

**OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
STAFF REPORT**

**MEETING DATE:** September 24, 2012

**AGENDA ITEM NUMBER:** 3G

**STAFF:** Ann Bollinger, Natural Resources Specialist  
Juan F. Guzman, Open Space Manager  
Roger Moellendorf, Parks and Recreation Director



**REQUEST:** **For Possible Action:** To recommend to the Board of Supervisors the transmittal of a letter to the Bureau of Land Management providing comments related to the Carson City District Resource Management Plan Revision.

**GENERAL DISCUSSION:**

Colleen Sievers, a staff member with the BLM who is responsible for the update of the Resource Management Plan will provide a PowerPoint presentation to the Committee. Attached please find draft comments to the BLM prepared by Resource Concepts' Principal John McLain on behalf of Carson City. Also, please find the minutes of the Board of Supervisors meeting of April 19, 2012, where the Board authorized staff to join other agencies in assisting the Bureau of Land Management with the preparation of an update to the Resource Management Plan and related Environmental Impact Statement as a cooperating agency.

Carson City's responsibilities as a cooperating agency include the review of draft documents and the costs are to be covered through the standard staff duty functions. The City Manager or his designee will become the point of contact for this effort, which is expected to last approximately 15 months. The Open Space Advisory Committee will be kept apprised of the status of the plan update by staff. At this point, the BLM has held one agency cooperators meeting aimed at the social characteristics of the planning area. BLM staff appeared in front of the Board of Supervisors on August 2, 2012, with general information about the plan update and the related environmental impact statement. Please see the attached information from the Bureau of Land Management.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** I move to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the transmittal of a letter to the Bureau of Land Management providing comments related to the Carson City District Resource Management Plan Revision.

Carson City Concerns and Needs  
Regarding  
Carson BLM RMP/EIS

1. Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) – Carson has aggressively planned for and carried out an ongoing fuels management program at the WUI where threat of wildfire is constantly an issue. To continue active treatment of fuels and assure safe conditions around the City, it is imperative that the BLM and City work closely to bring sound science, updated planning and timely treatment to areas identified as threats. BLM manages significant acreage within Carson City, both at the interface and in the remote areas. Both areas are of concern, but the interface represents an area where the two entities can accomplish the greatest need at the present time. Provisions should be made to allow for cooperative plans and ongoing maintenance for brush and invasive weed control by using all potential tools (grazing, mechanical, herbicides, etc). Plans should include seeding selected areas to fire resistant species such as crested wheatgrass, Siberian wheatgrass, Sandberg's bluegrass, and forage kochia. While several of these are exotic species, they have shown to be competitive with cheatgrass and can stop wildfires when managed properly.
2. Fire – the City is sensitive to the growing number of wildfires on public lands in our region that have not only devastated resources and watershed, but also private properties, including some loss of life. For example, the Pine nut Mountains have experienced several wildfires this year with a loss of public land and some private property. Also, two wildfires devastated areas on the west side of Reno while destroying several homes last December. The ongoing drought conditions further exacerbate an already brittle and dry fuel laden rangeland in our region and thus warrant the City and BLM meeting to discuss and strategize alternative approaches to reduce rangeland fuels on BLM lands in the region. Because Carson City has an active and much valued Open Space program, recreationists (i.e. hiking, trail biking, running, etc.) are at continual risk from threat of wildfires during the fire season when the back trails are used the most. As such, the City requests provisions for close coordination and aggressive initial attack on fires that start on BLM lands and that have the potential to burn into the WUI. In addition, developing more remote

water sources for fire suppression support should be a consideration. Spring developments might well serve as backup waters for this purpose. Where possible, providing large diameter water tanks, and/or impoundments that can be utilized for fire suppression (drafting water for engines and helicopter dips) could prove beneficial to help protect rangeland resources. Also, some ranchers owning private stockwater on the public lands might also be interested in cooperating on some of these types of developments.

