

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, June 18, 1987 at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, NV beginning at 9:00 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: E. M. "Doc" Scrivner Mayor Pro-Tem
Ron Swirczek Supervisor, Ward 1
Tom Fetic Supervisor, Ward 2
Marilee Chirila Supervisor, Ward 3

STAFF PRESENT: L. H. Hamilton City Manager
Ted P. Thornton Clerk-Treasurer
Paul McGrath Sheriff
Alan Glover Recorder
Charles P. Cockerill Chief Deputy Dist. Attorney
Katherine McLaughlin Recording Secretary
(B.O.S. 6/18/87 Tape 1-0005)

Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner called the meeting to order by leading the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll call was taken and a quorum was present although Mayor Flammer was absent.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - (1-0019) - None.

V. SCHEDULED ITEMS - (1-0028)

Budget Augmentations were scheduled for a special meeting on June 25.

AGENDA MODIFICATIONS - (1-0040)

Item VII. G. Public Works Director 1. Contract Approval for Airport Consultant and 4. Water Consortium - Consideration and Approval of Water Agreement between Carson City and Stanton Park Development Inc. and Public hearing on Waiver of Water Connection Fees had been pulled. Item VII. H. Community Development Director 1. Planning Commission Referrals - Appeal and Review Matters a. and b. Special Use Permits U-87-17 and 18 for Grundy/Van Patten had been pulled. c. Special Use Permit U-87-19 Doescher was scheduled for 1:30 p.m. Item IX. C. Bill No. 121 - Franchise Agreement between Carson City and TCI of Nevada was scheduled for 1:40 p.m. Item X. A. Consideration of Financial Support for Nevada Home Health Services was scheduled for 1:50 p.m.

Noting that there were matters for consideration as the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately reconvened the hearing as the Liquor and Entertainment Board. A quorum was present including Sheriff McGrath, however, Chairperson Flammer was absent.

VI. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS - LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD

**1. RUSSELL (ORMSBY INC.) - DOING BUSINESS AS THE MOTHER LODGE -
900 SOUTH CARSON STREET (1-0062)**

Clark Russell explained that the Mother Lodge and its gaming license would not be a part of the Ormsby House. Member Fetic moved to approve the Liquor License for Clark E. Russell, President, doing business as the Mother Lodge, 900 South Carson Street, subject to the final Fire, Building, and Health Departmental Reports and the Nevada State Liquor and Gaming License approval. Member Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 2

2. BASSETT - DOING BUSINESS AS PUFFS INC. - 1510 HOT SPRINGS ROAD

(1-0105) Bill Bassett responded to questions on a minor's drivers license. Member McGrath moved to approve the Liquor License for Bill Bassett, doing business as Puffs Inc., 1510 Hot Springs Road, subject to the final Departmental Reports. Member Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

3. LEWIS/RANDALL - JUICY'S GIANT HAMBURGERS - 2000 NORTH CARSON STREET

(1-0154) Edward Lewis explained that Jack Randall could not appear today. The Board cautioned him about minors obtaining liquor particularly in view of the fact that the hamburger stand is adjacent to the 7-11. Paul Leib is to be the manager and purportedly has extensive experience in fast food and liquor establishments. Identification would be required from anyone under 30. Licensing requirements for corporations were discussed. Member Swirczek moved to approve the Liquor License for Juicy's Giant Hamburgers Inc., 2000 North Carson Street, pending final Departmental reports. Member McGrath seconded the motion. Further discussion ensued concerning the licensing procedure for corporations and who is responsible if it is necessary to pull the license. The motion to approve the Liquor License was voted and carried 5-0.

4. PITTS/DREW - DOING BUSINESS AS BROWNBAG DELI - 304 EAST WINNIE LANE

(1-0346) Herman E. Drew was present. The introduction noted that he had not been present at the time Richard and Darlene Pitts were approved. Member Feticc moved to approve the Liquor License for Herman E. Drew, doing business as Brownbag Deli, 304 East Winnie Lane, Carson City. Member Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

5. ROSKOSKI/ERNST/SULLIVAN - DOING BUSINESS AS MICASA TOO - 3809 NORTH CARSON STREET

(1-0389) Robert J. Sullivan was present. The other partners had been approved at a previous meeting. Mr. Sullivan expressed the hope that the business would open July 1. Member McGrath moved to approve the Liquor License for Robert J. Sullivan, doing business as Micasa Too, 3809 North Carson Street, Carson City. Member Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

6. AYRES (R.S.V.P) - ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT AND REQUEST TO TRADE FEES FOR VOLUNTEER HOURS FOR CAPITAL CITY FAIR AT FUJI PARK ON JULY 23-26

(1-04388) Janice Ayres explained the request to trade volunteer hours for fees for the fair. Her comments explained the manhours donated to only the Sheriff's office. Member McGrath expressed his feeling that without this assistance additional personnel may be needed. Considerable discussion ensued concerning which fees should be included in the trade and reasons to waive all fees. Clarification indicated the feeling that, due to the volunteer hours and tourist attraction which the fair created, R.S.V.P.s request for the trade for licensing fees was unique and different from the other non-profit entities who have requested fee waivers. Purportedly, the exhibitors at the fair do not compete with local businesses due to differences in merchandise. The Board's consensus was that the individual exhibitor should be required to obtain a business license. The need for a standard policy on fee waivers and problems in creating this policy were explained. Ms. Ayres pointed out that R.S.V.P. is a cooperative agency sponsored by the City as well as several other Counties. R.S.V.P. had always attempted to raise funding on its own rather than request General Fund support. The other Counties do not charge R.S.V.P. for its fund raising activities. If such funding attempts are not successful, services would have to be cut. It was pointed out that the in lieu of fees were in fact removing funds from the General Fund particularly when exhibitors' business licenses

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 3

were included. Problems with carnivals and their employees were then discussed. Ms. Ayres also explained the carnival requirement that the sponsor pay the licensing fees or take a smaller cut of the gross.

