

From: [bepsy strasburg](#)
To: [Public Comment](#)
Subject: Board Of Supervisors Qualification
Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 7:42:32 PM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Hello Board of Supervisors,

During the discussion of 17B (Board of Supervisors Qualification), I hope to hear what prompted this topic to be included in the agenda now and why the City Charter repealed the condition of property ownership in 1977?

Was the conditions different in 1977 that may have impacted the # of applicants for the Supervisor position? Has the property ownership requirement inhibited this elected position to-date in any way? What is the make-up of the City residents - property owners versus renters? This perspective would help current residents to evaluate the need for the change now.

A property owner and tax payer brings a longer term perspective than a renter after a 6 month residency period cannot in a Supervisor position. If property ownership will no longer be required, what other qualifications can be added to ensure the longer term perspective and commitment to the City's success? Should the 6 month residency requirement be for a longer period of time?

Can the Charter be changed to align to the Municipal Code as it is now?

Thank you for your consideration.
Bepsy Strasburg