3. Upland Recreation – as recreation grows in the area, the City wants to continue coordinating with BLM to plan for additional trails, access areas, and means of dispersing recreation in a manner that minimizes conflict between users and to avoid future resource problems. Examples of conflicts might include bikes on jogging /hiking trails, horseback riding in inappropriate areas, or ATV / motorcycle use in areas not suitable or designated for these activities. OHVs can often become the source of active erosion, also in recruiting invasive species unless carefully directed to area of suitable soils and monitored regularly. In Carson City, specific examples include multiple user-built routes on steep hillsides leading to the Carson River as well as the problem of OHVs driving in the riverbed during low-flow years. This is particularly important for BLM planning because almost all of the feasible OHV/ATV/ Motorcycle use is on BLM land. There is an important ~~motorcross~~ motorized-use areas at the south end of Prison Hill ~~within the Silver Saddle Ranch property,~~ but in other areas the conflicts with motorized vehicles on trails are an increasing problem. Coordinated planning, signs, maps, etc will help to avoid such conflicts.
4. Water based recreation – the Carson River has grown to be a very desirable focal point for recreationists, particularly with the provision of river access for hiking, rafting and kayaking. The BLM has been a good partner in efforts to identify and plan for the river recreation activities and the city wishes to build on this relationship to expand water recreation experiences for the public. Safety is an ongoing concern along the river corridor, as medical and police services are a fair distance away, affecting response time for potential emergencies. The City appreciates any opportunities to plan and cooperate with BLM regarding safety concerns along the river corridor.
5. Water resources – the City is aware that natural springs occur on the public lands throughout the District, many of which are not presently privately owned waters. Developing these springs to allow for

wildlife, wild horses, livestock, and where possible backcountry recreationists to utilize as safe water sources would greatly enhance the quality of backcountry experience and add value to our public land resources.

6. Wild Horses – the City supports careful and ongoing management of wild horses when (or where?) the public can enjoy these animals in a safe, managed environment. Unfortunately, some herd stallions are known to sometimes demonstrate a threatening behavior to publics that recreate in the park environment along the Carson River. The City would like to work with the BLM to develop safe conditions in the park area that allows for families to recreate and enjoy the wild horses without fear of harm. Despite the ongoing controversy concerning the wild horses, they should be managed for appropriate management levels within the herd management areas and not be allowed to damage or overgraze the rangelands or riparian areas critical to wildlife, watershed, and other. The BLM should be decisive in managing wild horses and support all proposed decisions with sound monitoring data to help avoid lengthy and costly legal challenges. Monitoring will also help to avoid conflicts with other users such as livestock grazing and wildlife. (FYI - The BLM is ready and willing to respond to the City's request on this issue. The problem is differing thoughts and opinions from the City.)
7. Livestock grazing – following the devastating 2004 Water Fall fire, the City worked to implement its fuels management plans to help avert a reoccurrence of this tragic event in the future. A vital component of the fuels management program has been introducing prescribed sheep grazing at the WUI, including the watershed to the west of Carson City as a biological means of reducing fuels and encouraging green conditions through the spring and early summer. The success of this program is a product of careful planning and public education. The publics now anxiously await the arrival of the domestic sheep in the spring of the year to assist in this vital fuels reduction program. Mechanical treatment is also an essential component for brush control in combination with the grazing. Wildfires have also occurred on BLM lands near Carson City through the years with each presenting its own risks. The City is supportive of livestock grazing on public lands as a valued tool to help reduce the invasive species such as cheatgrass, an annual flashy fuel, and also to help manage perennial fuels. Carefully planned grazing can help to harvest excess fuels, reduce cheatgrass occurrences and promote

conditions more conducive to less destructive natural fires. Clearly, fire is a part of the natural processes but reducing or managing the fuels is essential to help prevent catastrophic events. The City is aware that bighorn sheep have been, or planned to be introduced on private lands near Virginia City. These bighorns went in with the understanding that there would be no limitations on the existing sheep allotments around Carson City. The City does not support anything that would adversely impact the potential use of sheep grazing on these allotments or the WUI perimeter of Carson City.