Member Feticc then moved that the Board approve the Entertainment Permit for the Retired Senior Volunteer Program and that the fees in the amount of \$5,000, excluding the Sheriff's work cards for the carnival employees, be waived in lieu of volunteer hours for this time only. Member Swirczek seconded the motion. Member Feticc expounded on his feeling that this may be the last time the fees would be waived in lieu of services even though R.S.V.P. is a unique enterprise. Motion was then voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Swirczek, Chirila, Feticc, McGrath, and Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried 5-0.

There being no other matters for consideration as the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Chairperson Scrivner adjourned the Liquor and Entertainment Board and immediately reconvened the hearing as the Board of Supervisors. A quorum was present although Mayor Flammer was absent as noted earlier.

BREAK: A five minute recess was called at 9:55 a.m. When the meeting reconvened a quorum was present as noted.

VII. RESOLUTIONS (1-1535)

**A. ASSESSOR - CORRECTION OF 1987-88 REAL PROPERTY TAX ROLL -
COFFMAN - PARCEL 1-142-13, SHAHEEN - PARCELS 8-131-36 AND
8-131-45, AND BACHSTADT (YOUNG) - PARCEL 8-251-29**

Supervisor Feticc moved to approve the recommendation of Mr. Weaver as presented to correct the clerical errors in the 87-88 roll. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

B. DEPUTY FINANCE DIRECTOR (1-1570)

1. CHECK DISBURSEMENT REGISTER FOR MAY 1987

Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve the Check Disbursement Register for May 1987 as presented. Supervisor Chirila seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

**2. BUDGET AUGMENTATION FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30,
1987 - Pulled.**

**3. BUDGET TRANSFERS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING 1987 -
Pulled.**

C. CLERK-TREASURER (1-1585)

1. FINANCIAL REPORT FOR MAY 1987

The time element involved in preparing the report was discussed. Mr. Thornton agreed to attempt to provide the report earlier if possible. Supervisor Swirczek moved to accept the Treasurer's monthly report for May 1987 as presented. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. The motion was voted and carried 4-0.

**2. REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RECORDS DISPOSAL - CANCELLED
AFFIDAVITS OF VOTER REGISTRATION (1-1665)**

The records had been microfilmed and verified. Supervisor Feticc moved to adopt Resolution No. 1987R-61, **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DESTRUCTION OF ORIGINAL RECORDS**. Supervisor Swirczek

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 4

seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

**3. DISCUSSION AND REQUEST FOR DIRECTION CONCERNING
SEWER BILLINGS FOR CARSON INDIAN COLONY (1-1705)**

Mr. Thornton and Mr. Hannafin explained the cooperative effort to resolve the delinquent sewer bills and establish a good working relationship with the Tribunal. During the discussion Mr. Hannafin introduced Washoe Utility Authority Chairperson Millard Bennett, Washoe Housing Authority Chairperson Unidell Turner, and Washoe Housing Authority Executive Director Virginia Kaiser. Discussion ensued concerning the agreement including the need for the Washoe Tribunal Council to sign it. It was felt that it would take three or four months to finalize the agreement and obtain all of the necessary signatures. The proposal appeared to be a fair and equitable resolution to a long standing problem and the staff was directed to proceed as proposed.

4. PURCHASING AGENT (1-2277)

**2. CONTRACT 8687-39 - TORO GOLF COURSE MAINTENANCE
EQUIPMENT**

Purchasing Agent Ron Wilson explained the need to reject two bidders due to their failure to meet the specifications. The bid request had been submitted in such a fashion as to allow the outright purchase of the equipment or to lease purchase it. He then explained the equipment which would be procured, the recommendations to award the bid to Duke Equipment and Irrigation, and the proposal to lease purchase the equipment. Supervisor Feticc moved to reject bidders number 1 and 10 as not being in substantial compliance with the bid documents related thereto. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Chirila, Feticc, Swirczek and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nayes - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

Supervisor Feticc then moved to approve the product portion of the contract to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder responding, that being bidder number 11 - Duke Equipment and Irrigation Inc., in the amount not to exceed \$70,956. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Feticc, Chirila, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nayes - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

Supervisor Feticc moved to award the financing portion of this contract to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, that being Bidder Number 40 - Interstate Leasing at an annual percentage rate of 7.65 on a 36 month term with a repayment factor of 0.031175 and including a \$1 buy out at the end of the term to take ownership. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Swirczek, Chirila, Feticc, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nayes - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

3. CONTRACT 8687-40 - CUSHMAN 530 TURF TRUCKSTERS (1-2542)

Mr. Wilson explained the reasons to reject Bidder No. 10 due to his failure to meet the bid specifications. Supervisor Swirczek moved to reject Bidder No. 10's proposal as not meeting or substantially complying with the specifications in bid documents related thereto and specifically pertaining to Bid No. 8687-40. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Feticc, Chirila, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nayes - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

Supervisor Swirczek then moved to award the contract as a cash purchase to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder responding thereto, that being Bidder No. 11, Duke Equipment and Irrigation Inc., relating to Bid No. 8687-40, in a not to exceed amount of \$18,092.25. Supervisor Chirila seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 5

call with the following result: Ayes - Feticc, Swirczek, Chirila, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

BREAK: Due to the need to physically examine the different golf carts involved in the following Item, a 15 minute recess was called at 10:30 a.m. When the Board reconvened at 10:45 a.m. a quorum was present as noted.

