To the Carson City Planning Commission:

Please consider this letter as a formal request to the Carson City Planning Commission to **DENY** Special Use Permit SUP-18-166.

My request to deny this application is based on the following, all or in part.

- Verizon Wireless was already <u>approved</u> by the Carson City Planning Commission (SUP-15-095 Monopole Fandango) for a eighty foot monopole cell site located at 3910 S. Carson Street. The same overlays for justification of coverage are for this already approved site and this new proposed site. This same SUP could be revisited rather than impacting residential areas with a new proposed site. Why not?
- The proposed photo simulation pictures portray the new antenna structure at a height of seventy feet. My understanding is that the antenna structure could reach a height of almost a hundred feet if colocation agreements allow other company's to share the structure. If the intent of Verizon and/or the City of Carson City is to allow co-location, why then did they not also present photo simulations of those additional heights against the landscape if increased heights are required for co-location. I believe that added height above the current forty-five foot city limit will detract from my viewpoint of the landscape of the mountains and have a detrimental affect on my property value.
- I believe that the close proximity of this antenna structure to my property will negatively affect my property value if it is allowed to be built at a height more than of forty-five feet. This belief is based in part that that a potential buyer for my home *may perceive* that it emits dangerous electromagnetic energy that can have detrimental health effects on people. That perceived threat may therefore create a bias against my property that will affect my ability to sell my home at a fair market price. The higher the structure, the more impact it will have on the value of my home and my well being.
- The environmental noise analysis report from Bollard Acoustical Consultants does not address the diesel generator at the proposed site that is stated in the Project Support Statement on page three. The paragraph under Maintenance and Standby Generator testing states that "Verizon Wireless installs a standby generator and batteries at <u>all its cell sites</u>". The site plans dictate a "generator receptacle". If this cell site will ultimately have a diesel standby generator at this site, I believe it will have a detrimental effect on my peaceful enjoyment and cause objectionable noise, fumes and odors from diesel exhaust. I request that if a generator is not to be included in this project or future installation at this site, the City have language included in the SUP stating no future generator at site address.
- The Project Support Statement on page three describes the method of the Selection Process and Candidates Considered. The paragraph states eleven candidates were considered. The list documents only six. I would like to have available to me a detailed explanation of communications and associated notes that concerned each of the eleven candidates. I would hope that a candidate was not invalidated because of a missed phone call, or was this ploy to appear to have other potential sites investigated.
- I believe an environmental impact assessment is required due to close proximity of a flood zone.

I currently have adequate cell service at my residence. I have not had any issues with contacting 911 for emergencies. I request that if a monopole antenna is approved at this site, it be camouflaged as a pine tree.

Again I ask that the Carson City Planning Commission to DENY SUP-18-166 and have this letter added into the

public record.	\cap					
Respectfully,	Selva +	James	Dorseo			
00						
Address: <u>32/</u>	Spring	Wew W	Conson Cit	y NV	89701	
Date: <u>F</u> e	N 2541	2019				
			1			

Spage 1