8. Weed Control – the City is concerned with the occurrence of invasive species like cheatgrass and also the potential for another invasive annual grass, medussahead wildrye, to invade the area. While grazing helps to combat some invasive species, chemical herbicides sometimes are the only means of eliminating some species, such as medussahead wildrye. Livestock will not willingly graze this species. Noxious weeds remain a high priority for eradication or control and the local weed ~~district program~~ coalition works to identify and address the problem. Carson City appreciates opportunities to coordinate with BLM on weed control efforts, especially when noxious weeds are located on BLM managed lands. Similar to fuels management, the The City asks that the RMP include provisions that allows the use of multiple tools to manage noxious weeds, and when possible work collaboratively with the City to ensure infestations adjacent to City owned lands and treatments may be addressed in the most effective and efficient manner possible. BLM staff should be informed regarding new herbicides and make efforts towards their use on lands throughout the region.
9. Wildlife – wildlife on public lands are important to Carson City and its residents. Wildfires have greatly impacted the wildlife habitat surrounding Carson City and are likely a major factor that has encouraged the presence of a resident deer herd that once was migratory. Deer now fawn in and around the City and forage on neighborhood grazes, forbs, and ornamental plants year round. This nuisance will further exacerbate unless the deer can be drawn back to their natural environment. The City is anxious to explore opportunities to work with BLM and other agencies to identify cooperative habitat improvement projects on the public lands to help address this concern. Habitat improvement projects throughout the District are encouraged, and planning needs to be integrated so that wildlife values and fuels

- /WUI projects do not interfere (i.e. use of forage kochia in the interface areas rather than bitterbrush and sagebrush).
10. Threatened and Endangered Species – while Carson City is not aware of any endangered species on the BLM managed lands near the city, there is an ongoing concern to assure that a listing does not occur that will negatively impact multiple uses on BLM lands. Sage-grouse are recognized as a species that could have far reaching consequences across the public and private rangeland areas of the District if listed. While the City is not aware of any identified critical sage-grouse habitat within Carson City proper, there may be potential habitat areas such as stringer meadows and springs that can be cooperatively improved to entice sage-grouse use and help to avert a listing of the Bi State species. The City supports all reasonable approaches, in balance with multiple use and industry, short of listing directed toward conservation of sage-grouse. The City strongly encourages the BLM to pay heed to and utilize the recommendations recently set forth by the Nevada Governors Sage Grouse Committee.
  11. Cultural resources – historic land occupancy by Native Americans in Nevada, and later settlements by pioneers throughout the District, is of great interest to many Carson City residents. The City supports carefully developed plans that when implemented assure protection of these resources while affording public education and enjoyment of these sites. When historic sites are identified within the Carson City proper, the City wishes to become actively involved in the planning effort to help educate and benefit the public. In addition the City requests aggressive action by BLM to inventory and clear the WUI area to allow for weed/fuels management and timely rehab should a wildfire occur in this area. The time required for cultural clearances can greatly impact critical area treatment and the window needed for treatments such as drill seeding. (Great comment)
  12. Pinyon-Juniper woodlands – these woodlands exist in several parts of the Carson BLM district and when properly managed contribute an array of values including watershed, wildlife habitat, pine nuts, scenic, firewood, etc that are important to City residents. The BLM action to thin these woodlands and reduce the higher density stands to appropriate stages and placement on the landscape is imperative to the health of the woodlands. Treatment will help restore habitat important to sage-grouse and other species representative of the sagebrush-grass ecosystem. It is reported that 50-60,000 acres of p-j woodland is converting from phase II to phase III woodlands each year. This

means that they are crossing a threshold to a declined condition where management will be unable bring about a natural response to regain a desired seral condition. New studies underway, such as that occurring at Porter Canyon in the Desatoya Mountains suggest that it may very well be possible to release understory vegetation, free up ground water and reestablish or rejuvenate meadows and springs by p-j tree thinning in appropriate locations. The City supports this kind of research and proactive work, particularly as climate change continues to impact the West with ongoing drought. The City is also aware of and supports the statewide cooperative efforts being carried out by the Nevada Pinyon Juniper Partnership to encourage much needed treatment and utilization, wherever possible, of the pinyon-juniper woodlands. Carefully planned harvest treatments and utilization of excess biomass from these woodlands can improve rangeland and watershed health while also providing much-needed jobs and improved economies. Biofuels, wood pellets, biochar and combined heat and power (CHP) facilities are examples of the many valued uses for biomass from the pinyon- juniper woodlands which may potentially be the best form of alternative (green) energy in Nevada going forward. The City also encourages and supports the use of such activities as commercial wood cutting permits, stewardship contracts (10-20 year) etc as tools to promote necessary treatments at a cost savings to the BLM while also providing jobs.

