

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 1

DRAFT

A special meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on Monday, May 21, 2012 in the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT: Mayor Robert Crowell
Supervisor Karen Abowd
Supervisor Shelly Aldean
Supervisor John McKenna
Supervisor Molly Walt

STAFF: Larry Werner, City Manager
Alan Glover, Clerk - Recorder
Nick Providenti, Finance Department Director
Randal Munn, Chief Deputy District Attorney
Kathleen King, Deputy Clerk / Recording Secretary

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the Board's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the Clerk during the meeting are part of the public record. These materials are available for review, in the Clerk's Office, during regular business hours.

1 - 3. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (9:00:56) - Mayor Crowell called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Mr. Glover called the roll; a quorum was present. Mayor Crowell led the pledge of allegiance.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION (9:01:49) - Mayor Crowell entertained public comment; however, none was forthcoming.

5. POSSIBLE ACTION ON ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (9:02:17) - Mayor Crowell entertained modifications to the agenda and, when none were forthcoming, a motion to adopt the agenda, as published. **Supervisor Walt so moved. Supervisor McKenna seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.**

6. FINANCE DEPARTMENT

6(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE CARSON CITY TENTATIVE BUDGET AS THE FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012 - 2013 (9:02:37) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item. Mr. Providenti provided background information and reviewed the agenda materials. In response to a question, he advised that the unclassified employees' management leave payout option had been removed from the tentative budget. In response to a question, Mr. Werner advised that the \$280,000 budget reduction will be done administratively and submitted to the Board as a budget augmentation in August. In response to a further question, he explained the method by which the budget reduction will be accomplished. Mr. Providenti provided additional clarification. In response to a question, Mr. Providenti explained, in conjunction with an April 19th memo included in the agenda materials, the method by which budget projections are estimated. In response to a further question, he explained the one percent reduction in expenses from last fiscal year to the current fiscal year. Mr. Werner provided additional clarification, and discussion followed.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 2

DRAFT

In response to a question, Mr. Providenti explained the effect of the recommended action relative to the Nevada Tax Commission's certification of tax rates. Mr. Werner provided additional clarification. Supervisor McKenna suggested including more specificity relative to the property tax rate as part of the wording of the agenda item. Mr. Providenti referred to the discussion which took place at the May 17th Board of Supervisors meeting.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional Board member and public comments. (9:39:48) In reference to his work experience, Ward 2 Supervisor Candidate Dennis Johnson discussed a "large protest ... during the 2011 Legislative Session ... regarding ... budget cuts at the state college level. ... the student body president of the College of Southern Nevada, made a statement that was often repeated in the newspaper, 'I'm a struggling Nevadan. I can't afford to pay anymore, Mr. Sandoval.' In response, Governor Sandoval said, 'We only have so much money to spend. Given the times we're in, the worst thing would be to raise taxes on struggling families and businesses.' Here we want to lure businesses to Carson City, with this tax hike, you've raised our cost of living in a noncompetitive situation. If the sales tax hike goes through, we would be second only to Clark County for the highest sales tax in the State and why would any business want to move their operations here? Do you really think that every Carson City resident can afford to pay any more? There are fixed-income seniors, others on limited income, most of whom are renters who will see their rents increase, including those who will soon lose their unemployment payments. How will they be able to afford to pay more?" Mr. Johnson advised of questions regarding the projections, and expressed concern regarding the loss of population. "You may be preserving government but what are you doing to Carson City?" In reference to a *Las Vegas Review-Journal* article, Mr. Johnson suggested considering that State workers have "taken pay cuts for three straight years. In the current two-year budget, all ... State employees must take a pay reduction and six furlough days, cutting their pay by 4.8 percent. Are you sure you really want to give merit increases regardless of how much they may deserve to get those payments?"

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (9:20:12) Bill Davies encouraged the Board not to "balance your budget on increasing my property tax in the future." He expressed concern regarding the "attitude" of the Board and the Finance Department staff. "It's so easy to calculate a budget and, if you don't make your revenues, we just come back the following year, the City Manager and your budget director, and say, 'Hey, we're short.'" Mr. Davies suggested "tak[ing] some of the landfill money ... and balanc[ing] the budget." He expressed opposition to increasing property taxes and to the City Center Project. He encouraged the Board to remove the property tax increase from the budget. In reference to her tenure, Supervisor Aldean advised that the Board of Supervisors took action to minimize the City's exposure at the beginning of the economic downturn. "We have done it in a very consistent manner. We have exhausted our ending fund balance in an effort to avoid raising taxes. We exhausted our contingency, we exhausted our stabilization fund. We have gone through every dime we could conceivably find in order to balance our budget and continue to meet our mandates in terms of providing a reasonable level of service to the people we represent."

