Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 1

DRAFT

A regular meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was scheduled for 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, July 6, 2017 in the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT: Mayor Robert Crowell

Supervisor Karen Abowd, Ward 1 Supervisor Brad Bonkowski, Ward 2 Supervisor Lori Bagwell, Ward 3 Supervisor John Barrette, Ward 4

STAFF: Nick Marano, City Manager

Sue Merriwether, Clerk - Recorder

Adriana Fralick, Chief Deputy District Attorney

Kathleen King, Chief Deputy Clerk

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the Board's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the Clerk, during the meeting, are part of the public record. These materials are available for review, in the Recording Secretaries Division of the Carson City Clerk's Office, during regular business hours.

- **1-4. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** (8:31:08) Mayor Crowell called the meeting to order at 8:31 a.m. Ms. Merriwether called the roll; a quorum was present. Chad Adamik, of St. Paul's Lutheran Family, provided the invocation. At Mayor Crowell's request, Mary Walker led the pledge of allegiance.
- **5. PUBLIC COMMENT** (8:32:57) Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (8:33:09) Bruce Kittess read a prepared statement into the record, copies of which, together with other informational materials, were provided to the Clerk. (8:39:17) Jerry Vaccaro "echoed some of the previous speaker's facts ...," and read a prepared statement into the record. No copy was provided to the Clerk. Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment; however, none was forthcoming.
- 6. POSSIBLE ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES June 1, 2017 (8:45:35) Mayor Crowell entertained suggested revisions to the minutes and, when none were forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to approve the minutes, as presented. Supervisor Bagwell seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote. Motion carried 5-0.
- 7. **POSSIBLE ACTION ON ADOPTION OF AGENDA** (8:46:02) Mayor Crowell entertained modifications to the agenda and, when none were forthcoming, deemed the agenda adopted, as published. (6:18:40) Mayor Crowell modified the agenda to address item 25(D) prior to item 25(C).

8. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:

8(A) PRESENTATION OF A PROCLAMATION FOR PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH, JULY 2017 (8:46:41) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item and, at his request, the Board members joined him on the meeting floor. Mayor Crowell read into the record the language of the Proclamation, copies of which were included in the agenda materials. The Board members, City staff, and citizens present applauded. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Department Director Jennifer Budge

Page 2

DRAFT

thanked the staff for all that they do. Mayor Crowell echoed her comments, and thanked the department staff for all they did to assist during the recent flooding events.

On behalf of the Carson City Parks Foundation, Ms. Budge acknowledged "all of the volunteers ..." and advised of a ceremony scheduled for Wednesday, July 12th at Mills Park. Ms. Budge announced the "Volunteer of the Year" is Chris MacKenzie; "Volunteer of the Year" is Robin Orloff; "Organization of the Year" is Muscle Powered; and "Business of the Year" is CarsonNow.org. Ms. Budge expressed appreciation for "all that they've done to support the parks system."

8(B) PRESENTATION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DONORS AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PARKS, RECREATION, AND OPEN SPACE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016 - 17 (8:53:39) - Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Department Director Jennifer Budge provided an overview of the staff report. Representatives of the Kiwanis Club of Sierra Nevada, Carson City Kiwanis Club, Carson City Chamber of Commerce, Clear Creek Bowmen, the Downtown Business Association, Genoa Trees and Landscape Material, Keystone Masonry, the Nevada Division of Forestry, the Nevada State Motor Pool, the Northern Sierra Ladies Gun Club, Tahoe Fence, Waste Management, the Carson Nugget, and the Carson Tigersharks received special acknowledgment. The Board members, City staff, and the citizens present applauded each of the donors.

8(C) RECOGNITION OF CARSON CITY AS A 2016 RECIPIENT OF THE UNIVERSAL PUBLIC PROCUREMENT CERTIFICATION COUNCIL AGENCY CERTIFICATION AWARD IN THE SMALL AGENCY CATEGORY (8:59:15) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Purchasing and Contracts Administrator Laura Rader reviewed the June 19, 2017 letter from the Universal Public Procurement Certification Council. Mayor Crowell commended Ms. Rader.

CONSENT AGENDA

(9:00:42) - Mayor Crowell advised that item 10(A) would be heard separately. He entertained additional requests to hear items separate from the consent agenda and, when none were forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to approve the consent agenda, consisting of items 9, 10(B), 11(A), with a correction to the expiration of the contract from June 20th to June 30th, 11(B), and 12. Supervisor Bagwell seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Lori Bagwell

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Bagwell, Abowd, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting

Page 3 DRAFT

9. CITY MANAGER - POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION DESIGNATING THE CARSON CITY COURTHOUSE, LOCATED AT 885 EAST MUSSER STREET, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, AS THE OFFICIAL LOCATION FOR SALES OF REAL PROPERTY RELATIVE TO NRS 107.080 - .081

10. FINANCE DEPARTMENT

10(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION TO LEVY THE CARSON CITY FISCAL YEAR 2017 - 18 AD VALOREM TAX RATES, AS CERTIFIED BY THE NEVADA TAX COMMISSION (9:01:43) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item. Chief Financial Officer Nancy Paulson reviewed the agenda materials, and responded to questions of clarification. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to adopt Resolution No. 2017-R-28, a resolution to levy Carson City Fiscal Year 2017 - 18 tax rates, as certified by the Nevada Tax Commission. Supervisor Bagwell seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor Lori Bagwell

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Bagwell, Bonkowski, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

10(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ACCEPT THE REPORT ON THE CONDITION OF EACH FUND IN THE TREASURY, AND THE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES, THROUGH JUNE 23, 2017, PURSUANT TO NRS 251.030 AND NRS 354.290

11. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

11(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT, BETWEEN CARSON CITY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE STATE OF NEVADA, TO PROVIDE INTERNET CONNECTIVITY AND NETWORK ENGINEERING SERVICES, AS REQUIRED THROUGH SILVERNET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018 AND 2019; THE ANNUAL AMOUNT FOR THESE SERVICES WILL BE \$26,394.72

- 11(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR ACCESS TO NEVADA'S BUSINESS PORTAL (SILVERFLUME), BETWEEN THE CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY OF CARSON CITY AND THE NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE
- 12. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF ONE (1) ROAD RESCUE TRANSIT AMBULANCE FROM EMERGENCY VEHICLE GROUP, INC., THROUGH THE HGAC BUY PROGRAM (HOUSTON-GALVESTON AREA COUNCIL) COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT, FOR A NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$92,436, TO BE FUNDED FROM THE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT

DRAFT

ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND OTHER ITEMS

- 13. ANY ITEM(S) PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA WILL BE HEARD AT THIS TIME (9:01:41) Please see the minutes for item 10(A).
- **14. CITY MANAGER PRESENTATION AND FINAL REPORT OF THE 2017 LEGISLATIVE SESSION** (9:04:22) Mayor Crowell introduced this item. Government Affairs Consultants Mary Walker and Steve Walker, of Walker & Associates, reviewed the agenda materials. Questions of clarification were answered and discussion took place throughout the presentation. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, thanked Mr. and Mrs. Walker for their presentation.

15. CARSON CITY AIRPORT AUTHORITY

15(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE, TITLE 19, AIRPORT RULES AND REGULATIONS, BY ADDING SECTION 19.02.020.370, TO ADDRESS PERMISSIBLE AIRCRAFT STORAGE HANGAR USE (9:31:58) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and disclosed a previous professional relationship with Airport Authority Counsel Steve Tackes. Mayor Crowell advised he would abstain from participating in discussion and action, passed the gavel to Mayor *Pro Tem* Karen Abowd, and left the meeting room.

(9:33:03) Airport Authority Counsel Steve Tackes introduced Airport Authority Chair Linda Law, and reviewed the agenda materials. Mr. Tackes advised of a revision to the draft ordinance, as suggested by Supervisor Bagwell. At Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd's request, Mr. Tackes reviewed the hangar inspection authority and procedure. He responded to questions of clarification, and discussion ensued.

Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd entertained additional Board member questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, public comments. (10:03:08) Jerry Vaccaro discussed his complaint to the FAA which resulted in an inspection, and his concerns regarding use of FAA grant funding by the Airport Authority. Mr. Vaccaro expressed disagreement with "the modification of this Title 19 ..." He responded to questions of clarification, and discussion ensued.

Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd entertained additional public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to introduce, on first reading, Bill No. 116, an ordinance amending the Carson City Municipal Code, Title 19, Airport Rules and Regulations, by adding Section 19.02.020.370, to address permissible aircraft storage hangar use. Supervisor Barrette seconded the motion. In response to a question, Supervisor Bonkowski advised of the understanding that the revision submitted by Supervisor Bagwell had been incorporated into the draft ordinance, which was distributed as late material. Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd entertained discussion on the motion. Supervisor Bagwell discussed the reasons she would vote in favor of the motion. Supervisor Bonkowski clarified statements referenced by Mr. Vaccaro during his public comment. Supervisor Barrette discussed the reasons he would vote in favor of the motion. Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd expressed agreement with previous comments, and called for a vote on the pending motion.

Page 5

DRAFT

RESULT: Approved [4 - 0 - 1]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor John Barrette

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Barrette, Bagwell, and Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd

NAYS: None ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: Mayor Robert Crowell

15(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE AIRPORT TERMINAL LEASE FOR FLIGHT SCHOOL USE OF THE WEST PORTION OF THE TERMINAL BUILDING BY CARSON AVIATION ADVENTURES, LLC (10:21:29) - Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd introduced this item. Airport Authority Counsel Steve Tackes introduced Airport Authority Chair Linda Law, and reviewed the agenda materials, including a redlined version of the lease which was distributed as late material. Following a brief discussion, Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd entertained public comment. When no public comment was forthcoming, Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd entertained a motion. Supervisor Bagwell moved to approve the airport terminal lease for flight school use of the west portion of the terminal building by Carson Aviation Adventures, LLC, pursuant to the redlined version distributed prior to the start of the meeting, "with the change in possession being either a 30 or 60-day, whichever the law provides, and a change in Section 27, from the redlined version of five days to 15 calendar days." Supervisor Barrette seconded the motion. Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [4 - 0 - 1]
MOVER: Supervisor Lori Bagwell
SECOND: Supervisor John Barrette

AYES: Supervisors Bagwell, Barrette, Bonkowski, and Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd

NAYS: None ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: Mayor Robert Crowell

Mayor *Pro Tem* Abowd recessed the meeting at 10:34 a.m. Mayor Crowell reconvened the meeting at 10:41 a.m.