13. Solar, Geothermal, and Wind power sources – the City supports alternative (green) energy when it is properly located and acceptable to the public. Energy farms (wind/solar) take considerable land area and can potentially affect other uses and/or scenic values on public lands. Proposals for such developments should be brought before the local communities early in the process and allow adequate time to assess the project and its potential impacts on the land and communities.
14. Public Education – Open space users are generally interested in their environment and the concepts of sustainable use. However, many are in need of the tools and education to become true stewards of the open space and associated resources. A comprehensive outreach program to include workshops, maps, brochures, educational programs, signage and other educational tools will help tremendously in making users knowledgeable and responsible. There will still be some enforcement needs, but the goal should be to educate as a key element in advance of enforcement.

15. Lands and Realty – the City is interested in working with the BLM through the RMP planning process to identify parcels of land that might be designated or transferred to the City from public lands for public purposes to be determined by the City. NOTE: Juan, does the City have any lands identified for an “ask” such as rights-of-way??

16. Special Designations – NOTE: are there any designations that the City may or may not want to be brought forward in this comment paper (i.e. wilderness, scenic river/trails, ACECs, anything to do with the V&T) (Ann does not know of anything.)



**CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**Minutes of the August 2, 2012 Meeting**  
**Page 1**

A regular meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was scheduled for 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, August 2, 2012 in the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

**PRESENT:** Mayor Robert Crowell  
Supervisor Karen Abowd, Ward 1  
Supervisor Shelly Aldean, Ward 2  
Supervisor John McKenna, Ward 3  
Supervisor Molly Walt, Ward 4

**STAFF:** Alan Glover, Clerk - Recorder  
Andrew Burnham, Acting City Manager  
Randal Munn, Chief Deputy District Attorney  
Kathleen King, Deputy Clerk / Recording Secretary

**NOTE:** A recording of these proceedings, the Board's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the Clerk during the meeting are part of the public record. These materials are available for review, in the Clerk's Office, during regular business hours.

**1 - 4. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**  
(8:31:02) - Mayor Crowell called the meeting to order at 8:31 a.m. Mr. Glover called the roll; a quorum was present. Airport Road Church of Christ Pastor Bruce Henderson provided the invocation. At Mayor Crowell's request, Bill McCord led the pledge of allegiance.

**5. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION** (8:33:00) - Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (8:33:19) Tom Leahy provided an overview of his comments, provided at a recent Board of Supervisors meeting, relative to concerns over the Eagle Valley Golf Course. He acknowledged the Board's commitment to request an audit, and inquired as to what has been done in the meantime "to stop the bleeding at Eagle Valley." Mayor Crowell explained the non-profit status of the Carson City Municipal Golf Corporation ("CCMGC"), as operator of the Eagle Valley Golf Course. He acknowledged that the CCMGC is behind on their lease payments to the City, but advised of no known out-of-pocket costs presently owed to the City. In response to a further question, he advised that the internal auditor will present recommendations to the Board. In response to a further question, he was uncertain as to whether the Empire Ranch Golf Course is behind in paying property taxes. Supervisor Aldean expressed the belief that the Eagle Valley Golf Course will do their best to control costs during the audit. Mr. Leahy expressed concern over a lack of management by the City. Supervisor Aldean suggested that City Manager Larry Werner could provide more detail relative to additional management oversight. She advised that Finance Department Director Nick Providenti is a member of the CCMGC Board of Directors "and so there is some ongoing oversight of the operations at the golf course.

In response to a further question, Mayor Crowell explained that the recent petition "asked for this body to adopt an ordinance saying that we wouldn't spend any money on the City Center Project unless there was a vote of the people." He responded to additional questions of clarification relative to the effect of the proposed ordinance. He acknowledged there will be a ballot question to determine whether the citizens are in favor of increasing the sales tax to fund the project. Mr. Glover advised that the ballot question, together with the arguments in favor and in opposition, were posted to the Clerk's web page this morning.

**CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**Minutes of the August 2, 2012 Meeting**  
**Page 5**

Supervisor McKenna welcomed Mr. Thomas to Carson City and advised that the Board and City management consider the Bureau of Land Management as a partner. In response to a question, Mr. Thomas advised that he is currently residing in an apartment and looking for a home in South Reno or in Carson City.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (9:02:25) In reference to the Waterfall Fire, Tom Leahy inquired as to the Carson City Fire Department having access to Bureau of Land Management property. Mayor Crowell advised of memoranda of understanding between the City and various federal agencies which describe first responder responsibilities. Mr. Burnham acknowledged that the Carson City Fire Department has access to Bureau of Land Management properties to extinguish fire. Mayor Crowell entertained additional Board member or public comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Mr. Thomas and welcomed him to Carson City.

**18(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO RECEIVE AND TRANSMIT TO THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PUBLIC TESTIMONY REGARDING THE STATUS OF CARSON CITY DISTRICT RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN REVISION, ISSUES IDENTIFIED, TIME LINES, AND ASSOCIATED COOPERATING AGENCY MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING** (9:04:40) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item and Mr. Guzman reviewed the agenda report. (9:05:29) BLM Resource Management Plan Project Manager Colleen Sievers introduced herself for the record and described her responsibilities relative to the resource management plan. She narrated a PowerPoint presentation of the same, copies of which were included in the agenda materials. Supervisor Walt inquired as to the possibility of adding a provision relative to the prohibition of dumping on BLM land. Following a brief discussion, Ms. Sievers advised that there are three BLM law enforcement officers to cover five million acres, and expressed appreciation for the partners who assist in cleanup efforts. She further advised that the resource management plan may consider the dumping issue, but that "some of it is more of an enforcement issue." Additional discussion followed and, in response to a question, Ms. Sievers was uncertain as to the civil penalty for dumping on federal lands. Supervisor Aldean suggested that the civil penalty is likely more expensive than the landfill fee, and further suggested including the information in the resource management plan outreach program.

Ms. Sievers advised that the resource management plan will consider travel and transportation planning. "That has been a challenge for law enforcement because a lot of the roads have not been designated and that may, in part, help with some of the non-permitted activities that are occurring ..." At Mayor Crowell's request, Ms. Sievers described the location of BLM property in Carson City. Mr. Guzman acknowledged that Prison Hill will be transferred to Carson City as part of the federal lands bill.

In response to a question, Ms. Sievers described the various methods by which public input will be received during the resource management plan update process. She reviewed the time lines associated with publication of the resource management plan. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman expressed the belief that the resource management plan update process will provide the opportunity to address specific problems "such as the 40-acre parcel ... or maybe talk a little more about wild horses and our parks, about the management of recreation vehicles on designated roads." He anticipates that the policies formulated as part of the resource management plan update will be more general and applicable to many acres of land. "And then, here and there, we may be able to do something for Carson City, but in general it's big." Mr. Guzman

**CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**Minutes of the August 2, 2012 Meeting**  
**Page 6**

noted that the lands bill has restrictions such as conservation easements, which provide for land use. The City is required to develop a management plan, and Mr. Guzman anticipates that the many questions will be answered prior to completion of the BLM's resource management plan.

In response to a question, Mr. Guzman advised that funding for Carson City's participation in the resource management plan and the environmental impact statement development process will be allocated from the Open Space Program. He clarified that the Memorandum of Understanding specifies Carson City is not financially responsible for the environmental impact statement. The City has simply committed to the time necessary to review the document, to present information to the Board, etc. (9:19:53) Sheriff Ken Furlong advised that the Sheriff's Department annually renews a cooperative agreement with the BLM which includes the BLM providing additional patrol coverage over certain areas.

In response to a previous question, Ms. Sievers again provided the BLM website information, and encouraged any interested citizen to visit and provide feedback on the resource management plan. Mr. Guzman advised that public meeting items will be published on the Carson River Advisory Committee and Open Space Advisory Committee agendas. He further advised that any public comment received during these advisory committee meetings will be forwarded to the BLM. Ms. Sievers advised of having recently provided a presentation to the Carson City Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (9:22:50) Bill Prowse inquired as to the City's financial obligation relative to the federal lands bill. Mayor Crowell assured Mr. Prowse that the Board members are "very cognizant of the potential costs of maintenance of lands we're taking over." Mr. Prowse expressed support for the federal lands bill.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. **Supervisor Aldean moved to receive and transmit to the Bureau of Land Management public testimony regarding the status of Carson City District Resource Management Plan revision, issues identified, time lines, and associated cooperating agency memorandum of understanding. Supervisor McKenna seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.**