Supervisor Aldean expressed the opinion that accusing the City employees of living lavishly is "a little unfair." In reference to her professional knowledge of Mr. Werner and Mr. Providenti, she advised "they do their best to work within the parameters they're given. We're in a serious recession. We were hoping ... that we would emerge from this recession earlier than we have. There are some ... positive indicators that we, in fact, may be on the uphill side, but the point is we have taken definitive actions well before today's meeting to avoid what we're proposing to do today. This is ... a last resort." Supervisor Aldean acknowledged the option of cutting 20 to 30 employees, but discussed the corresponding detriment to the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 3

DRAFT

community. She expressed concern over anyone leaving the meeting “with the misconception that we haven’t worked arduously over the last four or five years to avoid what we’re proposing to do today. ... staff has been ... extremely diligent in looking for creative ways of saving money. Our department heads are very cognizant of the need to be conservative fiscally. ... most of them live in this community. They pay taxes. They’re impacted as well.” She assured the citizens that the Board is not “being duped by our staff. ...we’ve had a lot of very candid conversations with them about our displeasure at having to raise taxes on people during these hard fiscal times but, unfortunately, I don’t believe there is an alternative.” She further assured the citizens that staff will “scrub the budget. They are going find the additional money necessary to meet our commitment to our bargaining units and, of course, ... some people are not aware that comparing the State to the City is not ... a fair analogy because we have bargaining units. They don’t. They have a lot more flexibility than we do. We have to honor our contracts. We opened some of those contracts. We negotiated out, ... CPI adjustments. The one thing that they wanted us to maintain as an incentive, especially for our younger employees to get their certifications, was the merit increase. Can we raise the bar on merits? Possibly. That’s something I hope we’ll look at in the future. ... that’s something we need to consider.” Supervisor Aldean emphasized the importance of the citizens understanding “that we are ... laboring under some fairly serious limitations.”

Supervisor Abowd pointed out “the fact that the State levied certain responsibilities that we have to come up with the dollars on as well. So, wherein the State didn’t want to levy any taxes, we’re stuck with making everything balance.” Supervisor Walt agreed, noting the “impact on each county and city of the push downs ...” Supervisor Aldean advised that the City is “using every dime’s worth of surplus coming from the landfill. The problem is we are at saturation at the landfill and staff is rightfully concerned that any additional increases in tipping fees will make us uncompetitive. But, the money that is the surplus, which amounts to \$700,000 annually, is being used to balance this budget.”

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (9:29:10) Linda Barnett advised that her staff hasn’t received a pay increase since 2007 in spite of meriting it. She expressed opposition to government employees being “entitled to a merit increase when we’ve got budget deficits. ... You have to hold the line. You can’t give people increases when you don’t have the money.” She expressed the opinion that the Board “could have helped solve this budget had anybody taken some issue with tourism. For four years, we’ve been asking for something to be done in the tourism department. No pressure has really been brought to bear on bringing in tourism. This brings in sales tax. This brings in lots of revenue. Four years ago, had that pressure been brought, we probably would not be at this point.”

(9:30:46) Lorene O’Leary, representing Community Development Incorporated, referred to the Autumn Village developments constructed several years ago which “provide 89 units of senior, low income, affordable housing.” She advised of having been sent from the corporate office to discuss the burden represented by a 6.5 percent increase “on that kind of a property.”

(9:32:00) Jim Bagwell expressed the opinion that Carson City never levied a three percent tax increase prior to the maximum rate being established by the State. He discussed the legislature’s intent to “cap rates at a maximum of three percent. Since that passed, Carson City has increased the rate at three percent each year.” Mr. Bagwell expressed the opinion “that probably is using that law to the benefit of government ... in order to maximize income and it doesn’t necessarily benefit the taxpayer.” He expressed the further opinion that the Board “is betwixt a rock and a hard place ...; that this tax increase was brought to you rather late in the discussion ...” He advised that the value of his home has decreased and that he had

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 4

DRAFT

anticipated the property tax rate would also decrease. He expressed the opinion that next year's budget process should be started tomorrow. He expressed the further opinion that "we do everything reactively instead of proactively and, if we're going to be reactive in nature, then you don't need to do anything until you're stimulated. And that's what happened here. If you're going to be proactive, ... you do it before you allow it to get to that point. ... we've reached that point." He suggested convening a committee of "knowledgeable citizens ... and have them start looking at areas that they have some expertise in and seeing if you can save money and where you can save it and how you might save it and how we might get this community back on track ..." He reiterated the suggestion to "start tomorrow for next year's budget because this isn't going to work and, if we don't start tomorrow, we're going to be in the same position come May of next year ..."