16. FIRE DEPARTMENT

16(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE AN INTERLOCAL CONTRACT, BETWEEN THE TRUCKEE MEADOWS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AND THE CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY OF CARSON CITY, AND TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF CARSON CITY (10:41:49) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Battalion Chief T.J. DeHaven reviewed the agenda materials. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to enter into an Interlocal Contract, between the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District and the Consolidated

Page 6

DRAFT

Municipality of Carson City, and to authorize the Mayor to sign the agreement on behalf of Carson City. Supervisor Bonkowski seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Bonkowski, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

16(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE AN INTERLOCAL CONTRACT AND OPERATING PLAN, BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE, HUMBOLDT - TOIYABE NATIONAL FOREST, AND THE CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY OF CARSON CITY, AND TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE AGREEMENTS ON BEHALF OF CARSON CITY (10:44:32) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item. Battalion Chief T.J. DeHaven reviewed the agenda materials, and responded to questions of clarification. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to enter into an Interlocal Contract and Operating Plan, between the Bureau of Land Management, USDA Forest Service, and the Consolidated Municipality of Carson City, and to authorize the Mayor to sign the agreements on behalf of Carson City. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Abowd, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

17. SHERIFF - POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE FIVE YEAR DRAFT "911 SURCHARGE MASTER PLAN" FOR FISCAL YEARS 2018 THROUGH 2022, IN ACCORDANCE WITH NRS 244A.7641 THROUGH NRS 244A.7647, AS PRESENTED BY THE 911 SURCHARGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (10:46:37) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Sheriff Ken Furlong introduced Deputy District Attorney Iris Yowell. Sheriff Furlong reviewed the agenda materials. Supervisor Bonkowski reviewed suggested revisions to the draft master plan which was included in the agenda materials. Kathie Heath provided background information and reviewed the budgetary information in conjunction with displayed slides. Supervisor Bagwell thanked Ms. Heath for the clarity of the presentation. Supervisor Bagwell suggested the possibility of "a couple hundred thousand dollars to put into infrastructure, roads. ... I'm just putting a plug out there ... for an opportunity like we did a year

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 7

DRAFT

ago ... This might be an ongoing funding source opportunity as we free up this general fund." Ms. Heath pointed out that the Board will have time to decide as "those significant general fund changes don't come until FY 2019." Supervisor Barrette expressed agreement with Supervisor Bagwell's comments, and advised that he would be considering every possible funding source for road maintenance and improvements. Sheriff Furlong responded to questions regarding the vendors, and the benefits of body cameras and vehicle cameras.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional Board member questions or comments and public comments. When no additional questions or comments were forthcoming, Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to approve the five-year draft with the changes that were entered, for the record, on the "911 Surcharge Master Plan" for fiscal years 2018 through 2022, in accordance with NRS 244A.7641 through NRS 244A.7647, as presented by the 911 Surcharge Advisory Committee. Supervisor Barrette seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor John Barrette

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Barrette, Bonkowski, Bagwell, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

FINANCE DEPARTMENT - DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON A RESOLUTION 18. OF INTENT, PROPOSING THE ISSUANCE OF, AND AUTHORIZING THE PUBLICATION OF NOTICES RELATING TO, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) SEWER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$6,000,000, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING SEWER PROJECTS FOR THE CITY; PROVIDING THE MANNER, FORM, AND CONTENTS OF THE NOTICES; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER OR THE CITY'S CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER TO ARRANGE FOR THE SALE OF THE BONDS; AND PROVIDING THE **EFFECTIVE DATE** (11:08:17) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Chief Financial Officer Nancy Paulson reviewed the agenda materials. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, amotion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to adopt Resolution No. 2017-R-29, a Resolution of Intent proposing the issuance of, and authorizing the publication of notices relating to, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Sewer Bonds, additionally secured by pledged revenues, in the aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$6,000,000, for the purpose of financing sewer projects for the City; providing the manner, form, and content of the notices; authorizing the City Manager or the City's Chief Financial Officer to arrange for the sale of the bonds; and providing the effective date. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 8

DRAFT

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Abowd, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

19. PARKS, RECREATION, AND OPEN SPACE DEPARTMENT

19(A) POSSIBLE ACTION APPROVE THE **SPACE** TO **OPEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2016** (11:11:03) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item. Open Space Administrator Ann Bollinger introduced Open Space Advisory Committee Chair Bruce Scott, and reviewed the agenda materials in conjunction with displayed slides. Ms. Bollinger responded to questions. Mr. Scott thanked the Board for their support, assistance, and input. Mayor Crowell and Supervisor Barrette commended the Open Space Advisory Committee on their contributions to the community. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bagwell moved to approve the Open Space Advisory Committee's Annual Report for 2016, and commend them for their work. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Lori Bagwell SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bagwell, Abowd, Bonkowski, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

19(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND APPROVE THE WORK PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR

2018 (11:17:42) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Open Space Administrator Ann Bollinger reviewed the agenda materials in conjunction with displayed slides. (11:20:57) Open Space Advisory Committee Chair Bruce Scott advised that the committee "is trying to balance the wheels of government turning slowly and the need for patience. ... it's a challenge because we've got a lot of folks that really want to see some things happening and we've got some good people that don't always understand ... some of the details. But the other side of it is, we have a very limited staff. We're working in a lot of different areas. You don't get \$2 million worth of grants by sitting around talking about weeds. It's a hard balance and we're working to ... balance that. ... you'll get some concerns and ... they're not wrong to be frustrated but we are making good progress. We do think we have a good program, and we're adding some really good people as we carefully add to the staff for the management portion of what is our really long-term effort. ... feel free to direct people to me or, if you have questions, let me know if I can help. I'm happy to try to do that. Ann, of course, takes care of most of that. But we're certainly available, as committee members, to try to help move this along as well."

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 9

DRAFT

Supervisor Abowd thanked Ms. Bollinger for her presentation and for all her hard work. In reference to plans for a Site Development Plan at the Silver Saddle Ranch, Supervisor Abowd encouraged consideration of larger, concert events. "... it's a beautiful setting. It's perfect for that and we're limited in terms of places where we can do those things." Supervisor Barrette expressed appreciation for the coordination between the City and Muscle Powered.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. **Supervisor Abowd moved to accept the recommendation of the Open Space Advisory Committee, and approve the work program outline for the FY 2018. Supervisor Barrette seconded the motion.** Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor John Barrette

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Barrette, Bonkowski, Bagwell, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

20. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROVIDE DIRECTION TO STAFF ON HOW TO PROCEED WITH FUNDING THE STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (11:23:47) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Utility Manager David Bruketta narrated a PowerPoint presentation which was displayed in the meeting room. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (11:33:10) Andrew Cromdahl introduced himself, for the record, as "a resident in northwest Carson City." Mayor Crowell advised of having received Mr. Cromdahl's letter. Mr. Cromdahl expressed the opinion that "the current storm water rate structure is too simplistic in that it unfairly lumps vastly different properties in a similar billing category solely based off zoning groups. ... the storm water fee structure should better reflect the service it is designed to operate. Before making any changes to the rates themselves, please use more available parcel data to create a fee structure that makes sense and bills parcel owners fairly and truly, and that truly reflects storm water impacts."

In response to a question, Public Works Department Director Darren Schulz explained a proposal to increase the storm water rate "one time now to an amount ... right around 30% ... and use that rate increase to sell a bond to pay for the first six items that we had on that capital improvement program. ... those are the items ... that ... need to be taken care of today. ... and if we don't ... spend the money today, for instance, we have a project going out next year, the Curry Street Project, where we are improving that street, similar to what we did on Carson Street, a much smaller scale, but that type of thing. We're going ... to build that project. We're going to fix it, but we're not going to fix storm drain as part of that project, which means we're putting down new concrete, new asphalt. Meanwhile, we didn't fix the storm drain that's under there. That's the condition we're faced with today. That's the way that project's going to roll forward. So, the proposal ... is an opportunity where we raise the rates, a one-time rate increase to chunk off those first six capital improvement items. We bond for it. That would raise the amount of money we need to pay for those and that's going to be an approximate 30 percent increase, with the understanding that, in 18 months, Public Works will be going through a rate analysis for water and wastewater, based on the fact that we did it seven years ago. We've had five years of rate increases. We want to go back, look

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 10

DRAFT

at what we've done, look at what we've spent, look at the revenue, and do another check as to where we're at and ... we need to plan out the next ten years. I don't know what that's going to tell us but we want it to be public, we want everybody involved in it.

"So, what we're suggesting is that when we do that, in 18 months, it would be appropriate to also include a storm drain rate analysis at that juncture and, at that time, we can look at the options that David talked about. Is it lower level? What's the flavor of the citizens as well as the Board of Supervisors in terms of what we look at with that storm water study?

"Things that have come up in the last month, although we haven't got a lot of public comment, is some of the testimony that we've just heard. ... we could make the system better with some minor tweaks. We could also throw out everything that we have and rebuild the system, soup to nuts, from bottom to top and come up with a program that ... every square foot, how much are they contributing to our storm water system. That's an option too. Staff doesn't necessarily feel that that's where we should go but, because there's such a variety, it needs to be understood it's not as simple as water rates. It just doesn't work that simple because there's so many variables and components that go into storm water.

"So, ... there's a lot of things that have to be considered and we just want to be careful that what we're doing today doesn't bind us in the future. At the same time, we've got these projects coming at us that we need to put some money at. Furthermore, after the floods ... there's some low-hanging fruit ... that with very little money can go a long way to fix those troublesome areas for when the next ones come." Extensive discussion followed, during which Mr. Schulz, Mr. Bruketta, and Deputy Chief Financial Officer Sheri Russell responded to questions of clarification in conjunction with displayed slides.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bagwell moved to direct staff to bring back a proposal that would include a bonding mechanism based on a percentage that would allow completion of the first six projects on the capital improvements list. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Lori Bagwell SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bagwell, Abowd, Bonkowski, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

21. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, PLANNING DIVISION

21(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT BILL NO. 115, ON SECOND READING, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 18, CHAPTER 18.16, DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, DIVISION 1, LAND USE AND SITE DESIGN, SECTION 1.17, MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, AND SECTION 1.18, RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS IN NON-RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS, TO CLARIFY THAT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND TWO-FAMILY DWELLINGS MAY COMPLY WITH OPEN SPACE

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 11

DRAFT

REQUIREMENTS, EITHER THROUGH COMMON OR PRIVATE OPEN SPACE (12:03:04) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item and, in response to a question, Planning Manager Hope Sullivan advised of no changes to the proposed ordinance since introduction, on first reading. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to approve Bill No. 115, on second reading, Ordinance No. 2017-15, an ordinance amending Title 18, Chapter 18.16, Development Standards, Division 1, Land Use and Site Design, Section 1.17, Multi-Family Apartment Development Standards, and Section 1.18, Residential Development Standards in Non-Residential Districts, to clarify that the single-family residential development and two-family dwellings may comply with open space requirements, either through common or private open space, based on the findings contained in the staff report. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Abowd, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

21(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP REQUEST FROM G&E INVESTMENTS, LLC (PROPERTY OWNERS: GORDON STREET, LLC AND G&E INVESTMENTS, LLC) FOR 16 SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN A COMMON OPEN SPACE DEVELOPMENT, ON PROPERTY ZONED MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT ("MFA") WITHIN THE BROWN STREET SPECIFIC PLAN AREA ("BS - SPA"), LOCATED AT 1709, 1725, 1759, AND 1809 NORTH EDMONDS DRIVE, APNS 008-306-09, -11, -15, AND -16 (12:04:33) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Special Projects Planner Susan Pansky reviewed the agenda materials in conjunction with displayed slides. (12:08:08) In response to a question, Chris Baker, of Manhard Consulting, advised that purchase price is currently being "worked through. We're doing an engineer's cost estimate. This architecture, floor plans, were actually developed specifically for the site so they haven't been built before. So that's in process." Mr. Baker advised of a "commitment to put shutters on the ones that face the street." In response to a question, he further advised that a "lower entry level price point ... is the goal and that was the basis for the project. But we're just trying to pencil out and see where we end up." Ms. Pansky and Mr. Baker responded to additional questions of clarification.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to approve TSM-17-052, a Tentative Subdivision Map request from G&E Investments, LLC for 16 single-family attached residential units in a Common Open Space Development, on property zoned Multi-Family Apartment within the Brown Street Specific Plan Area, located at 1709, 1725, 1759, and 1809 North Edmonds Drive, APNs 008-306-09, -11, -015, and -16, based on the findings and subject to the conditions of approval in the staff report. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

Page 12

DRAFT

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Abowd, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

21(C) POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A REQUEST FROM RBT 0641 IRREVOCABLE TRUST 12/22/16 FOR AN HISTORICAL TAX DEFERMENT, ON PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT, LOCATED AT 402 SOUTH DIVISION STREET, APN 003-128-02 (12:13:20) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item. Planning Manager Hope Sullivan reviewed the agenda materials, noting staff's recommendation of approval. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bagwell moved to approve a request from RBT 0641 Irrevocable Trust 12/22/16 for an historical tax deferment, on property located within the historic district, specifically at 402 South Division Street, APN 003-128-02. Supervisor Barrette seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Lori Bagwell SECOND: Supervisor John Barrette

AYES: Supervisors Bagwell, Barrette, Abowd, Bonkowski, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

22. CLERK - RECORDER - POSSIBLE ACTION TO ACCEPT THE ANNUAL TECHNOLOGY FUND REPORT FROM THE CARSON CITY CLERK - RECORDER, PURSUANT TO NRS 247.306 (12:15:20) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and entertained questions or comments. When no questions or comments were forthcoming, Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to accept the Annual Technology Fund Report from the Carson City Clerk - Recorder, pursuant to NRS 247.306, showing an estimate of proceeds that will be collected in FY 2017 / 18, and a proposal for the expenditures of the proceeds; any unused funds will be maintained for future training or technology for the County Recorder's Office. Supervisor Bagwell seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

Page 13

DRAFT

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor Lori Bagwell

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Bagwell, Bonkowski, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

RECESS AND RECONVENE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING (12:16:04; 1:31:16) - Mayor Crowell recessed the meeting at 12:16 p.m., and reconvened at 1:31 p.m.

23. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NON-ACTION ITEMS: FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

STATUS REVIEW OF PROJECTS

INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

CORRESPONDENCE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

STATUS REPORTS AND COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORTS

24. CITY MANAGER - POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPOINT TWO MEMBERS TO THE LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, EACH TO FILL A TERM ENDING JUNE 2021 (1:31:37) - Mayor Crowell introduced and provided an overview of this item. He proposed appointing Amanda Long to a full term, and then interviewing Phyllis Patton and Bepsy Strasburg. With no opposition from the Board, Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to reappoint Amanda Long, for a term ending June 2021. Supervisor Bonkowski seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Bonkowski, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

(1:32:45) Mayor Crowell welcomed Phyllis Patton to the meeting table, and her for her "time and dedication to the Browser's Corner, the Library, and all things literary." Ms. Patton responded to questions regarding her opinion of the future of libraries; ways for the Library to interact with the Arts and Culture

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 14

DRAFT

Director for future activities to showcase the Library and unique arts and culture in Carson City; in consideration of limited funding, her opinion regarding a larger central location for the Library or multiple smaller locations or improved and additional technology; her opinion of a library's role in a community; and her opinion of staffing levels at the Library. Mayor Crowell offered Ms. Patton the opportunity to comment further. Ms. Patton advised that she's lived in the community for twenty years and has "kind of figured out what this community is all about." She voted for the "very first library bond issue the year that [she] moved here. I'm still voting for them and I will continue to vote for them." Ms. Patton expressed appreciation for the opportunity, and requested the Board's consideration of her reappointment. Mayor Crowell thanked Ms. Patton for her service.

(1:38:22) Mayor Crowell welcomed Bepsy Strasburg to the meeting table, thanked her for her application, and provided an overview of the interview process. Ms. Strasburg responded to questions regarding her work experience and her residence in Carson City; the reasons for moving to Carson City; her opinion of the future of libraries; her opinion of staffing levels at the Library; her opinion of a library's role in a community; in consideration of limited funding, her opinion regarding a larger central location for the Library, multiple smaller locations, or improving and enhancing technology; ways for the Library to interact with the Arts and Culture Director for future activities to showcase the Library and the unique arts and culture in Carson City. Mayor Crowell offered Ms. Strasburg the opportunity to comment further, and she requested the Board's consideration of her application.

The Board members discussed the applicants' qualifications, and Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to appoint Phyllis Patton to fill a term ending in June 2021. Supervisor Bonkowski seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Bonkowski, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

25. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, PLANNING DIVISION

25(A) POSSIBLE ACTION TO CONSIDER A REPORT ON THE MARIJUANA REGULATIONS WORKSHOP CONDUCTED ON JUNE 20, 2017, AND TO PROVIDE DIRECTION TO STAFF REGARDING THE PREPARATION OF AN ORDINANCE TO ADDRESS RECREATIONAL MARIJUANA ESTABLISHMENTS IN CARSON CITY, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR ZONING AND BUSINESS LICENSE REQUIREMENTS (1:49:13) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and read a prepared disclosure statement into the record applicable to the subject and following two items. Mayor Crowell advised that he would participate in discussion and action on all three items. Supervisor Bonkowski read a prepared disclosure statement into the record, and advised that he would participate in discussion and action on this item. Mayor Crowell entertained additional disclosures; however, none were forthcoming.

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 15

DRAFT

Community Development Director Lee Plemel reviewed the agenda materials, introduced Nevada Association of Counties Deputy Director Dagny Stapleton, and provided an overview of the presentation format. Ms. Stapleton narrated a PowerPoint presentation, which was displayed in the meeting room, and copies of which were included in the agenda materials. Ms. Stapleton, Mr. Plemel, District Attorney Jason Woodbury, Ms. Fralick, and Deputy District Attorney Dan Yu responded to questions of clarification, and extensive discussion ensued.

Mr. Plemel narrated the PowerPoint presentation entitled, "Current Zoning and Business License Regulations for Medical Marijuana Establishments." The presentation was displayed in the meeting room and copies were included in the agenda materials.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (2:43:44) Will Adler, representing Sierra Cannabis Coalition, responded to previous questions regarding impairment; delivery procedures; and the differences between distribution and delivery. Ms. Stapleton and Mr. Plemel provided additional clarification, and discussion ensued.

(2:59:13) Carol Howell expressed no opposition to medical marijuana "if it's needed and prescribed." She expressed opposition to recreational marijuana, and discussed her family's history in Carson City. She reminded the Board members of their representative responsibilities to the citizens. "The people did not vote in Carson City to have recreational marijuana. They voted against it. And I'm asking all of you to stand up and represent the people of this City before your personal interests, before your financial interests, before anything else because you're our representatives and this is not what we asked for. So it doesn't matter how far away it is. It doesn't matter whether there's two or there's four or there's six or what there are. Your residents said no, and I expect all of you to do the same."

(3:01:36) Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Statewide Program Coordinator Kathy Bartosz acknowledged that recreational marijuana is now legal in Nevada "because of 100,000 voters in Clark County." Based on her experience working with young people, Ms. Bartosz advised "you can't educate them into not doing something. They are totally dependent upon the atmosphere that is created for them, the environment that they live in that's created by the adults in that community. And that's how things change, by changing the behaviors of adults that are unacceptable to you for children. It's plain and simple. Nobody likes to hear that because that puts the pressure back on us, and we can't just lecture and walk away. So, if you're going to create an atmosphere that says marijuana can be used recreationally, whether you like it or not, you are normalizing that behavior for young people and you're giving permission. And that's unfortunately the truth.

"The other thing we deal with when we come to this sort of a thing too is that there are a lot of people who would be very happy to continue to support that sort of behavior in young people because, as it did with nicotine and as it did with alcohol, you gotta get 'em young if you're going to continue to maintain your business. Because they have to be addicted prior to the bad news coming out about how many are now getting hospitalized ... So you really need to be able to get ahead of that and that's by the adults changing their behaviors. Unfortunately, we do have places like the dark web that have already figured out how to manufacture, very beautifully, medical marijuana cards as well as they've been doing fake IDs forever. One of the things I know some of you did is you went to Arizona and you looked at the medical marijuana

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 16

DRAFT

clinics down there and how well they were run. I cannot tell you how many ASU students there are walking around right now with medical marijuana cards that were not given to them by a physician. The dark web is light years ahead of us in giving these kids exactly what they need to keep some of these markets going.

"Supervisor Bonkowski, I share your concern about the possibility of working within a 25-mile restriction for home grows. But, let's look at this logically. In Carson City, we did not have medical marijuana until just this last year. Prior to then, were people taking care of their marijuana needs with prolific home grows? Is that a problem in our community? Because, believe me, if it was, you'd be hearing about it because they stink. And people would be here complaining about this grow here or this grow here. The Sheriff would be hearing about it. So now, we do have medical marijuana. We have a proliferation of sources where people can get medical marijuana cards and it's cheaper than recreational marijuana so, if people want to go down that path, they have all the tools they need to do that right now. So I'm not particularly worried about people watching their water bill go skyrocketing through the ceiling to ... take care of the needs of water for their marijuana plants.

"The last thing I want to say is that I'm a little concerned about what appears to be a lack of interest in what the other states that have gone before us have experienced. ... Well, that's kind of like saying we're going to hire a nanny to come in and take care of our family but I don't need to do a background check on her because what do I care about what the other families have experienced with her. This is my family. Now, that really does sound kind of ridiculous but I feel it's kind of what we're doing now. We have states that have begged us, we've had Colorado D.A. beg us. He came out here on his own dime and said, 'What you are about to do is ridiculous. What you're about to do is going to have complications that you cannot take back once they get out of the gate.' That was his own dime. He was that concerned about what we were about to do. And I don't know how many of you were able to attend ... but, for those of you that missed it, it is on tape on the ... website.

"But, at any rate, I would like to see us take more time to do our homework, to look at the information that's available to us, and to do what this very articulate woman before me just said. Remember who you're representing. You're not representing the 100,000 people in Clark County that tipped the scale and put this out to all of us. You're representing us. You're representing me. We're your family. When you talk about the nanny situation, we're your family. We're the ones that are looking to you to help preserve what have been the norms of our community that promote, and have done so very well, ... something that's healthy, something that's vibrant, something that's attractive to young families. That's who we've become lately and I would love to see us continue to promote that and to put our time and energy into that."

Supervisor Bagwell advised of having conducted research into other states' practices, and offered to provide the results. Supervisor Abowd offered the same. Supervisor Barrette advised of having previously stated his lack of concern over what other jurisdictions have done. "And I stand by that statement. It will not sway my vote one way or the other, but I do care very much about Carson City and the State of Nevada and I don't think that their ways of doing things are necessarily carbon copies of our ways of doing things here. Your points are well taken. ... I think Denver was too fast. ... I'd rather that the state had not voted this in but now the question is ... there are a lot of things that are ramifications of this that we can't see yet. I know that. You know it too. I'm as concerned as you are about our children but, at the same time, I'm

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 17

DRAFT

concerned about people who drive under the influence of both substances and any other substance that impairs them. I'm torn because of those types of things." Ms. Bartosz responded to questions of clarification, and discussion took place regarding the rule of law.

(3:13:48) Linda Lang advised of having reviewed the fiscal summary provided by Mr. Plemel "and ... the estimates cover some of our fire and health and code inspectors, Sheriff's Department, District Attorney. We acknowledge that some of the other costs were not estimated but it's just not comprehensive enough and the money is not making sense. ... This is about the money. And we have heard that it is about what kind of revenue can be brought into Carson City; what we're going to lose out on if they all drive up to Reno and then have to come back here ..."

Ms. Lang reviewed "some of the additional costs that could be incurred that have not been calculated into your fiscal summary ... Specifically, the Sheriff's Office costs. Those were already discussed and we know what those would be. Second, that is not on this list, is the Juvenile Probation and Juvenile Court costs. ... The third is Alternative Sentencing costs and the Court costs. We just heard from the D.A. today about the need for possibly some expert testimony and potential new testing costs because we don't know how we're going to test for those. What are those? We don't know as of yet. Hospital emergency room costs. We have discussed this in previous meetings generically. We don't have a dollar amount as to what those costs are going to be. We do have data that supports some of the ... certain costs. Not a lot of data on the rest of this for Carson City. Child welfare costs. Chris Bayer wanted to be here today but he is in court and, as you all know, Chris is very immersed in the child welfare costs. We do pay for those DCF services here in Carson City even though they're state services ... We also have costs of the homeless. Shelly Aldean is going to speak a little bit to this later on Treatment costs. ... We have our workforce costs that haven't been mentioned; finding employees that can pass drug tests ... Public education costs ... and tourism costs. With the redevelopment of our beautiful downtown ... what's the nature of that? What's that going to look like if there's no public usage ...