1. CONTRACT 8687-38 - GOLF CARTS (1-2655)

Mr. Wilson explained at length the difference in the bids, the staff's experience with Yamaha, and the lease purchase proposal, as well as the terms of the buy back plan. Supervisor Feticc moved that the Board of Supervisors acknowledge, in concurrence with the Purchasing Agent and the findings pursuant to this bid report, that Bidder No. 9 (a) Did not substantially comply with the requirements of the bid documents as set forth and stipulated by and under the conditions of a D"Pro-Rated Buy-Back", (b) That the warranty offered therefrom is of less value than that as offered by Bidder No. 12; and, (c) That pursuant to an independent product comparison of Bidder No. 9's product versus Bidder No. 12's product, that apparent greater quality/value exists with Bidder No. 12's product; therefore, Bidder No. 9 is not the low bidder based on price alone, that Bidder No. 12 is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder when each criterion of these proposals are considered for equal worth. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Chirila, Feticc, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

Supervisor Feticc then moved that the Board award the product portion of the bid to Yamaha Golf Cart of Northern California as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder at a unit price of \$2,775 and a grand total of \$138,750. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Swirczek, Feticc, Chirila, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

Supervisor Feticc then moved to award the lease purchase portion of this bid to First Interstate Leasing Inc. for a 36 month term with an annual percentage rate of 7.395 percent and a monthly payment factor of 0.030868 and a \$1 buy-out, and the monthly payment obligation would equal \$4,282.93. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Chirila, Feticc, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

Parks and Recreation Director Steve Kastens, Mr. Cockerill, and the Board commended Mr. Wilson on his work in evaluating the proposals.

Mr. Wilson explained that the projected income from the carts for five years was \$341,518.34.

E. RECORDER (2-0095)

1. RESOLUTION SETTING PUBLIC OFFICE HOURS

Recorder Alan Glover explained the need to establish office hours for the elected officials as mandated in NRS 245.040. Supervisor Swirczek moved to adopt Resolution No. 1987-R-62, **A RESOLUTION SETTING OFFICE HOURS FOR THE CARSON CITY RECORDER, CLERK, ASSESSOR, AND TREASURER PURSUANT TO NRS 245.040.** Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

2. RESOLUTION TO CREATE \$50 PETTY CASH FUND FOR CLERK (2-0185) Mr. Glover explained the need for a petty cash fund to make change as the Legislature had changed the marriage license from \$25 to \$27. Checks, money orders, cashiers checks, etc. are not accepted. Supervisor Swirczek moved to adopt Resolution No. 1987-R-63, **A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A PETTY CASH FUND IN**

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 6

THE GENERAL FUND OF CARSON CITY FOR THE CARSON CITY CLERK MARRIAGE BUREAU.
Supervisor Fettic seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

F. DISTRICT ATTORNEY (2-0243)

1. RESOLUTION OF PERSONAL INJURY CLAIM OF MARIAN CATTANI

Mr. Cockerill explained the claim and recommended denial. Supervisor Fettic moved to deny the claim of Marian Cattani in the amount of \$200,000 for personal injuries allegedly sustained by colliding with an air conditioning unit inasmuch as the City is immune pursuant to NRS 41.033 for any failure to inspect or discover alleged hazards above its sidewalks. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Fettic, Chirila, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

**2. AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES IN SERPA
VERSUS CARSON CITY**

Mr. Cockerill explained the fees paid to date, the progress which had been made, and the fees outlined in the statement. At this time the case is approximately half way through the discovery phase. Federal Court has established a September/October date for completion of the discovery phase. Supervisor Swirczek moved to fix the attorney fees for George Allison, Esquire, at \$75 an hour and authorize payment of the interim billing dated 2-16-87 to 4-18-87 in the amount of \$3,453.78. Supervisor Fettic seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

G. PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (2-0367)

**2. CARSON CITY AND U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY - COOPERATIVE
AGREEMENTS FOR GROUND WATER AND STREAM GAUGING STUDY**

Public Works Director Dan O'Brien and U.S.G.S. Representative Otto Mooseburner explained the two agreements, their costs, and the work involved in studying the nutrient loading of Lake Tahoe and the ground water table. Discussion noted the theory concerning the shrimp and algae growth, however, this was not a portion of the work involved with these agreements. Considerable discussion ensued concerning whether Carson City should be involved in the study as it had little development at the Lake. The desire to add Clear Creek to the program and the amount of time necessary to do the study were discussed. Clarification noted that the contract was for the 86-87 fiscal year rather than 87-88 fiscal year. Mr. Mooseburner was requested to change the submittal dates to match the City's fiscal year. Information is available to the City's staff whenever requested. Mr. Mooseburner agreed to provide a report on the stream gauge prior to the next request for contract APPROVAL. Supervisor Swirczek moved to accept the cooperative agreement between U. S. Geological Survey covering (1) the ground water study of the Lake Tahoe Basin at a participation cost of \$2,000 and (2) the operation and maintenance of seven stream gauging stations at a City participation cost of \$18,450 for the period October 1, 1986 through September 30, 1987. Supervisor Fettic seconded the motion. Clarification noted that the funds would come from the 86-87 fiscal year budget. If the agreement is again approved in October, funding for that would come from the 87-88 fiscal budget. Discussion noted that these agreements be amended to indicate that the agreement was between the Department of the Interior and Carson City rather than the Carson City Public Works Department. Discussion ensued concerning whether the agreements should be approved after the work has been completed. The motion to approve the agreements was then voted by roll call with the following result: Chirila - No; Fettic - Yes; Swirczek - Aye; and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner - Aye. Motion carried 3-1.