Supervisor Abowd advised that the Audit Committee identified areas, at the last Board meeting, to look into in the hopes that the budget can be further decreased. Mayor Crowell expressed understanding for Mr. Bagwell's comments, and explained the effect of the property tax cap and the mechanism of abatement. Supervisor McKenna provided additional clarification, and extensive discussion followed. Supervisor Aldean expressed understanding for Mr. Bagwell's concerns, and the opinion that "staff, based on ... conversations with department heads, understand the need ... for this sort of austerity that we're currently experiencing. And ... that change in mindset is [not] going to disappear overnight. ... there's a certain amount of gratification being able to do more with less and so ... we go through this budgeting process every year and ... every member of this Board who will still be here ... after the first of the year, has made a commitment publicly to re-examine the tax rate as we typically do." Supervisor Aldean suggested that the City's "new mantra is ... to do more with less and ... staff has risen to the occasion. ... they've done a marvelous job of trying to maintain a certain level of reasonable service while absorbing some of these reductions, not only with respect to employees but with services and supplies and things they've typically relied on to perform their jobs." Supervisor Aldean expressed assurance that "we would be absolutely delighted to reduce the property tax rate if there is a significant increase in sales tax revenues." Mr. Bagwell discussed his opinion that any "surplus is going to get spent." Supervisor Walt offered assurances that not every employee will receive a merit increase.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (9:53:48) In response to a question, Mayor Crowell advised Tom Leahy that the budget is a public document, available on the City's website. In response to a further question, Mayor Crowell explained that the municipal golf courses are behind on payment of their fees to the City. In response to a further question, Mayor Crowell explained the method by which the V&T Railway is funded. He acknowledged that the budget does not include a line item for the V&T Railway or for the City Center Project.

(9:56:53) Carson City Employees Association President Cindy Gower provided background information on this year's contract negotiations. She advised of a "very, very small group of people that will be getting merit [increases], and most of those people have actually taken pay cuts since they started because of the PERS increases and insurance increases. and other things like that." She expressed the opinion that accusing the City employees of taking advantage is unfair. She estimated that 2/3 to 3/4 of the employees are Carson City residents, noting that the Board's decisions also affect those employees. She assured the Board that the City employees are "doing twice the job with half the staff but also we are trying to still keep that level of service and, if these property taxes don't get raised, ... there's no way ..." In reference to increasing costs of supplies, she advised, "we are not going to be able to maintain our infrastructure if we don't get this property tax increase."

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 5

DRAFT

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (9:59:52) Ward 2 Supervisor Candidate Maurice White suggested “the solution to this budget dilemma is actually quite simple. You need to stop spending money on things you don’t need.” He referenced the costs associated with constructing the salt shed at the corporate yard, “way signs, ... JAC buses you didn’t need, ... a parking lot right out this wall right here.” He suggested “figur[ing] out the difference between want and need and only spend on what you need.” He further suggested “get[ting] ... construction costs under control. Your contingency funds are consistently used up on almost every construction project ... over the last three years. Not only is that unethical, it’s probably illegal because that contingency fund is being used as a slush fund for these construction projects. Stop spending money that doesn’t need to be spent.”

(10:01:02) In response to a question, Mr. Providenti explained to Lori Bagwell the costs associated with temporary staffing and consulting / professional services contracts. Supervisor Aldean and Mr. Werner provided additional explanation and responded to questions of clarification. In response to a further question, Mr. Providenti provided an overview of the department heads’ responsibilities over their budgets and the function of the City’s Internal Finance Committee. Discussion followed, and Supervisor McKenna pointed out that “a budget is a plan. It’s not cast in stone. This group of people have been audited every year for many years. Very few, if any exceptions. They know how to bring a City in under budget. And if there were a material amount left out of this, like the Fire Department wasn’t included in this budget, ... there’d be cause for concern. A \$50,000 or a \$25,000 contract left out where they can ... take it away from one department and put it in another, that’s going to happen. That’s what happens during the year. That’s the operations. ... Never has any company or government or household lived up to exactly what they said their budget’s going to be. ... All in all, ... this budget is a fair representation of what could happen, not what will happen.” Ms. Bagwell explained the purpose of her comments and discussed the importance of transparency. She suggested including a contract schedule for each fiscal year. Mr. Providenti advised that the information is available on the City’s website, together with individual services and supplies line items. He provided direction for accessing the information. Ms. Bagwell “cautioned each and every move that you’re making over this next year to not add to the budget.”