"I was urged to ask you that each of these costs should be considered in our basic decision making here today and each requires spending of money and we should decide what these are up front before we just indicate that we're going to have a surplus of \$660,000 plus \$330,000 if the dispensaries reach the \$15 million in sales per year ... If you calculate the cost of all of these and we do a real fiscal impact analysis, ... we should base our decisions on the data that we collect and on the actual cost of services ..."

Supervisor Bonkowski clarified that he doesn't anticipate the City will "make money on this. What I have looked at is the potential to have at least a portion of cost recovery for the services that we're going to have to provide regardless of any decision that we make as a Board. You can ask the Sheriff, over the 4th, how much taxpayer money he just had to spend to break up a huge pot party. That pot didn't come from Carson City. It's not legal to sell recreational in Carson City. We still have to deal with the problem. It's still going to cost us money. Should we have the ability to try to recover some of that cost? We're never going to recover all that cost and we're certainly never going to make money on this."

Supervisor Barrette suggested starting with consideration of the cost of alcohol to a society. "... that's what's got our deputies out there a great deal more than pot. I'm not defending pot. I have said, publicly, I wish that the State of Nevada hadn't gone down the recreational road. I think we needed more years under the medical. We just started that and we're turning around and doing this. I disagree with it but that doesn't mean that I think that pot is going to create the kinds of problems that everybody's really worried

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 18

DRAFT

about. I think alcohol creates 80 percent of the problems of controlled substances or uncontrolled substances in this nation and I'm a wine drinker. ... if I had it in my power, we'd stop doing this kind of stuff, stop talking about which substance is a problem. The biggest substance that's a problem in this country is alcohol and it's been legal since the end of the Volstead Act. ... you make good points. I just don't happen to agree that it's going to cost all that much."

Supervisor Abowd advised that opioids are the largest problem ... and that is prescribed in most cases and it's a larger issue that is not being dealt with and is a much larger issue that needs to be dealt with. We have a big picture here that we need to look at and your points are well taken. I'm listening. I hear them. But I also know the bigger picture out here of what we're looking at."

Ms. Lang discussed the impact of opioids on community resources, and read into the record a statement from Chief Juvenile Probation Officer Ali Bannister.

(3:25:13) Carson City School District Superintendent Richard Stokes thanked the Board members for their service. He advised that he is an "advocate for students and for children like you ... are as well. Somewhere in our coming to be, forefathers, lawmakers, policy makers, we figured out a way to keep our most valuable resources safe and we've identified the things that we think it's in our children's best interest for them not to be involved in. I'm grateful that that continues. I'm grateful that our current lawmakers have said marijuana is here but we're going to limit it at a certain age and I appreciate all of the thinking that's gone into trying to keep our kids safe. As I'm thinking right now about just watching all the trucks and all the vehicles and all the movement in Carson City, our economy's booming, I'm asked everyday what am I doing as the head of the schools to create a workforce for the entities, the agencies that are here in town. As these controlled or uncontrolled substances ... come into our community, every kid that imbibes is removing themselves from that workforce in one way or another. It's creating greater opportunities for kids to get pulled over because they're impaired, arrested, having criminal records at an early age. All these things are going to impact their lives. Probably the bottom line here is we end up now with a place that none of us can really envision well and that is the work that each of us have put into making our home here in Carson City, this quality of life that we love so much, what is that going to be like? And that's what you're wrestling with and I'm sorry for that. It's a difficult choice and I really can appreciate what you're struggling with. But just wanted to go on the record to say that ... I value your service to our community. ... I love our kids. ... all that we can do to not make some of these substances more readily available suits me just fine."

(3:28:03) Diana Ortiz thanked the Board for their service to the community, and advised that she is a licensed alcohol and drug counselor at the Community Counseling Center. Ms. Ortiz described her work at the Community Counseling Center, and reviewed statistical information on alcohol and drug abuse in the community. "Definitely, we do have a crisis in our City, and ... the normalization of the use of marijuana along with other drugs is huge for our clients, our young people and ... I agree with Ali Bannister's comments that, if we do allow the recreation establishments here, then it's definitely, once again, sending that message to them. ... The National Institute on Drug abuse defines addiction as a chronic, relapsing brain disease that's characterized by compulsive drug seeking and use despite harmful consequences. It's considered a brain disease because drugs change the brain. They change its structure and how it works. These brain changes can be long lasting and can lead to harmful behaviors seen in people who abuse drugs." Ms. Ortiz expressed concern over "what message we're sending.

Page 19

DRAFT

"Some of the things some of my clients share is now, since the medical marijuana has been here in Carson City, I just had an 18-year-old, who I did a substance abuse evaluation referred through the court ... and he buys his marijuana from somebody that does have a medical marijuana card and so, he says, that's the good stuff. I only need to smoke a little bit and then I pass out and I don't even know what happened. And so, my biggest concern ... is in regard to potency. There is absolutely no regulation in regard to potency. There's no regulation in recreational marijuana in regard to potency. So, it's really scary. THC is definitely the psychoactive substance in marijuana and there's no control on that. ... There are withdrawal symptoms from marijuana as well. We talked about medical costs and some of those symptoms that my clients share is ... highly irritable, sleeping problems, anxiety, and cravings which, once again, ... the cycle of compulsive behaviors.

"We've seen things in our community happen. January of 2016, the young man who was killed by other young men because they were there to buy three ounces of marijuana. ... definitely agree ... nobody knows what's going to happen but my goal is just to share a little bit of what I hear and see from my clients ... Definitely, there's people who need medical marijuana ... but the majority of ... the people I work with don't."

(3:32:52) Rebecca Gasca, of Pistil and Stigma representing Rise Dispensary, expressed appreciation for the opportunity participate in "many of these discussions over the years, since Carson City was first contemplating moving forward with allowing medical marijuana. ... we've most certainly done our best and have proven ourselves to be a dedicated corporate citizen in Carson City. ... there's a lot of speculation that's been put on the record today. One thing that you wouldn't have to speculate about if you move forward and allow the existing medical marijuana dispensaries to move forward and sell to adult users, you wouldn't have to speculate about those businesses and how they operate. You've seen the way that they operate. You know who these people are. In the audience today is one of the owners of the Rise Dispensary as well as the manager who, if you haven't had the opportunity to meet yet, I encourage you to have a conversation with us afterwards. Come and visit the dispensary. We offered the same thing to the Planning Commission and, of course, staff. And a good chunk of administrative officials have been through the dispensary to see how it's operating. And, of course, being already subject to a special use permit process, just as a medical marijuana dispensary, requires that we are already working with law enforcement; that we're already working with the Building Department; that we're already working with the community; we're already working with fire and safety. So, these are ... essentially cost savings where, if you were to move forward and only allow for the two existing dispensaries to operate, there would be a streamline of processing in terms of becoming operational under the early start program, if you see fit, or eventually after the early start program itself. And so that would be a given and you would know that it would be the existing businesses in operation. There's no speculation about that.

'In the community meeting, we had quite a discussion about the differences in the transferences of licenses which would have to come up so, if it were ever to not be the current owners of Rise Dispensary, you would have to see that coming back through for future consideration. So, that is the one thing that we know is not speculative here. In the community meeting, we had a really great discussion about many of the things that have come up today and we made a commitment that, as good corporate, social, responsible citizens, we're going to be here to offer the type of education that's necessary. That includes offering education to some of the people who testified here today who do have direct impact on some folks who are illegally, right now, consuming marijuana. The example that was just made of the ... 18-year-old, who admitted to buying marijuana from a medical marijuana patient, that's illegal right now. That would continue to be illegal

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 20

DRAFT

regardless of whether or not you decide to allow for retail sales ... and, arguably, that's something that the City should be looking into, that your D.A. should be looking into because that's a prosecutable offense. And, so, most certainly if that 18-year-old doesn't realize that that's illegal, that's a problem. If the patient who's allegedly selling that product to that person doesn't realize that's illegal, that's a problem. And ... as good standing actors in this industry, it is part of our responsibility to help make sure that the community understands that those things are currently illegal and they will continue to be illegal regardless of whether or not you decide to move forward with retail.

"In retail, there are of course some minor differences regarding the amount of product that people can sell ... so there would be some minor changes in terms of how the dispensary moves forward and sells to people who are buying for adult consumption versus patients. So, process-wise, it would be a minor change but, regardless, we're here to be a resource to you, to provide factual information. ... we're willing to continue to engage with concerned administrative officials, staff, of course, any of the non-profits that provide services in this area when they feel that they're going to be affected. And ... data collection is really important. Again, regardless of whether you move forward with retail sales, the kind of data that you collect is going to help you continually measure where additional resources should move. You may actually see a reduction in need of services for opioid addiction. We've seen that actually consistently in every state that's moved forward with adult use when people have come forward and more freely able to purchase marijuana without getting into a conflict with their existing pain prescriber. And there's a lot of evidence that shows that the amount of opiate addiction is actually decreasing. So, again, these speculative costs are really hard and sometimes very emotional to consider when you're considering ... that you're going to see some effects of it regardless. ... we're just here to let you know that we're here as a resource. We hope that you do consider moving forward with a change in allowance of use and do consider allowing the existing medical dispensaries to move forward.

Supervisor Barrette agreed that the medical marijuana dispensaries have been "good corporate citizens," but pointed out "it hasn't been very long and I really wish we had a more extensive test period for medical marijuana then to go right into this almost a step and a half after you went into that. And, of course, no one knows the future and so I take you at your word, but the future is something else. ... thank you for your testimony ... but, at the same time, I don't know that it makes a lot of difference in my consideration."

Ms. Gasca stated that "the early start program is a ... very interesting one that took a lot of collaboration to come up with regulations that would be effecting it. Countless meetings were held for many, many months and ... while there was a condensed timeline, as compared to other jurisdictions, the amount of work that went into it could have very easily been triple what other jurisdictions went through, other states ... in considering how the program was going to roll out. It happened to have the benefit of happening at the same time as the legislative session so there was a lot of cross-consideration in a way that ... wouldn't have otherwise happened had the legislature not been in session at the same time. Thankfully, we're in Carson City ... and so you had numbers of your own Board who were able to attend and, of course the Sheriff sitting on one of the subcommittees of the Governor's Task Force. So, ... the amount of consideration that's been held in moving forward in the ... short timeline that there is, is actually quite distinct and that we've had the benefit of looking at the other four states prior to this one ... to how they've rolled forward.

"One final thing, Washoe, just because it was discussed whether or not people would be able to grow indoors at home, the current draft ordinance of Washoe County, which has not yet been introduced but is set to be introduced on July 11th, prohibits currently non-operational medical marijuana establishments from

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 21

DRAFT

participating in the early start program. What that means is that all the way up until April of next year, you will not see a dispensary that opens up closer than south Reno. So, theoretically, that 25 mile ... radius ... will apply to ostensibly half of Carson City through, at least, April of that time. ... that's just what we're looking at right now. ... again, the ordinance has not technically been introduced although it is publicly available and we do expect there to be an introduction of that ordinance, as drafted, on July 11th."

(3:42:32) Claudio Yturiaga advised of having worked in Reno "for quite a while very successfully as a medical marijuana dispensary and as a recreational, as of July 1. ... In Reno, just so you know, we have served, since July 1, many of the patronage has been from Carson City. So that's folks that are leaving here going there to buy recreational. A little fact is, from the age of 55 to 64, there's been a 455% in the use of marijuana, the reason being people don't want to take pills. As the representative for Ward 1 mentioned earlier, the opiate crisis is very, very bad and it's a proven fact that where legalization of marijuana has occurred, the opiate use has been going down. So, education is one of our main priorities at Sierra Wellness. We want to educate the community. We have Cannabis 101 classes. Believe it or not, most of the community is 45 and up. They want to know, they want to learn more and we're having folks, just from July 1 to today, all the folks that have been there have been from all walks. Whether their 21, 45, ranchers, gay, lesbian, members of the church, they've all come. So, the representation is definitely wide range."