**3. REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION - NEVADA
DEPARTMENT**

OF TRANSPORTATION FISCAL YEAR 1988 WORK PROGRAM

(2-1043) Mr. O'Brien explained the cooperative effort between Carson City and the State which would allow the City to make water and sewer line repairs/replacements prior to the State's paving them. Regional Transportation had not made a recommendation on the program. NDOT representatives had been at the last Board meeting, however, were not present to respond to any questions or concerns the Board may have. Supervisor Swirczek moved to concur with the Department of Transportation's 1988 Highway Work Program. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

4. WATER CONSORTIUM - CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF WATER AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND STANTON PARK DEVELOPMENT INC. AND PUBLIC HEARING ON WAIVER OF WATER CONNECTION FEES

(2-1207) Mr. O'Brien explained that the Item had been placed on the Agenda at the request of the developers. The Consortium, the Project Management Board, and staff had not made a recommendation due to a need to have additional information. Supervisor Feticc explained that the Consortium and Project Management Committee felt that the concept was valid, however, without additional information a recommendation could not be made. The Developer wanted the Board to consider the matter posthaste, therefore, the Item had been scheduled. Developer's representative David Nielsen expressed his desire to have the Board consider the concept at this time without making a final decision. This would allow concerns to be evaluated before a final plan is presented. Discussion noted that the matter had been scheduled for July 2. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner then set a hearing for 2 p.m. today to hear the concept.

5. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

1. PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRALS - APPEAL AND REVIEW MATTERS

c. SPECIAL USE PERMIT U-87-19 - DOESCHER - OPERATE CHILD CARE FACILITY ON SF6000 - 504 SOUTH RICHMOND STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 4-2

(2-1556) Community Development Director Walt Sullivan explained the Applicant's desire to withdraw the request and staff's recommendation of denial. Supervisor Feticc moved to deny Special Use Permit U-87-19 based on the Community Development Director's comments indicating that the Applicant had stated a desire to withdraw and noted that the Applicant was not present. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

BREAK: A lunch recess was called at 11:50 a.m. When the meeting reconvened at 1:30 p.m. a quorum was present although Mayor Flammer was absent as noted previously.

a. SPECIAL USE PERMIT U-87-17 - GRUNDY/VAN PATTEN - ALLOW TEMPORARY OFF-PREMISE SIGN ON RC - NORTHEAST CORNER U.S. 395 NORTH AND ARROWHEAD DRIVE - PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 4-2

Calvin Terry explained the purpose of the signs as being to advertise "Parc de Maison".

Mr. Sullivan explained the Planning Commission's concerns and recommendations and that the Applicant had not

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 8

been present to explain the request. Staff's conditions were explained. Discussion ensued concerning the feeling that one year would be considered temporary and reasons for having the sign within a one mile radius of the development. As the conditions may be utilized as standards, Mr. Sullivan suggested that the matter be continued until the Planning Commission could make a recommendation. This would allow the Applicant an opportunity to amend the applications to other sites.

(2-2151) Dr. Grundy explained the reasons for choosing the locations, the purpose, and reasons for needing the signs. In response to Supervisor Feticc's concern, Dr. Grundy agreed to a six month permit with a six month renewal after a review to determine whether the sign should remain.

Supervisor Chirila explained her reluctance to approve U-87-17 and moved to approve the recommendation of the Regional Planning Commission for denial of U-87-17. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner passed the gavel to Supervisor Feticc and seconded the motion. Discussion ensued concerning an appropriate radius and the Applicant's ability to appeal the denial to the Planning Commission. This would require payment of the \$300 application fees. Surrounding community standards were explained. The options available to the Board were waiving the fees, approving the sign for three months, approving the motion, etc. During the discussion Dr. Grundy agreed, if the Board approved the sign for three months, to accept whatever standards the Board develops during that three month period. He agreed to remove the signs if they do not meet the standards adopted. Supervisor Chirila withdrew her motion and Supervisor Scrivner withdrew his second.

Supervisor Feticc then returned the gavel and moved to approve U-87-17 inasmuch as the Applicant has satisfied the legal requirements set forth in CCMC 18.05.062, subject to the three conditions imposed by the Community Development Department and that there be an automatic review of the sign on October 1, 1987, and that the Applicant has agreed that if the sign is non-conforming at that time, he will voluntarily take it down. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Chirila, Feticc, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

**b. SPECIAL USE PERMIT U-87-18 - VAN PATTEN - ALLOW
TEMPORARY OFF-PREMISE SIGN ON GC - SOUTHWEST CORNER OF
U.S. 395 SOUTH AND ROVENTINI WAY - PLANNING COMMISSION
DENIED 4-2**

(2-1675) This request is similar to the previous Item. Staff recommended the same standards on this Permit. Supervisor Feticc moved to approve U-87-18 as the Applicant has satisfied the legal requirements under CCMC 18.05.062 subject to the three conditions placed by the Community Development Department and that this sign also be reviewed on or about October 1, 1987, and that the Doctor has stipulated that if the sign is found to be in violation of the standards at that time, he would voluntarily remove it. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Chirila, Feticc, Swirczek, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously and so ordered.

IX. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING

**C. BILL NO. 121 - CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 5, CHAPTER
5.02 - FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND TCI OF
NEVADA INC. (CABLE TV)**

(3-0030) TCI Representative Frank Gallic explained that a certificate of insurance had been given to Mr. Thornton and a listing of pocket areas which would be developed during the next two years was distributed to the Board and Clerk. Office Manager Carolyn Garrett explained the two year construction schedule. Discussion ensued concerning the fact that the fees has been established using a three percent franchise fee. The franchise fee will not be broken out on the customer's bill. The firm could increase the rate every six months or sporadically over the life of the Franchise if so desired and notification requirements are met. Federal statutes prohibit the City from

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 9

setting the rates. The firm had indicated that it would not increase the rates for a minimal period of one year. Supervisor Chirila expressed her reluctance to approve the agreement as the fee had not considered a five percent franchise rate. Supervisor Swirczek expressed his feeling that this was not a sound business practice. Mr. Gallic expressed his feeling that this was not an issue which the Board should consider. Mr. Thornton explained that the franchise was not exclusive and if the general public did not like the service, another company could enter business in the City.

BREAK: At 2:30 a ten minute recess was called. When the meeting reconvened at 2:40 p.m. a quorum was present as noted.