At Supervisor Aldean’s request, Mr. Providenti explained the method by which each department’s year-to-date expenditures are monitored. He assured the Board and the citizens that Finance Department staff monitor expenditures daily. “There’s three of us ... that have been doing it for fifteen years. We can tell you where every nickel is. ... we try to get it out to the public. ... It’s government accounting, ... generally accepted accounting principles and that’s the way every entity in the United States does it ...” Mr. Providenti acknowledged that he and his staff are available to answer questions from the public. Supervisor Walt commended the transparency associated with publishing all the information on the City’s website.

At Supervisor Walt’s request, Assessor Dave Dawley provided background information on the Autumn Village developments. “It should be known that there are two buildings ... at Autumn Village. They were both built with Federal Housing funds. One of those buildings is not taxable, has not been taxable since 2007 / 2008. They’ve not been paying any property taxes. There was a brand new building just built off of Russell Way. We’ve been told that they’re going to go for a tax exemption. So we’re adding ... low income senior housing which the Fire Department has to take care of when they get called for paramedics, when the police are called, but they’re not paying any property taxes. Carson City has a lot of property. We’re the State capital. The State owns a lot of property. They don’t pay taxes on it. Churches. We have a lot of properties that are not taxable ... or have the ability to increase the amount such as those properties that are in redevelopment. There’s a capped amount as far as what comes to the general fund and what goes

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 6

DRAFT

to redevelopment. So anytime you add property to redevelopment, you're taking away the potential growth for any kind of tax revenue from the City itself." At Mayor Crowell's request, Mr. Dawley provided background information on the three percent property tax cap. "It's not an automatic three percent increase each year. As far as what the value is concerned, we have 6,500 properties that should be going down this year if the property tax rate stays the same. We still have a number of properties that will be going down even if you raise the tax rate because the values have fallen so much. And so it's not an automatic three percent ... It does say, though, that the maximum is three percent, based on the assessed valuation. If the assessed valuation is not under what the capped amount is, then they will see a three percent increase." Mr. Providenti assured the Board that "nothing is across-the-board when it comes to property tax. It's all on a parcel-by-parcel basis. You take your assessed value times your tax rate. Compare that number ... to what you paid last year by three percent. You take those two numbers and you pay the lower of the two amounts. So you could pay one percent more, you could pay two percent more. You can't pay more than three percent more if you own the house. So it's a parcel-by-parcel basis." Mr. Dawley provided additional clarification.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (10:24:08) Wally Earhart expressed appreciation for the City's staff and for Carson City. In reference to previous comments, he expressed a willingness to serve on any committee which would assist the City with budget preparation. He discussed his work experience relative to budget preparation. He discussed the Board's responsibility to "put on the brakes ... tighten your belts." He expressed concern over maxing out the tax rate and, in turn, having to make service cuts. He suggested considering "keep[ing] the tax rate where it is and let's keep from cutting in the future." He further suggested reconsidering the property tax increase. Mayor Crowell thanked Mr. Earhart for his offer of assistance.

Mayor Crowell reviewed the City's history of budget cuts over the past several years, and "as a result of all of those actions, there has been a substantial reduction in the amount of general fund employees. ... the general fund is down somewhere between 90 and 100 employees and the ... general fund budget has been cut by about 20 percent. ... we did that on the one side and then you hope that what you're going to do is ... manage the other part of that on the revenue side. What we've tried to do is ... spend down the ending fund balance as cautiously and judiciously as we could. We have not raised the property tax rate in this community even to the allowed rate for the last five years. The property tax rate that we ... have control over has not changed ... for five years. We had an opportunity to that. We have an opportunity to do that every year. We had that same opportunity last year and, in a sense, ... when I look back at that last year, it may have eased the pain a little bit if we'd raised a little bit then to see if we could get through, but we did not do that. We are where we are. We've raised the franchise fees to try and cover the push down from the State. We originally thought that was going to be \$1.2 million. It's now, the bill's coming in at \$1.9 million, \$2 million. We're arguing with the State as to whether or not we have to pay any more than \$1.2 million. We anticipate that the bills from the State are going to increase over time and those are things that we have historically not had to pay for." Mayor Crowell discussed the economic capacity of the community being stretched relative to the increase in franchise fees which were anticipated to cover the State pushdowns.