(3:44:31) Rise Dispensary General Manager Tyler Brennan stated that "opioid addiction is one thing that we battle daily in the dispensary. I've got multiple letters from multiple patients who have ... written letters to their ... patch, saying goodbye and have done away with pain management doctors that they've been seeing for years and years and haven't found any real relief and, within a week, we're able to get them in non-psychoactive CBD items, ... little bit of THC items to help with pain and we're able to transition them away from the opiates and then I've had handfuls that we never see again. And, overall, that's the goal. If I can get these folks in and get them off of the opiates, help them with cannabis for a little while and then they can get off of everything, that's overall the goal. And talk about not just opiates but anti-depressant, anti-psychotic drugs, ... there's a lot of pills being handed out that we're able to get people off of.

'I myself ... have four kids so when we talk about children and use, it's important to me and I feel like it's always thrown about like ... folks in the cannabis industry are just going after children. I would not, never have, never will. ... our intent is to help people get better, to find cannabis as a solution. We do not sell things that are enticing to children. We are very education based. We provide lock boxes for people. ... we make sure everything's in a compliance bag. Education is key. Now, like some have said, it's more so the 16, 17-year-old, who knows what they're looking for, that may be able to find it. So that's where education is key. Also, people new to cannabis, if they buy from someone on the street in the black market, there is no education going on. They don't know what they're getting. When you talked about edibles before, those are not based on body size so someone who's 300 pounds can take a small edible and have a real hard time with that and someone who's 100 pounds can take a large edible. But that's the mentality, most people think it's more of a size thing ... but it's much more a metabolism and how your body reacts, your tolerance to things like that. But those are the things that we want to be able to educate people with.

"Similar to what the gentleman said before, ... 45 and up is probably 80% of our clientele. At the same time, cost is the biggest issue we find with medical cards. So a lot of these folks are on fixed income, live in Carson, Minden, Gardnerville, they do not have the ability to drive up to Washoe and spend \$300 on a one-time card to try this to see if it works. So it's important that people can come in, they may pay a little bit more in taxes, which helps the City, but then they're able to try cannabis for the first time. Maybe it

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 22

DRAFT

works for them, maybe it doesn't. But now we're not asking them to drive 45 minutes, spend \$350 on something that may or may not work for them. If this works for them and it gets them off of these opiates, that's a huge win for the community itself. It's just great that we can make their life better ..."

(3:48:11) GTI Partner Anthony Georgiatis expressed appreciation for the Board's consideration. He advised that "one of the things that really resonated with me that I heard very early on when I got into this industry was ... someone asked a simple question to an audience and it was a lot of the anti-drug war kind of rhetoric, some of which we heard today, they said, 'Why don't you poll the high school students and ask them, what is easier for you to get? Is it alcohol or is it cannabis?' And the response was less than 90% was easier to get cannabis. Now why is that? It didn't make any sense to me ... They said a taxed and regulated market effectively eliminates the black market over time. And I think if you actually did a polling of the students in Carson City and asked them, at the high school, simple, 'What is easier for you to get your hands on?' The reality is, it's cannabis. That's one. Two, we just heard that ... Sierra Wellness is serving a lot of ... customers ... from the Carson area. So, in a perfect world, what's happening is we have constituents from Carson City, driving up to Reno, picking up product, driving it back here. You have all the negative side effects and consequences of that consumption. We understand that. In an imperfect world, what happens if someone doesn't want to go ahead and make that two-hour trip. What do they do? Well, I think what they're going to do is they're going to text or call their current drug dealer that they have been getting product from and they're going to get that. And so, in many ways, by not taxing and regulating the system, the way it's set up to be, we're effectively allowing the black market to continue to operate. And ... the black market is plain and simple. You've got product that's not tested, it's not packaged correctly, it's not labeled correctly. There are all these other hosts of other issues that pop up and so, ... those two points I really wanted to make." In response to a question, Mr. Georgiatis assured the Board that "a year or two years from now, [the black market] will be less than what it is today."

Mayor Crowell recessed the meeting at 3:50 p.m., and reconvened at 4:04 p.m. He entertained additional public comment. (4:05:05) Mr. Adler advised that the last three gentlemen were his clients, and requested to summarize their testimony. "Just to address some of the points that came up at the community meeting and to Supervisor Barrette's comments, there will always be a black market. ... we saw this weekend the black market alive and well in spite of recreational sales starting in Reno because somebody was able to have a pound of marijuana on them, sell it to youth, do things like that. It was not a good situation but it was also not a legal marijuana situation.

"So I just want to put into perspective what Carson City can do and what our options are. ... in this community meeting, I felt like we tried to address all these issues because a lot of them are not Nevada issues. A lot of issues that came up are from other states, other jurisdictions, and other localities that have not done what Nevada did. We took a regulatory stance of over-regulation is way better than under-regulation. We built a fire truck before we had a fire in Nevada. So we have regulated almost every single aspect of marijuana, medically to start. The recreational program builds on that base work. We had a very strong foundation medically, and we've only increased the regulations for recreational. So that's why we're taking our current medical marijuana operators and saying you have the opportunity to take part in a recreational early start program.

"To the Mayor's point, this does not mean Carson City, once they put their foot in the doors, must leave their foot there. If you say, 'Okay, you're open, here's your license. You're good to go.' It does not mean that is for the rest of time. In fact, this is an early start program that only goes to April but, even before

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 23

DRAFT

that, you could say we've made a grave mistake, this has not worked out for Carson and it could be revoked later if there has been a catastrophic event."

In response to a question, Mr. Adler acknowledged support for a provision that says "subject to revocation at any time by the Board." He expressed support for "exactly what we're doing today ... We have been proper operators as a medical marijuana community in Carson City from day one. As dispensaries, we have not had any invalid sales, we've not had any robberies, any criminal activities and if Carson City didn't feel like we were holding up our end of the deal, it is a privilege certificate. So, whether you put that in the special use permit or not, that is actually up to you to determine whether or not you keep this up. ... We currently do operate kind of in that atmosphere where we do have a special use permit through, you have a business license but it could be brought up and questioned and you could report us to the state at any time. ... that is part of this mechanism of certificate not a license for medical and recreational marijuana.

In conjunction with displayed slides, Mr. Adler reviewed the "actual diagram of the choices." He requested the Board "to please license the two that you have today because they've been good operators like our production facilities, like our cultivations and they have not stepped out of line once and they have done everything they can to accommodate not just Carson City but our Sheriff's Department and our citizens."

(4:11:10) Lucinda Mahoney expressed appreciation for the Board members who visited her production facility. She expressed the hope that the Board members considered the facility "a top-notch organization." She advised of having "put a lot of money in our facility to make it really safe so that our employees wouldn't be harmed in any way, invested in a lot of training and I believe our products themselves are hitting a niche in the market that really provides options for cannabis users in that they're healthy. We really look towards that market that doesn't want a smoke product.

"Our facility, as you know, is in a really discreet part of town. We don't have signage. Our goal is to be a good neighbor and, truthfully, for no one to really know where we are. I hope that that will prevent crime and theft. 95% or more of our products are sold outside of Carson City and so, from that perspective, ... in regard to some of the social issues that may have been discussed here, we have had very little and minimal impact on that. So, in regard to the diagram that's on the wall, my objective with my testimony is to get you to look at the blue rectangle which is to adopt the ordinance for the temporary business licenses for cultivation, production, and distribution. We're really sending our products mostly down to southern Nevada.

"With the opening that occurred over the weekend, we had several dispensaries call and say that our products, our edibles were the most highly sought after because they were healthy in nature and they were not smokables. So, please consider that as you go forward and consider what your immediate decisions are today. In the town hall meeting, ... I participated in that and, in that meeting, I stated that I would work with Linda Lang specifically to really improve the education in our community about marijuana and cannabis and I really meant that. I've talked with one of the dispensaries to rally them to see if we could consolidate some of the training materials and the education materials that the dispensaries use internally and to use that as a starting point to educate our community.

'Lastly, ... I'm a mother of six kids. I also raised five additional foster children and, to me, there is nothing more important than the safety of children. I think that this industry can be very responsible. ... it's been identified in SB 344 about responsible packaging, responsible dosing and keeping it away from our kids,

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 24

DRAFT

just like guns, just like alcohol, just like opiates. It's really important to be responsible parents and it's really difficult to legislate that. I mean, recreational marijuana is here. It's in our state. I think we all just need to look at how can we manage it in the most responsible manner."

(4:14:47) Kurt Brown advised that he was here "on behalf of addressing the distribution part of your consideration today." He provided background information on his affiliation with Capital Beverages for the last 45 years, and advised that he had "chosen to start a new business to distribute marijuana. I chose to be in Carson City with my business for 45 years. I could have located other places but I chose to be here. I like Carson City. I have participated with Carson City and the people and businesses in this community for over 45 years. With that in mind, I would not like to be penalized because I chose to be located here because of two dispensaries that may be a customer of mine in the future. If you do not go down the path of choosing the blue rectangle, you are preventing me from doing business any place in the state and I don't think that's fair."

(4:16:19) Attorney Jim Hartman expressed disappointment in the presentations of Ms. Stapleton and Mr. Plemel "in the fact that they refused or didn't acknowledge that Douglas County has adopted a policy on marijuana here. They have elected to zone it out. That wasn't noted in either presentation and it wasn't at our workshop the other night. But I want to put that on the record; that Douglas County's Planning Commission, on a 7 - 0 vote, and then their commissioners, on a 5 - 0 vote, adopted a zone out policy for Douglas County. That may be one small county in Nevada but I think it needs to be put in some context of policy across the country.

"Colorado, I've talked about this before but I want to emphasize this, ... 75% of the municipalities and cities in the State of Colorado have chosen to opt out of commercialized marijuana meaning there are only a minority, a fairly small minority, of communities in Colorado where you can commercially buy it. And, among counties, it is 39 of 62 counties in Colorado have opted out, including the biggest county in the state, El Paso County, where Colorado Springs is located." Mr. Hartman reviewed statistical information on legalized marijuana in the States of Oregon and California. He acknowledged the legality of marijuana in Nevada. "But ... the real question isn't whether it's legal. The question is commercialization. How much commercialization do you have? How much commercialization is any community comfortable with? And that's the question before you. It isn't ... it's legal, therefore, we've got to do x or y.

"The District of Columbia is listed as a place where marijuana is legal and it is legal in the District of Columbia. But ... in the District of Columbia, there's no commercialization. There is no selling of legalized marijuana by commercial entities in the District of Columbia right now. So that's something that I hope everybody looks at.

"And the other point I would want to raise is ... why are all of these places opting out or zoning it out? Are they rubes? Are they fools that don't see that there's money that we could be recovered to cover their costs? And somehow all of these jurisdictions have assessed the ability to raise taxes and the costs associated with commercialization in their neighborhood and they've overwhelmingly ... elected not to go forward with commercialization. And I would say that's probably a result of their analyzing some of the taxes and realities." Mr. Hartman described a special task force established by the Massachusetts State Senate, which concluded "the idea of tax revenues might be appealing. They thought it was unlikely that the tax revenues raised would exceed the cost of legalized marijuana administration, enforcement, public health and safety, and drug rehabilitation. That was a unanimous finding of their select committee ..."