Mr. Cockerill then explained the terms of the franchise related to adding subdivisions to the service area within 24 months, those areas which could be added at the firm's cost, and those that would require the subscribers to pay for access to the system. Mr. Gallic explained that if those homeowners do not participate in the cost of extending the lines to areas requiring payment, the lines would not be extended.

Discussion ensued concerning whether any other firms may be interested in providing service to Carson City.

Supervisor Fetic moved to adopt Ordinance No. 1987-21, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 5.02 BY ENACTING A FRANCHISE BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND TCI OF NEVADA INC. FOR THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF A COMMUNITY ANTENNA TELEVISION SYSTEM, PROVIDING FOR CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS THEREOF AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO.** When a second was not forthcoming, Mayor Pro-Tem passed the gavel to Supervisor Fetic and seconded the motion.

Discussion ensued concerning the reasons which the City could refuse to renew the franchise. If one of these reasons is not used in the denial, then the Franchisee could win a court order compelling an extension of the contract. Mr. Cockerill suggested that the matter be continued for one week to allow the Board additional time to research several questions about the franchise fees.

Due to a feeling that the motion would fail on a 2-2 vote, Supervisor Fetic withdrew his motion and Mayor Pro-Tem withdrew his second. Supervisor Fetic then moved to continue the matter to the Special Meeting of June 25. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion.

Mr. Hamilton cautioned the Board to take care if the concerns were related to the actual rates due to Federal prohibitions.

Supervisor Fetic then withdrew his last motion.

Supervisor Swirczek then moved to continue the matter for one week as there were additional questions he wanted to ask the City Manager and TCI representatives and, due to his feeling that in the public interest the time should be taken, one week is not that great a difference as the current agreement is valid through June 30. Supervisor Chirila seconded the motion. Mr. Gallic reluctantly agreed to stipulate to the continuance to next Thursday. The motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Chirila, Fetic, and Swirczek. Nays - Scrivner. Motion carried 3-1.

A. CONSIDERATION OF FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR NEVADA HOME HEALTH SERVICES, INC.

(3-1327) Mr. Hamilton explained for the record that during the budget process the Board turned the Nevada Home Health Services request for funding over to the Carson-Tahoe Hospital. The Board had been contracting for this service, however, the Hospital had been paying for it as a tradeoff for some property. During the budget process the Hospital advised the staff that it would no longer financially support this Agency. Due to the budget

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 10

limitations, he recommended that the Board discontinue funding. Funding had not been included in the budget when adopted by the Board. The Agency was appealing this decision. He then explained that his recommendation had not been based on a personal prejudice but solely on the financial considerations of all the organizations and the City's funding capability.

Nevada Home Health Services Administrator Janice Miles introduced Carson City's Nursing Supervisor Pat Barnes, Board Secretary-Treasurer and founder Marguerite Tournelle, and Former Board Member Thelma Calhoun. She then expressed her concern about the City's inability to fund the Agency. The request for funding was for \$13,500 which had been the annual request since 1984-85. The first contract was in 1973 and was for \$8,000. It was a non-profit Agency. The annual budget is approximately \$1 million of which ten percent is from contractual funding with the Counties served. Some revenue is generated from the small fee charged for services. The contractual funds are used to match State Division of Aging Services grant for homemaking services. If the City allocates \$13,500, the Division will agree to a \$20,736 grant. These funds would be used for homemaking services in Carson City only. She then distributed a letter she had written to Gayle McCullough, Welfare Clerk, concerning services provided in Carson City. (A copy was given to the Clerk.) She then explained the services provided in Carson City by 15 employees. Carson City serves as the base for Douglas and Storey Counties. She felt that by the City's failure to fund the Agency these individuals would be denied any type of service. She questioned the cost which the City would have to bear to provide convalescent care for patients not covered by other services. She then explained Elko's original funding. The State Funds are now used to match the Title III funds. Service billings are for actual services provided. The Agency was the first to be licensed and certified within the State for Medicare. County funds cover the difference between the service billings and actual costs as well as the costs for those individuals who cannot afford the service.

Ms. Miles then explained the financial problems experienced during the last fiscal year and corrections taken to address this situation during the next fiscal year. She felt that at the time of the Agency's next Board meeting, its true financial picture would be better known.

In response to Supervisor Swirczek's questions, Ms. Miles expressed a willingness to provide the Agency's last three financial statements for Board review. She also explained that the City had appointed only two individuals to the Board of Directors even though it had three appointments. Monthly, quarterly, and annual reports and audits have been given to these individuals. It was felt that the City had the reports "filed away somewhere" but that it would be quicker and easier for Ms. Miles to provide the information a second time.

Clarification noted that the Agency had never incurred a deficit of the current magnitude. She felt that the shortage was due in part to Medicare's underpayment, personnel and mileage costs, and the slow insurance payment policy. All of this had created a cash flow problem. Her monthly receivables totaled \$75,000.

Mr. Hamilton explained that the Board had to be sure that the Agency was financially viable regardless of the benefits. Ms. Miles explained that as contractual funds are paid quarterly, the bills would not be paid July 1 and the doors closed. In order to be sure of the Agency's viability and protect the City's interests, she urged the Board to have active members serve on her Board of Directors.

Supervisor Feticc suggested that the matter be continued to July 2 to allow time to review the financial reports. Ms. Miles explained that the Title III funds must be committed by July 1st. If a decision is not made until July 2, she could not guarantee that the grant would be available. In that there were other meetings scheduled for later in the month, it was felt that if this posed a hardship, the matter could be added to another Agenda. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner suggested that a letter of interest be sent to the State advising that funding may be forthcoming. He felt that the concerns expressed were valid and supported the recommendation for continuation.