**18(C) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ACCEPT THE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE STAFF TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND MUSCLE POWERED, A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION, PROVIDING FOR A COLLABORATIVE RELATIONSHIP FOR THE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE OF NON-MOTORIZED MULTI-USE TRAILS IN CARSON CITY (9:24:50)** - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Mr. Guzman reviewed the agenda materials. He advised that the agreement provides for Muscle Powered to cover liability insurance and, according to the law, for Carson City to cover workman's compensation insurance. He invited Muscle Powered representatives Jeff Potter and Donna Inversin to join him at the meeting table, and narrated slides of a trail project.


At Mr. Guzman's request, Mr. Potter and Ms. Inversin reviewed the process for initiating volunteers into project work. In response to a comment, Mr. Potter advised of a volunteer agreement signed by each member of each trail crew. In response to a further comment, he advised that no volunteer works on their own; specific work days are scheduled. Ms. Inversin further clarified that all volunteers are required to register and sign the necessary agreements and waivers. Supervisor Aldean requested staff to ensure that

# **BLM Carson City District Resource Management Plan**

**Carson River Advisory Committee  
September 17, 2012**




# Carson City District Resource Management Plan (RMP) Planning Area



September 2012

# Planning Area – Carson City



September 2012

# What is a Resource Management Plan?

- Primary tool guiding BLM management activities in support of the dual mandate of multiple use and sustained yield.
- Establishes goals and objectives for resource management and the measures needed to achieve them.
- Identifies lands that are open and available for certain uses, including any restrictions, and lands that are closed to certain uses.



# Who is Helping the BLM with the RMP Revision?

- Public/Stakeholder Groups
- Resource Advisory Council
- Cooperating Agencies
  - Federal Agencies
  - Native American Tribal Organizations
  - State Agencies
  - Counties
- Contractors

September 2012





# Issues that may be addressed in the RMP

- Restoring Ecological Health
- Air and Atmospheric Values
- Water
- Cultural Resources, Native American Concerns and Paleontology
- Visual Resource Management
- Special Status Species (included Threatened , Endangered, and Sensitive Status Species)
- Fish and Wildlife
- Wild Horses and Burros
- Fire Management
- Livestock Grazing
- Recreation and Visitor Services
- Lands and Realty
- Mineral Resources
- Hazardous Materials
- Special Designations
- Renewable Energy
- Socio-Economics
- Environmental Justice
- Sustainable Development
- Comprehensive Travel and Transportation Management
- Cave and Karst Resources
- Urban Growth
- Forest/Woodland Management




# RMP Opportunities for Carson City

- Does the current RMP address the needs of the City?
- What are the City needs for the next 15-20 years?
- Are there areas for potential land tenure changes?
  - Retention, Disposal, Acquisition or Withdrawal
  - Recreation & Public Purpose Act
    - Is there a need for schools, medical facilities, fire stations, shooting ranges, road maintenance yards?
- Are there public lands that Carson City would like to see made available for private development (sale, exchange etc.)?
- Are there areas that Carson City would like to see used a certain way?



# Next Steps

- Official scoping period ended (*April 2012*)
- Scoping summary available (*Late Summer 2012*)
- Develop draft alternatives (*Fall 2012 – Winter 2013*)



# How the public can get involved in the process?

## ■ Comments

- ❑ E-mail: [BLM\\_NV\\_CCDO\\_RMP@blm.gov](mailto:BLM_NV_CCDO_RMP@blm.gov)
- ❑ Mail to: CCD RMP  
BLM, Carson City District  
5665 Morgan Mill Road  
Carson City, NV 89701
- ❑ Project Web site:  
[http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson\\_city\\_field.html](http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson_city_field.html)



# Questions?

**Project Web site:**

[http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson\\_city\\_field.html](http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson_city_field.html)

**Project E-mail Address:**

[BLM\\_NV\\_CCDO\\_RMP@blm.gov](mailto:BLM_NV_CCDO_RMP@blm.gov)

**Chris McAlear, Carson City District Manager**

**Leon Thomas, Sierra Front Field Manager**

**Colleen Sievers, RMP Project Manager**

BLM, Carson City District

5665 Morgan Mill Road

Carson City, NV 89701

775-885-6000