Page 25

DRAFT

Mr. Hartman expressed the hope that "this group doesn't get beguiled away with the notion that there's some great tax benefit here." He reviewed tax revenues in the States of Colorado, Alaska, and Washington and cautioned the Board on forecasting the tax revenues too high. "Now, the forecast here in Nevada, I think from the Governor on down has been very rosy ... I'll be surprised if the amount of money raised actually gets to the forecast based on the experiences of Washington, Colorado, Alaska. ... I understand it's legal. The question is commercialization. How much of it do you have? How much does this community want and need?"

Mayor Crowell inquired as to Mr. Hartman's opinion of a temporary provision "to find out what the real effect is going to be." Mr. Hartman expressed the opinion "it's hard to put the horse back in the barn once it's out. ... You really ought to look at some smaller communities ... Pueblo, Colorado, they have had an experience. People came to Pueblo because marijuana was legal. They liked that. They wanted to get a job. They got tested for marijuana and they tested positive and they ended up, in many cases, homeless. And Pueblo's had an experience with all of this. Their E.R.s have been overloaded with issues. They tried, by local option, they tried to go back and change the law in Pueblo. A lot of medical people, E.R. people, nurses, employers wanted to change the law in Pueblo and I don't think they were successful in rolling it back. So, I think an experiment is very hard to put the horse back in the barn ..."

(4:26:24) Former Ward 2 Supervisor Shelly Aldean discussed her compulsion to weigh in on this issue. "Even though some members of the Board ... may be a little averse to using other communities as a cautionary tale, ... I think it's useful because, as the old saying goes, if you don't learn from history, you're bound to repeat it." Ms. Aldean referred to Ryan McWilliams, a member of Citizens for A Healthy Pueblo, "a coalition of community leaders in business, public health, law enforcement, ... fighting to appeal the local law that [Mr. Hartman] just alluded to in their jurisdiction because they have had real world experience with the outcome."

Mayor Crowell acknowledged the social impact, but expressed uncertainty as to the similarity between Nevada's law and the law in those jurisdiction. "In other words, how does the initiative petition in this jurisdiction compare to the law that was enacted in those other states?" Ms. Aldean suggested Mr. Hartman as "a better source of information ... but ... my conversation with [Mr. McWilliams] focused predominantly on the effect it's had on the homeless population in Pueblo. And that impact has been quantified. He indicated that the homeless population in Pueblo surged ten-fold, from one percent to ten percent. Now, Pueblo is a city of 150,000 people so they had 1500 homeless people on average and, when they legalized or permitted recreational sales of marijuana, that mushroomed to 15,000. That's a pretty significant increase ... And I asked him, why were the homeless lured to Pueblo? He said because of the availability of pot. And I asked him, ... how can the homeless afford to buy it? He said sometimes they steal, sometimes they panhandle to raise the money. Other times, they sell their food vouchers. So they are going without nourishment in order to buy pot to feed their addiction.

"A lot has been said here today. I have quotes from the local law enforcement folks saying that the fabric of their community is being torn apart by this. Social workers employed at local hospitals attested to the noticeable increase in the number of homeless people seeking care and tapping into the community's limited resources. A local property manager ... said that he is incredibly frustrated because of pot-smoking squatters on some of his properties ... And, finally, the extra revenue ... that they have derived from recreational pot sales isn't sufficient to deal with the overwhelmed homeless shelters, hospitals, and police

• • •

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 26

DRAFT

'I want to bring this back to Carson City. I want to narrow the focus a little bit by saying that ... as a shopping center owner, we are coping with transient folks all the time and those people, some of them have mental illness, they have to be forcibly removed in some cases. We're constantly in communication with law enforcement, having to come to our shopping center to remove them. They're harassing shoppers. They're defecating in the parking lot. I'll be blunt. They're urinating behind transformers and, most recently, they tried to start a fire in our detention basin. Now, visualize a ten-fold increase in that sort of activity. We're right across the street from one of the dispensaries. So, as a business owner, I have serious concerns about the impact of your decision here today on my business, the welfare of my business and our ability to meet the needs of people who come to Southgate to shop and buy goods which adds revenue to the City's coffers.

"I know you have a difficult decision ahead of you and I appreciate that but, on a final note, Bob, you serve with me on the Circles Board. You know the sorts of populations we're dealing with. These are people who are holding on ... by a thread in some cases and ... my concern is ... it may force them over the edge. ... we're just not interested in exposing our clients to one more unnecessary temptation and possibly frittering away years of investment in helping them improve their lives. And this is a huge temptation for people with addictive personalities. ... we seem to be willing to accommodate ... a narrow group of folks, people who don't have addictive personalities who may be simply looking for a weekend high ... or want to tap into a new, lucrative investment and ... the people who came up before us are good people, I'm sure. They're very articulate, very professional but this is a business. This is not a philanthropic venture for them. This is a business. And I'm not opposed to capitalism. I'm proud that I'm a capitalist but I hope that I'm a responsible capitalist ... The unintended consequences ... could be serious ... Ms. Aldean expressed confidence in the Board members, and that "at the end of the day, you'll make the right decision."

In response to a question, Ms. Aldean stated, "The camel is in the tent ... and I understand that people have invested money in cultivation and so forth. So, ... my preference ... and the preference of the people who spoke before me, the people from your social service departments, and I also am concerned about demoralizing those folks. They are doing a magnificent job in Carson City and they need your assistance to work within the limitation of their resources and to provide the services that are necessary to their clients. I am okay, obviously, with medicinal. It's here and there is a need for it but I would like to zone out recreational sales. That would be my preference ... of dispensaries." Mr. Hartman expressed agreement.

Supervisor Bonkowski advised of struggling "that we don't have the jurisdictional ability to prohibit the use of pot. That's what I keep coming back to. We could stop the commercial sales of it but we've already seen examples just in this last week that, if somebody in Carson City wants it, they will get it. And now they have the added feature that all they have to do is pick up the phone and they will have it delivered to their house in Carson City. That's where I struggle with this. My belief is that we're going to have to deal with and bear the societal costs of the use of recreational pot no matter what decision we make here. My preference would be to have the federal government step up and give us direction, either declassify it as a Schedule 1 drug or enforce it. That would make it simpler for us. So we have the federal government, on one hand, instructing us to do one thing. We have the state, on the other hand, instructing us to do something else and we don't have the power to really do anything about it, in my opinion. So, where do we go with this? We're not going to stop the use."

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 27

DRAFT

Ms. Aldean pointed out that "marijuana has been around for a long time. I remember, as a child, walking down Telegraph Avenue in Berkeley and they were selling roach pins. ... there were people smoking on the corners. This is nothing new. ... it is true that marijuana has kind of been inadvertently inculcated into our society, but the problem I have, Brad, is when you tax something, you legitimize it. It's a little like, and I'm going to channel Joyce Newman. Joyce is a friend of Bob's and mine and she serves with me on the Circles Board. And she said, well, why don't we just zone in prostitution as well? ... the brothel owners have, for years, been trying to convince the state to tax them on a per-transaction basis. Why? Because then the state has a line item for income from that particular sector of the economy, right? And because it normalizes it. It legitimizes it. And that's my concern." In response to a question, Ms. Aldean clarified she was using the example as an analogy. "What I'm saying to you ... is that is the primary reason behind the desire of the brothel owners. Who in the heck wants to be taxed more? That's insane but I believe they want to be taxed more because they want to legitimize the practice. And that's where I'm making an analogy with taxing pot."

Supervisor Abowd advised of having talked with Ryan McWilliams. "The one thing that he emphasized to me that the problem was in Pueblo was oversaturation; that recreational marijuana was oversaturated in Pueblo. And what he cautioned me, he said that the elected officials backed down to political pressure in Colorado and they did not strictly regulate. And because they didn't strictly regulate is why they are where they are right now. And that's my biggest concern. I didn't vote for this. We are immersed in this because it was passed in our state and ... it makes me very nervous ... to just zone it out and not strictly regulate because it is, it will be in our community. That is my larger concern. It's a difficult position to be in and, personally, I feel like am I doing my job if I don't strictly regulate this?"

Mr. Hartman advised that Nevada 'has a real challenge in front of it in terms of how it regulates and if it really regulates. As of July 1, the Department of Taxation has hired four people to run the recreational marijuana program in Nevada. ... now, they're going to add a few people. ... In the State of Colorado, in 2014, they had over 50 people in the Department Revenue ... We are way behind where Colorado was. They had a task force that issued a report nine and a half months before first sale. In Nevada, our task force report was 30 days before early start, on July 1. We are way behind Colorado in terms of regulating. So, if you've got a concern about regulation, and I think you should, we're not there yet in Nevada. We're rushing the process. That's what early start is all about. And early start was a creation, really, of the recreational marijuana industry telling people that they couldn't make any money in medical marijuana; that unless we have recreational marijuana fast, we can't make any money in this state. I heard that argument from a famous Nevada recreational marijuana owner ...

"So, you're under-regulated by my measure of things ... The other point is on black markets and where that hits. ... if you interview the Attorney General of the State of Colorado, she will tell you that the black market in that state is as big today or bigger than it was before they legalized recreational marijuana in 2012. So, if anyone believes that because you have a ... legal recreational market that this black market disappears, that's not been the experience in Colorado."

In reference to Supervisor Abowd's comments, Ms. Aldean pointed out that the Colorado ballot initiative was to rescind all recreational sales. It wasn't to limit the number of location where you could buy marijuana. It was a complete recision and, to Jim's point, I read, with interest, an ... article in the *Nevada*

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 28

DRAFT

Appeal and they were talking about an attempt, ... the application by Rise to expand into adjoining space. And one of the commissioners asked, well ... the Board hasn't decided the issue of recreational marijuana sales and their answer was, no, we have more than enough medicinal business to justify the expansion."

Ms. Aldean stated, "Caution is the better part of valor and I do agree with Jim that we are rushing into this. And I understand that Nevada is fond of saying we do gaming better than anybody else. We have this self-aggrandizement ... attitude. But we can always get better. And I'm less concerned about the state and more concerned about Carson City. I would like to be an industry leader ... either drawing that proverbial line in the sand and saying enough is enough. We've got alcohol, we've got tobacco, we've got opioids and, by the way, ... in response to the gentleman's comment ... about using marijuana as a way of transitioning people off of opioids, they can get a medicinal card for that. ... they don't have to sell it to them recreationally in order for him to benefit from the benefits of medical marijuana.

'I'll leave you with one final comment. I really think that it's prudent to go slow. In fact, ironically, Will Adler, wasn't that part of his handout? ... go slow in educating kids ... about marijuana. We need to go slow. We need to adopt that as our mantra and make sure that we do it right. And, if at the end of the day, we find that it's more appropriate for us to ... take the moral high ground and say we've had enough, we're not going to expose our most vulnerable populations to one more temptation, then we zone it out."

Supervisor Barrette thanked Ms. Aldean for her comments, and agreed that "if we'd stuck to medical marijuana, we wouldn't have this ... but it's what it is. The camel ... nose isn't under the tent. The camel is in the tent and the camel has taken over the tent in this country. We now have ... 35 states that are, in one form or another of this and it's going to snowball. Our Sheriff came to us and said we're moving too fast. You're absolutely right. We are moving too fast. We should have done medical for five years and maybe then we'd have had seven or eight years of Denver and Colorado that we could look at which, then, we could sift out what really means something to Nevada. But right now, comparing to Colorado doesn't do much for me because I don't think Colorado did it correctly. In fact, I'm sure they didn't. ...

"I go back to what Brad said. What would you have us do? I know what you'd have us do. You said so, but what would you have us do about the fact that people ... are going to drive to Reno, they're going to buy it there and some of them, because we are on the same page about human nature, are going to go out and break the law, smoke a joint in the car, go back in, have a couple of pumps of liquor, then go back into the car with ... a little bit of marijuana and drive back to Carson City, down our 580 and into town. And, maybe on down to Douglas County ... What would you have us do to protect? Because my huge concern ... comes out of personal observation ... is that people will do both not just one or the other and that's going to be a huge problem."