Mayor Crowell provided an overview of the contract internal auditor's findings presented at the last Board meeting. "One of the things they said was, we're ... in a position where we've got two choices. One is to either cut people and services which we've already done. Now we're at the point where we're cutting ... essential services and people may have different views on what an essential service is or isn't, or we'll go

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 7

DRAFT

to the allowed tax rate. ... which one of those do you do first? Which one is going to cause the least harm to the least number of people and still keep the quality of life that we enjoy in our community? ... The internal auditor also indicated that ... we have an aging work force which is a substantial risk to our community to the ability to continue to provide services. ... 'you need to make sure that you're infilling properly with younger people coming to help offset the aging workforce.' ... The internal auditor said the salaries in Carson City for comparable jobs are less than what they are in surrounding communities and with the State, even with the cuts. ... And their comment was, 'If you're not careful, you're going to start losing your younger people to other jurisdictions, including the State.'" Mayor Crowell agreed with an earlier comment that the Board is between a rock and a hard spot. "We've run through the stabilization fund, we've run through the ending fund balance, and we've tried very carefully to manage increases. ... This Board has adopted a resolution that says, 'If we get any excess money ... the first thing it's going to do is go to fund the stabilization account and the ending fund balance. There will be no hiring of additional people because we need to prepare for, if the economy doesn't turn around next year, ... we're going to be cutting. ... in reality, we have control over our spending, but we have very little control over what revenues we can put in place ... because the State tells us what we can do for our property tax, the State tells us what we can do with our sales tax and ... they also tell us what we can do with franchise fees. Absent those things, at least in your general fund area, we have very little control. ... And then the State turns around and shoves down costs to us that we have never had to bear in the past and doesn't provide the revenue source for it. That hurts. ... I'm also sensitive to what Supervisor McKenna said, 'If we do this, it has to be one year.' Now, we're going to have to take a look at that at the end of the year." Mayor Crowell assured the citizens, "It's not just trying to put a tax rate in place and living on that forever. It is putting a tax rate in place to see if we can maintain the level of services that we've got now which, I think many people would argue, is the bare bones level that we've got ..." Mayor Crowell discussed the importance of continuing to pull together, and expressed pride in everyone's efforts to "think of ways to move us through difficult times and keep us afloat."

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (10:43:03) In response to a question, Mayor Crowell advised Bill Davies that there is no \$23 million set-aside for the City Center Project. Mr. Davies inquired as to any funding available for the City Center Project which could instead be used to "balance your budget in Carson City." Mayor Crowell reiterated that there is no allocation in the budget toward the City Center Project, and explained the project's funding mechanism.

(10:47:32) Andrea Engelman advised of having discussed salary ranges with the contract internal auditors, "but they really didn't know where the salary ranges ranked with surrounding counties and the City." She discussed concerns associated with the current budget process in that "it creates distrust."

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment and, when none was forthcoming, additional Board member comments. Supervisor McKenna stated, "The reason Carson City exists is to provide services at a cost that the individual citizen couldn't get or couldn't afford. So we look at the services that you want ... and I am firmly convinced that we could cut some services, but I don't know that you want us to. ... What services do you want and at what level and so, based upon what people have told me, they like the service level that they have now. They would hate to lose part of it. Then there's the services that we must provide, are mandated by the State, by the feds, by community values. You want to attract people to live here, you better have a halfway clean community, you better have a response time for the Sheriff that is well within what people expect. ... the Sheriff's Department is suffering mightily under this budget and other things. It's not a very big Sheriff's Department. Then you look at the costs of providing these

