City of Carson City Agenda Report

Date Submitted: February 18, 2011

Agenda Date Requested: March 3, 2011 Time Requested: 30 minutes

To: Mayor and Supervisors

From: Public Works Department

Subject Title: Staff Report Regarding the Waste Water Reclamation Plant. (Andy Burnham)

Staff Summary: Staff and Carollo Engineer's will give a presentation on the condition and planned future improvements to the Waste Water Reclamation Plant (WWRP).

 Type of Action Requested:
 (check one)

 (____) Resolution
 (___) Ordinance

 (____) Formal Action/Motion
 (X) Other (Report to Board)

Does This Action Require A Business Impact Statement: (____) Yes (XX) No

Recommended Board Action: No action required. Staff Report Regarding the Waste Water Reclamation Plant.

Explanation for Recommended Board Action: N/A

Applicable Statue, Code, Policy, Rule or Regulation: N/A

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Explanation of Impact: N/A

Funding Source: N/A

Alternatives: N/A

Supporting Material: N/A

Prepared By: Ken Arnold, Environmental Chief

Reviewed By:

(Department Nead) (City Manager) District/Attome (Finance Director)

Date: Date: Date: Date:

3/3/11 Board Report on WWRP, continued;

Board Action Taken:

Motion:		Aye/Nay
	2)	

(Vote Recorded By)









<section-header><section-header><section-header>













Summary of Influent Flow Peaking Factors

	Factor	2003/2006 Plan	2007 Update	2010 Update
1-0	Average Day Maximum Month Flow	1.24	1.17	1.10
and the	Peak Day Flow	1.35	1.35	1.35
	Peak Hour Dry Weather Flow	1.50	1.50	1.50
	Peak Hour Wet Weather Flow	2.00	2.00	2.00

Process Unit	Estimated Capacity (mgd) (all units in service)	Design/Reliability Criteria	
Anaerobic Digester	4.0	Add one or two units for regular operation and reliability	
Tertiary Filters	5.6	Add one unit for regular operation and reliability	
Trickling Filters	Less than 2.0	Based on TF historical performance	
Aerated Pond	6.9*	Additional aeration capabilities	
Secondary Clarifiers		Capacity depends on Activated Sludge Process	
	6.9	At 6.9 mgd one additional unit shall be considered for reliability	
WAS Thickening		Capacity depends on Activated Sludge Process	
	6.9	One additional unit shall be considered for reliabilit for high loads	
Chlorination Basins	6.9	One additional unit shall be considered for reliability	
Primary Clarifiers	7.7	One additional unit shall be considered for reliabili	
Solids Dewatering Centrifuges	6.9	One unit out of service	
Grit Removal	15	Peak hydraulic no standby	
Screening	15	Peak hydraulic standby manual screen	
* Assumes ma	iximum flow to trickling filters of 2.0 mgd.	EngineersWorking Wonders With Water	

