Ms. Aldean expressed appreciation for the question and stated "there's no defense against stupid. ... People ... may not be high on marijuana but they'll probably be high on something else if they're inclined to imbibe. ... we know that. The question is whether or not it matters. ... The Mayor and I met with a gentleman who ... one of his concerns was that ... Carson City appears to be ... kind of an aging community. How do we entice young families into Carson City to join our community? I would say not by allowing recreational pot sales. I don't think that is an enticement to young families. I think that's a deterrent ... and yet, that was his primary concern; that we need to ... inject more youthful energy into our community. And I think this will have the reverse effect. I have no answer to your question. You cannot predict what people will do but I do think, as I stated in an article I recently wrote, that social norms matter. And I'll use an

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 29

DRAFT

analogy here ... during prohibition, ... there was a marked decrease in ... cases of cirrhosis of the liver and public drunkenness. Why? Because ... most people ... in general, respect the law. And in the absence of a law that says this is something you shouldn't do, they respect social norms. And I honestly believe that. And maybe I'll be proven wrong, but I honestly believe that's true."

In response to a question, Ms. Aldean inquired, "What's more important? Helping your community or money? I mean basically that's what we're weighing here." Supervisor Barrette quoted the "chief columnist of the 20th century, ... the chief value of money lies in the fact that one lives in a world in which it is overestimated. It's not a money question for me. You're right. It's a money question for a lot of people. I understand that."

(4:49:02) Garrett Lepire suggested reviewing the statistics on alcohol and marijuana-related arrests. He expressed the opinion that alcohol-related arrests are "your domestic violence, your murders, your more aggressive crimes. Pot users aren't going to beat up their wife. Pot users aren't going to kick their dog. ... Change is tough and it can be grinding, it can be hard to go through that, especially when you've grown up and you've had decades of people telling you that's bad. ... That's what you're indoctrinated with. I understand that mentality, nothing wrong with that. I have a child, a three-year-old. Do I want her smoking pot? I don't but I'll tell you what I'd much rather have. I'd much rather have her smoking pot than drinking alcohol, much rather. And there's two industries that haven't been brought up today that are really good analogies that go along with legalizing marijuana. The first one is alcohol. Again, that's way more dangerous ... than marijuana ever thought about being. The other one is prostitution. So, ... somewhere along the line in Nevada and it came down to money. But it was about regulating it and making it as safe as it could be for the customers and for the people providing the service. I think that's how you have to look at this with marijuana is how do I make it safe ..." Mr. Lepire suggested that "if we don't do this, Washoe County is going to get a bigger cut of this whole thing and they're going to take the market that Carson City could've had."

(4:53:44) Rich Dunn advised of having sent email correspondence to the Board members, and stated "none of what we're talking about is legal because we have the supremacy clause of the Constitution." Discussion followed.

(4:58:07) Rebecca Gasca clarified earlier testimony which referenced comments she had made before the Planning Commission. In reference to the speed at which Nevada has moved forward, she stated, "Changing drug laws is now on a curve that is accelerating. It's basic laws of velocity if you look at it from a policy standpoint. We've now had, since California first change its medical marijuana law in '97 ..., we've had so many dominoes fall and, with each iteration, the policy framework is improving exponentially." She discussed required testing for the medical program in Nevada.

(5:00:55) Lucinda Mahoney discussed the importance of the Board understanding the regulation.

(5:02:26) In response to a question from Carol Howell, Mayor Crowell explained the options available to the Board under this item. Mr. Marano and Mr. Plemel reviewed the associated time table. Ms. Howell encouraged the Board to "take some time ...," and discussion followed.

In response to a question, Mr. Woodbury explained what would happen if the Board does nothing. "The effect ... would be the same as a prohibition in that there would be no legal mechanism for a recreational

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 30

DRAFT

marijuana establishment to be licensed or to operate. So, effectively, you would have adopted a prohibition." He responded to questions of clarification.

Sheriff Furlong advised that the Sheriff's Office is "already being impaled. We shut down law enforcement and Juvenile Probation this past weekend. There was no one available. We're already overwhelmed. And so, I might ask you, if you're going to say yes today, when are you going to fund that?" Sheriff Furlong advised of the need for four additional deputies. "Juvenile Probation could not handle all of the people we were bringing in. That's not counting the ones that went to the emergency room. That's not counting the officers that were out in Brunswick Canyon. That's not counting me, who was the only officer in Mills Park during the fireworks. That's not counting any of the officers that we were unable to attend at the Brewery Arts Center where marijuana was being reported to be smoked. ... we're already overwhelmed. And I'll make this public apology. Ali Bannister and I really went toe to toe about this weekend. When are you going to fund our needs if you say yes because we're already overwhelmed. We're already overwhelmed." Sheriff Furlong related additional examples, and extensive discussion followed.

In response to a question, Sheriff Furlong requested the Board to leave things the way they are. He expressed understanding for the medical marijuana side "and the industry has bent over backwards to allow us to see and learn and understand. But, the bottom line is this, ... this is all about money."

Supervisor Bagwell expressed a preference for going slow, and suggested starting with cultivation, production, and distribution "which will generate some dollars to immediately pump in to help you meet a need and still maintain that we're not going to have a dispensary for now because our children are important to us." Supervisor Bagwell inquired as to whether this would be "a middle ground that Carson City can take ..." Additional discussion followed, and Sheriff Furlong reiterated the "the labor force ... is ... overly stretched to the point where it conflicts with one another and if we don't start doing something about it, continuing on this path, zoning this and creating a norm in this community, that labor is going to continue and it's going to continue dramatically because we're the ones who will end up taking the complaints."

Supervisor Abowd discussed concerns over home cultivation which may result in home invasion, access for kids ... "And home invasion is going to increase your workload as well. All of this is concerning ... in terms of I know [the Sheriff] needs funding and ... more officers. And trying to figure out how we're going to get there." Sheriff Furlong advised that "there is no data to suggest that ... allowing home grows that we will increase the likelihood of home invasions. There is, based on ... experience, a tremendous impact on property damages and ... the ... unruly neighbor type of incidents but crime rising to the home invasion ... I have not experienced that personally and I don't envision that happening here. Again, that's a crystal ball so I'm making my judgments based on past behaviors. Yes, we have a lot of home grows that we attack on a regular basis here in Carson, including near schools and in apartment complexes and I can tell you they destroy the properties ..."

In response to Supervisor Bagwell's question, Mr. Woodbury advised that the Board could move forward with every type of establishment except the dispensary. "... there's a legal basis by which, if you went down that path, you could conclude that dispensaries were not appropriate for the health, safety, and welfare of the community, but the other establishments were." In response to a question, Mr. Woodbury suggested "that the law, in general, is an expression of a community's moral values. The law says what

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 31

DRAFT

we value and it says you can't do things that we don't value. I don't think it's always like that, but I think, by and large, the law is an expression of the moral values of a community and this Board, if it decided, does have the authority to express the values of Carson City and say that we do not believe that recreational marijuana is appropriate for this community. That doesn't address other issues and those other issues are policy issues that's for this Board to decide. But I do think it's appropriate to comment that the decision that's made is an expression of the moral values of the community." Additional discussion took place between Supervisor Barrette and Sheriff Furlong.

(5:30:23) Will Adler pointed out that "the ordinances are already separated ... If we get past 25(A), there are more 25s to come and we have already separated out cultivation, production, delivery services for another vote today. Today is a direction to staff to do their best shot at writing up ordinances. It's not a vote yet to say you approve or disapprove of recreational sales. If your staff does a wonderful job writing them up, feel free to vote for them. If they do not meet your requirements, feel free to not for vote them. But you're just giving direction to see what the options are, see what they can write up. So this is not the end-all vote but I do believe that there's a lot of merit in addressing an issue, acknowledging it, and, yes, we will have a fallout. We're already having it despite not having any sales yet. So if you don't address it, I don't see how the fallout gets any better on an unaddressed fallout issue which has already begun despite you not having sales yet. I just want to say that we will get to those ordinances ... but today is just to ask staff to do their best shot at it. It is not a vote for confirmation or denial yet."

Supervisor Bagwell suggested that Mr. Adler's comments were naive as the Board's action will "tell the public where we're going. ... If the vote here told staff to go develop and allow one dispensary or two dispensaries, yes, it would. So, the option for us is either to tell them to put retail in or out and then do we want to allow cultivation, production, and distribution or none of it. That's our three choices today. Nothing, part, all. That's it." Supervisor Bagwell acknowledged "part of all" as another possibility. "So the public that's sitting out here and all the work we're doing is to decide where Carson City is going."

In reference to earlier comments, Mayor Crowell inquired as to the source of the additional needed funding. Sheriff Furlong expressed concern that "we're going to go in the hole for this." Mr. Woodbury clarified that he did not mean to suggest "that a decision to move forward with a licensing structure, an ordinance to do that, is an immoral decision. It is a decision about priorities because if you move forward with the 'moral decision' to prohibit, you're right. That money still needs to come in. We still need that money to service this community, but you've made a moral decision that that money will come from, take your pick. It'll come from money that could fix roads. It'll come from money that keeps the pool open. It'll come from different places and so you've made that moral decision that your statement prohibiting that is worth whatever value you have to take from other places. And it's not an immoral decision to say that we believe that people who are over the age of 21 should be able to make a choice to consume a substance or to not consume a substance and that has social ramifications just like alcohol does but that's a moral choice. That's all I intended to say by that."

In response to a question, Mr. Yu agreed with Mayor Crowell that the U.S. Supreme Court has called direct democracy the pinnacle of free speech. Mayor Crowell inquired as to "what gives me the right or a Board the right to go around that. Where in this initiative petition does it say we have the right to opt out? I gotcha that it says you can zone but it doesn't say you should or you shouldn't. It just leaves zoning as an issue. But it doesn't say you can zone it out per se. I mean, we can do that, but it doesn't give an opt out or opt in. So when I look at the pinnacle of free speech, about what we're doing in this country on the rule

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 32

DRAFT

of law, whether I like it or I don't like it, I have to deal with it. And that's what ... this Board has to do. We have to deal with it. We can't put our head in the sand and say it's not happening. We cannot do that because somewhere ... we've got to have the ability to have cops and enforcement people on the road. ... I've got to have some way of dealing with it. ... I don't know if those numbers are going to come true on what revenue is or not revenue is but I do know that I'm going to have a cost to cover no matter what. And I wish someone would tell me if you don't allow some of this on a tax basis, where are the monies going to come from." Discussion followed, and Sheriff Furlong expressed the opinion that the "money's going to come from growth." He inquired as to whether recreational marijuana sales in the community will stifle growth.

Mayor Crowell recessed the meeting at 5:40 p.m., and reconvened at 5:45 p.m. Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment and, when none was forthcoming, Board member discussion. Supervisor Bonkowski thanked the citizens for their testimony and stated that he had heard multiple requests "to have us eliminate the use of pot which we can't do. We don't have the jurisdictional ability or authority to do that. Until the federal government or the state government makes a change, we can't do that. We can limit it to the sale in the two current ... medical dispensaries that we have. If choose not to do that, then we open up Carson City to home grows through the majority if not all of Carson City and that I will not support under any circumstance. You would have no security, no labeling, no dosing, no way to keep kids from getting into it. That's not an option for me.

"So my preference is going to be to deal with the problem as the Mayor spent some time detailing. We have to deal with not only the problem to the best of our ability but we also have to deal with where we're going to come up with the money to deal with the problem. ... This is not about making money for me. It's about trying to at least cover some of the cost that we're going to incur and I don't think we'll ever see a dime from this that's going to be sufficient to cover all of our costs that the Sheriff was really anxious to inform us. ... that's the direction that I'd like to see us go is that we have staff move forward with ordinances that will allow cultivation, testing, production for recreational marijuana and limit the sale of recreational marijuana to the two existing medical dispensaries."