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 8

DRAFT

services. Are we doing it for as little amount of money as we possibly can? I think we're close. I don't think there's a whole great big savings. We could get rid of parks and rec but we'd get rid of a lot of revenue ... Short of cutting fire and police, I don't know where there is any large pot of money to access to not raise the taxes and then you look at your sources of revenue. We have nothing left except for the property taxes. There's hope out there. I hope that the sales tax goes up, I hope the economy improves, I hope that people come in and bring business into town and so we have increased property taxes, values go up, etc. I don't think it's going to happen right away so the sources of revenue have to be looked at. Hopeful for the future but, as people have said, we're at a hard point right here. The other thing ... is ... actual facts. There have been a lot of things said today that are just flat wrong, that are not factual, that aren't even, there isn't any information that would support the opinion of what some people have said today. And other people have come up here and hit the nail right on the head. We can't really continue to afford to do what we're doing. This is a one-shot deal. If the economy doesn't start improving over the next five years, we will be reducing the fire department, ... the police department. We will be getting rid of street sweepers and the City will not look like it does now. **Supervisor McKenna moved to approve the Carson City tentative budget as the final budget for fiscal year 2012 / 2013. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion.** Supervisor Walt agreed with Supervisor McKenna's comments, and discussed concerns regarding further decreases in service levels. Mayor Crowell entertained additional discussion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote on the pending motion. **Motion carried 5-0.**

6(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE SIERRA FOREST FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TENTATIVE BUDGET AS THE FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012 - 2013 (10:54:50) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Mr. Providenti reviewed the agenda materials. He provided background information on the interlocal agreement between Carson City and the Sierra Forest Fire Protection District. Mayor Crowell entertained Board member and public comments. In response to a question, Fire Chief Stacey Giomi advised that the Fire Department will offer "probably a little higher level of service than what ... the Division of Forestry had for that district. So, under our operating agreement with them, the contract you've approved, staffing levels will actually [increase] a little bit as compared to what they had." Mr. Providenti advised that the \$0.10 property tax rate will be removed after FY2013. Chief Giomi responded to questions of clarification relative to fire protection. In response to a further question, he expressed the belief that the ending fund balance "from the State for these accounts should carry us through, pending any emergencies, ... the fiscal year that you're adopting the budget for now, plus one additional fiscal year." Mr. Werner further clarified "at that point, we talked about ... possibly looking at storm drainage and water funding to replace the property tax because of the watershed protection ..." Mr. Providenti further clarified that the consolidated tax will be allocated to the City rather than to the Sierra Forest Fire Protection District. Chief Giomi acknowledged no anticipation of having to use any portion of the \$0.10 for increased fire protection. Mayor Crowell called again for public comment and, when none was forthcoming, entertained a motion. **Supervisor Aldean moved to approve the Sierra Forest Fire Protection District tentative budget as the final budget for FY 2012 / 2013. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.**

6(C) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION SETTING THE TAX RATE FOR COUNTY COOPERATIVE EXTENSION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012 - 2013 (11:02:26) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Mr. Providenti reviewed the agenda materials. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. **Supervisor Abowd moved to adopt Resolution No. 2012-R-9, a resolution setting the tax rate for County Cooperative Extension for FY 2012 / 2013. Supervisor Walt seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.**

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Meeting

Page 9

DRAFT

7. **PUBLIC COMMENT** (11:03:30) - Mayor Crowell entertained public comment; however, none was forthcoming.

8. **ACTION TO ADJOURN BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** (11:03:41) - Supervisor Aldean moved to adjourn at 11:03 a.m. The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

9. **CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL** (11:03:57) - Chairperson Aldean called the meeting to order at 11:03 a.m. Mr. Glover called the roll; a quorum was present.

10. **FINANCE DEPARTMENT - POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE CARSON CITY REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY TENTATIVE BUDGET AS THE FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012 - 2013** (11:04:24) - Chairperson Aldean introduced this item, and Mr. Providenti acknowledged no changes since discussion of the Redevelopment Authority tentative budget at the April 19th meeting. Chairperson Aldean entertained public comments and Redevelopment Authority member comments. When none were forthcoming, she entertained a motion. **Member Walt moved to approve the Carson City Redevelopment Authority tentative budget as the final budget for fiscal year 2012 / 2013. Member Crowell seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.**

11. **PUBLIC COMMENT** (11:05:17) - Chairperson Aldean entertained public comment; however, none was forthcoming.

12. **ACTION TO ADJOURN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY** (11:05:27) - Member Walt moved to adjourn the meeting at 11:05 a.m. Vice Chairperson Abowd seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

The Minutes of the May 21, 2012 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting are so approved this _____ day of November, 2012.

ROBERT L. CROWELL, Mayor

ATTEST:

ALAN GLOVER, Clerk - Recorder