(3-2252) Carson-Tahoe Hospital Personnel Director Glen Finnell explained that the Hospital is seriously considering entering the home health field and felt that to continue sponsoring the Agency would be a conflict of interest. The Hospital would be more concerned about obtaining "private pay" clientele rather than the Agency's

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 11

clientele. His commented noted that Nevada Home Health is the only Title III carrier in the State which had been the purpose for creating the Agency. He felt that the tough economic problems were a direct result of the fact that Medicare payments are becoming less and less even though the costs are rising. He explained the personnel cuts taken by the Agency to reduce the costs. Discussions have been going on with several hospitals concerning the business advantages to being affiliated with the Agency. He felt that there are three other home health agencies in Carson City. Discussion indicated the feeling that these agencies would pick up the slack if Nevada Home Health closed its doors. Mr. Finnell explained that while this appeared to be the case, it was not a fact. The Hospital had been actively supporting the Agency due to the feeling that if it did not the Hospital would be responsible for providing the higher in or outpatient care as a part of being a County facility. With the assistance of homemakers and Home Health, these individuals had been able to remain at home at a reduced cost. He explained that one patient at a convalescent hospital cost as much as \$20,000, however, due to the various unknowns concerning the health needs of that individual, the actual impact on the County's budget could not be determined. Records had not been kept supporting the savings, but it was felt that supporting documentation would indicate the Agency also reduced the overall indigent care costs. Documentation of this not occurred due to propriety reasons.

It was felt that Mr. Finnell's comments indicated that the Agency provided a good service for the community as well as reduced the City's indigent costs. It was felt that this should be quantified in the future. Attempts had been made to include the Agency under the indigent care funds, however, the income criteria was too difficult to determine.

(3-2690) David Small, a member of the Hospital Board of Trustees, explained a land trade which gave the Hospital some land in return for paying the Agency and Public Health Nurse costs. The Hospital felt that the Agency had been providing a commendable service. He felt that, even if the Hospital takes over an outpatient service similar to the Agency's, there would be need to continue the Agency's services. He felt that the reason the Hospital could no longer fund the Agency was due to the fact that it was inappropriate for an inpatient to be forced to pay for home health care particularly if he used the service after release as this was double billing. The Hospital Board had agreed to continue to support the Health Nurse program.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner expressed his feeling that home health care is a very expensive program. He suggested that the Hospital and Carson City jointly fund the Agency as it was a needed community service. Discussion ensued concerning Mr. Small's feeling that this was double billing for the hospital's inpatients. Mr. Small explained that the Hospital was experiencing a tight budget. While the Agency performed a needed and laudable service, the inpatient was footing a bill which could be considered double billing. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner reiterated his feeling that the Hospital should not compete with the Agency.

Mr. Hamilton suggested that Ms. Miles contact the State and determine whether funding would be jeopardized if the Board continued the matter until July 2nd. Ms. Miles agreed to do so. She also informed the Board that the Audit reports were in the mail.

BREAK: AT 3:45 p.m. a five minute recess was called. When the meeting reconvened at 3:50 p.m. a quorum was present although Supervisor Chirila and Mayor Flammer were absent.

(4-0001) Ms. Miles informed the Board that she had contacted Sharon Ezell, Deputy Director of the Division of Aging Services. The funds would be held until July 2 and would not be jeopardized if the Board continued the matter until that time. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner then directed that the matter be placed on the July 2 Agenda at 9:30 a.m. Ms. Miles then requested that any other requests for information be directed to her attention prior to that date.

VII. G. 4. WATER CONSORTIUM - CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF WATER AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND STANTON PARK DEVELOPMENT INC. AND PUBLIC HEARING ON WAIVER OF WATER

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 12

CONNECTION FEES

(4-0025) Dwight Millard explained at length his proposal for a water agreement based upon the City's requirement that water rights be dedicated for the Highland Estates ("C" Hill") Development. He began his comments with an allegation that there was a moratorium in view of the restricted number of permits allowed each classification. (Supervisor Chirila arrived at this point - 3:53 p.m. A quorum was present.) Mr. Millard offered the City 62.5 acre feet of 1861 water rights which were "on the river". At 0.6 per home, this were "on the river". At 0.6 per home this would meet the water needs of 104 single family residences. His offer would give the City 22 hookups. He would keep 82 hookups and receive a credit for the cost of the water rights. The City's 22 hookups could be used to offset the commercial water needs. He then explained that Mr. Fergeson has estimated the average water use at 0.525 per acre foot which Mr. Nagey felt was actually 0.564 based upon the pumping demands. This gives the City an extra 0.022 for commercial and industrial uses, however, actual use for commercial and industrial purposes is only 0.017. Based on this usage and \$1525 per hookup, an additional \$5000 per acre foot would be general by sales to commercial and industrial users. He then explained what he felt were the concerns expressed by the Water Management Board. The main concern was a cash flow problem which would be created by the hookup waiver and the funding required to meet the bond repayment schedule, however, by continuing with the program the City would eventually have water to sell to the commercial and industrial users. Under this plan the water rights could be "banked" until the development is built. This would allow the City to "loan" the water to other builders and replace it with future water water rights. He repeatedly stressed that the plan was "an interest free loan" as the developer would pay cash for the water and receive a "waiver of that cash without interest". Additionally, the rights he was proposing to give would be ready for immediate use and could address the summer peaking problem. The rights could be transferred to either Well No. 25 or the Southeast Riverview Well.

Discussion ensued concerning the pumping capacity of Well No. 25, the capacity of other wells, the amount of time per year a well should be pumped, an appropriate safety margin, priority water rights and whether the State Engineer would restrict City pumping during a drought.

