding for the Board. Therefore, Ms. Walker recommended short-term financing for \$1 million until the Board is finalized. Her explanation included cautions about IRS regulations on bank eligibility for financing. Mr. O'Brien also pointed out the treatment reserve established to meet the Clean Water Act requirements in the next fiscal budget. Discussion ensued concerning these requirements and the need for Federal assistance to meet them. Mr. O'Brien and Ms. Timian-Palmer then detailed the projects. The Marlette plan was discussed at length. The benefits of the USGS monitoring system in Vicee Canyon were noted. Discussion also pointed out that for the first time ever the water tanks were being filled including one occasion when they almost overflowed. Comments commended Ms. Timian-Palmer, her staff, and Charles Greer of Finance on their work.

REVIEW AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON CHANGE IN THE ALLOCATION OF THE CURRENT TWO CENT ROOM TAX FOR THE EAGLE VALLEY GOLF COURSE (2-1189) - Ms. Walker and Mr. Hamilton explained the Resolution. The funds generated by the tax and amount needed to repay the Bond were discussed. It was felt that if some of the funds had been allocated to the Park Bond, it may have helped gain favorable support from the electorate on the Public Safety vehicle question. Considerable discussion ensued concerning the desire to use any funds over