Supervisor Abowd concurred with Supervisor Bonkowski. "The home growing terrifies me with no regulation and, for me, that is a scary scenario. I would like to add to what he said. I feel that on edibles, additional packaging information that regulates dosing, based on body weight, ... more information is needed because that's a problem. On advertising, I feel that kids need to be educated in their advertising. Forbidden fruit advertising isn't the way to go. It's more about education and I think that's extremely important. Three, I'd like to again limit it ... the two recreational facilities be the two co-located with the medical establishments that we currently have. I think they are professional and they are very involved in community. They're about education and they're not trying to skirt the issue or pull one over on us. And I think that's important to have people like that in our community that are willing to step up to the plate and do it and do it right. And I think that's our job to get this right. I feel that we should regulate the recreational marijuana just like we have done the medical establishments. I think we've done a good job with that and I want to continue to do a good job with the recreational aspect of this. I think distribution needs to be added to the licensing fees because that wasn't on our radar before and I think that has to happen. I also feel that we should license wholesale marijuana distributors just like alcohol. They have a track record and I think that's how that aspect should be handled. A special use permit, subject to revocation by the Board of Supervisors on the temporary license, I think is an important condition to add. And if I haven't mentioned it before, we need to zone in distribution because it wasn't on the radar. The

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 33

DRAFT

pot legislation is outpacing the science right now. That's a scary aspect of this. It's been said over and over again but I do feel that regulation is extremely important going forward and I feel that if we don't do this, then we are going to get behind the eight ball and I don't like that."

Supervisor Bagwell disagreed, and advised of having recently listened to a speech from current U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions. "One of the neatest parts that he said in it, which leads me to believe there will be changes coming from the DEA, ... our nation must once again send a clear message. Illegal drug use is dangerous and deadly. We know this for a fact. It destroys lives. Just look around you. I don't know what else to say about that so I'm not going to support a dispensary. I think it does send a message to our children that it's okay and that is where all tobacco, alcohol, and everyone looks to start with the children. That's where you get your ongoing customers. I don't care what anyone tells me. I know that in my heart because all of us will grow older. They need the new ones coming in to keep their industry going.

"I could live with the cultivation, production, and distribution because it's a decision a different community made, not this one. ... So, if Washoe County says yes, then those guys could sell to them because their community made that decision. So, I just want to go with the cultivation, production, and distribution, and not the dispensaries. I don't want the edibles for our children here in Carson City. So while they might be able to grow a plant, they're not going to get the brownies. And now we're going to have a dispensary and you're going to give them the brownies. So I think that's a far worse message so I'm going to just tell you that I'm going to go with Kenny. He's our law enforcement. Who else do we want to believe in? Who's the one on the front line? He told us he was on the front line. I don't know why we wouldn't want to go that direction with that. So, I could live with cultivation, production, distribution and no dispensary."

Supervisor Barrette expressed no quarrel with "what Kenny did but the first time he came before us and gave us his sentiments, he talked about a killing that occurred that was obviously the result of a black market situation. And, at the time, and I'm now drawing on vast number of years of experience ..., I thought this is a cry of wolf whether he's right or not because it was pretty clear to me he was angling to get where he got today and, as he said, he's been saying it all along. My problem with that kind of an argument is that he's comparing ... apples and watermelons. To then come today, he did finally answer my question out there. My question was which is worse, not having it sold in Carson City or dealing with the problem. As the Mayor points out, it costs money. I don't really give a damn about the money but it's true. It's going to cost money. I don't think it's going to cost as much as our Sheriff says it's going to cost. I don't think it's going to cost as much, with all due deference to the people in the audience who made their points about our social ills, but I certainly will admit to the fact that I could be wrong ... But whether it's right or wrong ... the problem developed not after November when we first got this recreational passed, but when government got involved. ... But government did get involved and government's getting involved again. I think probably ... I can't necessarily side with my chief law enforcement agent because I don't believe it's going to be as bad as he thinks it's going to be if we put the recreational marijuana for sale here. But I'm going to go along with him. I don't think it's going to solve the problem because I think the tribes are going to take their option here and make money right ... So the problem's going to be here whether we put the dispensaries here or not.

'I've said from the beginning that medical marijuana was fine with me, recreational pot is a whole step down the road. And it's not because of all the fallout. Every human being has to make his or her own decision on those questions ... But there are consequences that develop. I would go along ... with the idea

Page 34

DRAFT

that we're making it here and we're going to export it but, for the moment, I want the moratorium to stay in place on the dispensaries. ... A great deal of education needs to be done before we go anywhere with this and we haven't got time to do it because the legislature stuck us with this fast start thing. So I'm for ... separating the business licenses out that are on the wholesale level and waiting on the moratorium until after January."

Mayor Crowell expressed agreement with Sheriff Furlong's statement that "the problem is here now. It's here now and when we just say well we're going to kick it down the road for six months, what are we going to find out? That we need more people? Need less? What are we going to find out? ... we've got a problem right now and I think we need to deal with the problem. ... I'm a little concerned, when we try to draw a distinction between medical marijuana and retail or adult marijuana ... they're both Schedule 1 drugs that are prohibited by the federal law. ... I'm looking at where the money can come from and I'm not looking to make money on it but I need to have some ability to run a City, fix the roads, keep the toilets flushing, and the water drinkable. All that stuff we need to do ... I just don't think it's worthwhile for us to sit here for six months and come back for the same decision. For me, I think that what we do is we ... have a zoning ordinance that says you have to be co-located with the two there. There's no more going to happen here with the special use permit very carefully worded that says any violation or any reason whatsoever can be revoked at any time. And when they sign that, they have to understand that that's happening; that if you get a special use permit to do it, that can be revoked at any time."

Mayor Crowell proposed the following motion: to direct the staff to prepare a draft ordinance for review that would zone in retail marijuana only in an area where it can be co-located with the two existing medical marijuana facilities and nowhere else; that our special use permit process for retail marijuana has a clear statement that the permit can be revoked at any time, at the sound discretion of the Board of Supervisors; that retail marijuana establishments will be regulated the same as medical marijuana establishments; to include additional information one dibles packaging; to require advertising such that we are not normalizing marijuana to youth, an educational aspect to the advertising; distribution needs to be added to the licensing fees; wholesale marijuana distributors will be licensed the same as alcohol distributors. Discussion followed to clarify the intent of the motion.

(6:07:41) Kurt Brown clarified that 'like wholesale liquor, in this scenario of distribution, we are only a delivery service. We do not own the product. We do not buy the product. All we would do is pick it up and deliver it. Wholesalers in the alcoholic beverage industry own the product."

Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. **Supervisor Bonkowski so moved. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion.** Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion. Supervisor Barrette reiterated several of his earlier comments. Mayor Crowell entertained additional discussion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote on the pending motion.

RESULT: Approved [3 - 2]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Abowd, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: Supervisor Bagwell and Barrette

ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 35

DRAFT

25(B) POSSIBLE ACTION TO CONSIDER A BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT AND DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT BUSINESS LICENSE FEES PROPOSED FOR MARIJUANA CULTIVATION FACILITIES, MARIJUANA PRODUCT MANUFACTURING FACILITIES, AND MARIJUANA DISTRIBUTORS POSE A DIRECT AND SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC BURDEN ON SUCH ESTABLISHMENTS, OR DIRECTLY RESTRICT THE FORMATION, OPERATION, OR EXPANSION OF SUCH ESTABLISHMENTS, AND TO FIND THAT A BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED IN COMPLIANCE WITH NRS 237, ACCEPTED, AND IS ON FILE WITH THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (6:09:30) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Special Projects Planner Susan Pansky provided an overview of the subject and following agenda items. Following a brief discussion, Mayor Crowell advised that item 25(D) would be heard prior to item 25(C). Ms. Pansky reviewed the agenda materials in conjunction with displayed slides. Ms. Pansky and Community Development Director Lee Plemel responded to questions of clarification.

Following a brief discussion, Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. When no public comment was forthcoming, Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. Supervisor Abowd moved to find that the proposed business license fees for marijuana cultivation facilities, marijuana product manufacturing facilities, and marijuana distributors do impose a direct and significant economic burden on such establishments, but do not directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of such establishments; that a business impact statement has been prepared in compliance with NRS 237, accepted, and is on file with the Board of Supervisors. Supervisor Bonkowski seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Karen Abowd SECOND: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski

AYES: Supervisors Abowd, Bonkowski, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

25(C) POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 2017-R-23, TO EXCLUDE FROM THE MORATORIUM APPLICATION SUBMITTAL FROM EXISTING MME CULTIVATION, PRODUCT MANUFACTURING, AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES THAT QUALIFY TO APPLY FOR A LICENSE, PURSUANT TO THE NEVADA TAX COMMISSION'S TEMPORARY REGULATIONS UNDER LCB FILE NO. T002-117; RESOLUTION NO. 2017-R-23 EXTENDED THE MORATORIUM ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 2017-3, FOR A PERIOD OF 60 DAYS FROM THE EXPIRATION DATE OF JULY 21, 2017, TO THE NEW EXPIRATION DATE OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2017, ON THE ACCEPTANCE AND PROCESSING OF PLANNING OR OTHER APPLICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATION OF NEW MARIJUANA ESTABLISHMENTS (6:23:52) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Community Development Director Lee Plemel reviewed the agenda materials. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to adopt Resolution No. 2017-R-30, amending Resolution No. 2017-R-23, to exclude from the moratorium application submittal from existing medical marijuana establishment cultivation, product manufacturing, and distribution facilities that qualify the apply for a license, pursuant to

Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Meeting Page 36

DRAFT

the Nevada Tax Commission's temporary regulations, under LCB File No. T002-17. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor Karen Abowd

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Abowd, Bagwell, Barrette, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

25(D) POSSIBLE ACTION TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO BUSINESS LICENSING; AMENDING TITLE 4, LICENSES AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS, CHAPTER 4.04, BUSINESS LICENSE, BY ADDING THERETO A NEW SECTION 4.04.125, ESTABLISHING PROVISIONS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A TEMPORARY BUSINESS LICENSE TO OPERATE AS A MARIJUANA CULTIVATION FACILITY, MARIJUANA PRODUCT MANUFACTURING FACILITY, OR MARIJUANA DISTRIBUTOR (6:18:40) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and entertained questions or comments of the Board members. Deputy District Attorney Dan Yu responded to questions of clarification, and discussion took place to revise the proposed ordinance. Mayor Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, a motion. Supervisor Bonkowski moved to introduce, on first reading, Bill No. 117, an ordinance relating to Business Licensing; amending Title 4, Licenses and Business Regulations, Chapter 4.04, Business License, as published on the agenda and incorporating the changes made on the record. Supervisor Barrette seconded the motion. Mayor Crowell entertained discussion on the motion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote.

RESULT: Approved [5 - 0]

MOVER: Supervisor Brad Bonkowski SECOND: Supervisor John Barrette

AYES: Supervisors Bonkowski, Barrette, Abowd, Bagwell, and Mayor Crowell

NAYS: None ABSENT: None ABSTAIN: None

26. PUBLIC COMMENT (6:26:46) - Mayor Crowell entertained public comment; however, none was forthcoming.

DRAFT

27.	ACTION TO ADJOURN (6:26:58) - Mayor Crowell adjourned the meeting at 6:26 p.m.
	Minutes of the July 6, 2017 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting are so approved this day agust, 2017.
	ROBERT L. CROWELL, Mayor
ATTE	CST:
SUSA	AN MERRIWETHER, Clerk - Recorder