Mr. Millard then explained his "formula" covering the different value of water rights based upon the sediments in the water, the date of the rights, and its price. He felt that if the water was of poor quality, eventually enough rights would be available that a treatment plan could be constructed to improve the water quality. These poorer rights could also be banked until need demanded improving the quality, however, this would be a policy decision made by the Board. (4-0915) Mr. Millard's attorney, David Nielsen, explained that the hookup fees for the "expensive" water would provide funding for the treatment facility. The amount of credit would be based upon the appraised value of the water. Staff's concerns about the "draft agreement" would be resolved before the Board would be asked to approve it.

Clarification indicated that the Board was to consider only the concept and not approve the agreement at this time. Mr. O'Brien expressed his concern that as precedence would be established by the Board's decision on this concept caution should be taken until all the questions have been resolved. Several concerns were detailed. In view of these questions, he could not establish a date when the matter would be reconsidered.

Discussion ensued concerning Mr. Millard's proposal for the connection fees and its effect on the cash flow. Permits would be issued as long as a credit is available. If more permits are needed, additional water must be obtained which would generate more credits. Cash would not be required to repay the developer if he does not build after assigning the water rights to the City. This was the "interest free loan". Mr. Ferguson explained that as long as the water is assigned to the land, it would stay with the land regardless of the build-out time.

Supervisor Chirila requested staff to determine if, by making this commitment, other services would be taxed to the limit.

Mr. Cockerill then explained additional questions which he felt should be answered before an approval could be granted. The previous agreements had tied the water to a specific development. The ability to transfer the rights

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 13

to another developer and to phase the development were not included in this agreement.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner directed that the matter be referred to staff to resolve such questions and that a report be made on July 2nd.

**VII. H. 1. d. VARIANCE V-87-5 DUNN (MSB PROPERTIES) - VARY FROM
LOT AREA AND WIDTH REQUIREMENTS ON SF6000 - WEST
OF SILVER SAGE DRIVE AND 100 FEET SOUTH OF PAT LANE
- PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 3-1**

(4-1583) Following Mr. Sullivan's introduction, Supervisor Feticc declared a conflict of interest and stated he would abstain from participating on this matter. Reasons for the staff's seven conditions, if approved, were explained. The lot sizes were delineated. Standards for lots under 6,000 square feet were outlined. A buffer of 6,000 square foot lots would be placed adjacent to other developments. Reasons for using a variance rather than a special use permit were discussed.

Applicant Jim Bawden and his attorney, Bill Crowell, explained the subdivision plans, reasons for requesting the variance, and the neighborhood design which would improve the traffic patterns and address traffic safety concerns. A feeling was expressed that the "racetrack" street plan of the past was not appropriate for this area. There would be eight double driveways with access to Silver Sage. Projections at this time indicated the homes would range in price from \$70 to \$100,000. Purportedly research indicated the marketplace was currently dictating a small lot with more building amenities. The project would create a seven percent increase in the number of homes allowed under the 6,000 square foot zone. The proposal would act as a buffer to the surrounding uses which are MFA PUD on the east, mobile home park on the south, and retail commercial on the west.

Deputy District Attorney Bob Auer explained that a variance is considered on its merits as the Lewis and Liebhard developers were unique in their own rights. This would not establish a precedent for future developments.

Supervisor Swirczek expressed his feeling that the matter was establishing precedence and was concerned about changing the master plan without analyzing the overall effect of such changes. Mr. Bawden did not feel that the master plan could be changed for some time, however, the proposal was the result of marketing demands.

Mr. Bawden continued to explain the proposal including the location of the various sized lots. He distributed pictures to the Board and Clerk showing how the proposal had been constructed in other areas. Reno and Sparks were very satisfied with the 5,000 square foot lots. He felt that his firm had addressed the concerns expressed by the Board at the first review.

(4-2891) Mr. Bawden distributed copies of Mr. Crowell's document to the Board and Clerk. Mr. Crowell explained the fact sheet outlining various items supporting the request including his reasons for feeling that the master plan should be amended to allow the proposal. Purportedly, the proposal met the "density" standards established for the property, however, the zoning plan did not reflect the same density. As the plan affected orderly growth, met "in fill" requirements of the master plan, promoted the construction of adequate housing for "all income" levels, it should be approved. Supporting reasons were expounded on at length and included recital of Federal and State standards/reports as well as several other building trade reports. (5-0174) The project would not create a noticeable increase in traffic nor sufficiently increase the traffic access problems. Silver Sage purportedly created a hardship due to the need to address some traffic concerns. The City would benefit from the development of Sonoma and elimination of the dirt path currently utilized by the public. These benefits of Sonoma were expanded on at length. The April 28 Planning Commission report on the Lewis and Liebhard development was given to the City Manager. The development would not adversely affect the surrounding property values. The retail commercial area was encroaching upon the area. Smaller lots conserve water due to the lack of area for lawns. The project would provide additional drain facilities for the area. The odd shape of the parcel in itself creates a hardship when developing. The costs involved to date total \$115,000. Inasmuch as the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 14

request was for a nominal change in density, he felt justified in seeking the variance.

(5-0537) Mr. Bawden stressed the different arterial routes available for access to the area. The proposal was "new and innovative" but would meet the market demands.

Mr. Crowell then expressed his feeling that the \$115,000 in costs was over and above the normal costs for a development.

Discussion ensued concerning the items which the Applicant must prove to meet the requirement of a hardship. The double driveway could be a condition for approval on a tentative SF 6000 map as long as it is considered a reasonable requirement on lots surrounding the development. Public safety factors could be cited as one of the reasons for making the requirement. Mr. Crowell expressed his feeling that the only way the developers could meet the conditions and the costs was with the plan as proposed. He urged the Board to set reasonable conditions and adopt the plan. Mr. Sullivan responded by explaining the Code requirements for finding of a hardship. If the Board approves this project, he requested direction from the Board so that standards for such development could be adopted. Discussion noted the economic principles used in making business decisions and the direction drainage flows from the site.

(5-0912) John Serpa noted that Public Works had looked at the drainage in the area. Supervisor Swirczek expressed his concern about the lack of adequate information on the drainage which would be increased by the project. Mr. Serpa explained at length that the drainage problems could not economically be addressed with the large lot sizes. They would be addressed before construction begins. He had agreed to provide drainage for a commercial site adjacent to the parcel, which were explained. He felt that the hardship was created by the drainage, easements being required, and street widths. This was a financial commitment of \$115,000. In order to meet the market demands and remain competitive with Lewis and Liebhard, the variance was necessary. In that it would take five years to buildout the project, he did not feel that the development would create an impact on surrounding properties.

Clarification indicated that a developer would not be required to continue Sonoma Street on a parcel map. The resolution of the drainage problem could be required. The need for Sonoma was explained and discussed at length. Supervisor Chirila explained her feeling that a hardship had not been proven and she could not grant the variance. Mr. O'Brien explained that the drainage problem would have to be addressed even if the developer used the parcel map process. Mr. Serpa continued to expound on his feeling that his project was being required to address drainage from adjacent parcels which was not economically feasible if the 6,000 square foot lots are mandated. He then explained a development plan which would piecemeal the area.

Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve the Regional Planning Commission recommendation of denial on Variance V-87-5. Supervisor Chirila seconded the motion. Clarification reiterated Mr. Auer's position that each variance request stood on its own merits. Mr. Auer also requested that each Supervisor indicate the findings on which they based their vote. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner expressed his feeling that the proposal was valid and the hardships were created by the drainage and access restriction. The proposal was an improvement for the area. Discussion noted that the standards which had been proposed had not been considered by the Planning Commission. Mr. Serpa agreed to stipulate to the standards even though he purportedly had not seen them as he felt they would be reasonable and that the marketplace dictated the need for the 5,000 square foot lots. Supervisor Swirczek explained his reluctance to approve the development without the comprehensive overall effect of the buildout on the area. Mr. Serpa noted that the increase totaled 17 lots and the action would prohibit any "down zoning" within the City. This would create an unfair burden on developers due to the limited City staff. The motion for denial was voted by roll call with the following result: Chirila - Yes, due to the District Attorney's advice concerning the merits of the project including the need to find special or unusual conditions creating a hardship, which she did not feel the developer had proven as being a unique circumstance or related to the land. Swirczek - Yes, due to the lack of information concerning the impact created by the development on the overall City and that the request should be reheard when that information is available. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner - No. The motion carried 2-1.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 15

2. GROWTH MANAGEMENT GM-87-3 - WILLIAMS - REQUEST FOR TWO WEEK EXTENSION OF BUILDING ALLOTMENTS

(5-1596) Mr. Sullivan explained the Code requirements, the time requirements, and the problems which Mr. Williams had encountered with Tahoe Savings and Loan, which was taken over by the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. Precedence set by previous Board action had approved extensions when conditions were beyond the builder's control. Supervisor Feticc moved to approve the two week extension from June 9 to June 23 on allotments 105-01-87 through 108-01-87 as requested based upon the circumstances being beyond the control of the Applicant. Supervisor Chirila seconded the motion. Discussion ensued concerning the amount of time for the extension. Mr. Williams agreed to "live with the extension". The motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Feticc, Swirczek, Chirila, and Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner. Nays - None. Motion carried unanimously.

VIII ORDINANCES - FIRST READING

A. CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 17 (PARCEL MAP), SECTION 17.09.010 - MODIFICATIONS RELATIVE TO PARCEL MAP APPROVAL (AMENDMENTS A-87-4 - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 6-0)

(5-1741) Supervisor Feticc moved to introduce on first reading Bill No. 122, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 17.09.010 OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING PARCEL MAP APPROVAL**. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 6-0.

B. CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 15 (GROWTH MANAGEMENT) - SECTION 15.01.050 - MODIFICATIONS RELATIVE TO BI-ANNUAL MEETING OF THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT COMMISSION (GM-87-2) - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 6-0

Supervisor Feticc moved to introduce on first reading bill No. 123, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 15, SECTION 15.01.050**. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

IX ORDINANCES - SECOND READING

A. BILL NO. 119 - UNDER CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE 17.21 - AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND GARY BORST

The Borsts had signed the agreement. Supervisor Swirczek moved to adopt on second reading Ordinance No. 1987-22. **AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND GARY BORST LOCATED AT 4155 SOUTH CARSON STREET**. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

B. BILL NO. 120 - UNDER CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE 17.21 - AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND EDWARD C. CLEMMONS AND RUTH CLEMMONS REGARDING APN 08-175-10 LOCATED AT 2259 SNEDDON WAY, CARSON CITY, NEVADA.

Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 16

X. B. STATUS OF LEGISLATIVE BILLS

Mr. Hamilton explained the status of the various bills submitted to the Legislature and commended staff and the Board on the work they did to accomplish this packet.

XI BOARD OF SUPERVISOR RESOLUTIONS, REPORTS, AND PROCLAMATIONS

A. REVIEW AND APPOINTMENT OF CARSON-TAHOE HOSPITAL BOARD OF TRUSTEE MEMBER FROM DISTRICT NUMBER FIVE

(5-2065) Only two applications were received. Both lived in the District. Based upon his personal knowledge of the Applicant, Supervisor Fetic moved to nominate Jack Davis. He would serve until the next election. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 4-0.

B. DISCUSSION OF OTHER MATTERS - MEETING DATES

(5-2141) The Board set the next meeting for June 30 and July meetings for 1, 2, and 16/

Supervisor Fetic then moved to adjourn. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously. Mayor Pro-Tem Scrivner adjourned the meeting at 6:30 p.m.

A tape recording of the proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during the normal business hours.

The Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON___March_3___, 1988

/s/_____
Dan Flammer, Mayor

ATTEST

/s/_____
Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the June 18, 1987 Meeting
Page 17