DRAFT

A regular meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was scheduled for 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, February 18, 2021 in the Community Center Robert "Bob" Crowell Boardroom, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT:

Mayor Lori Bagwell Supervisor Stacey Giomi, Ward 1 Supervisor Maurice White, Ward 2 Supervisor Stan Jones, Ward 3 Supervisor Lisa Schuette, Ward 4

STAFF:

Nancy Paulson, City Manager Aubrey Rowlatt, Clerk-Recorder Stephanie Hicks, Deputy City Manager Dan Yu, Assistant District Attorney Tamar Warren, Senior Public Meetings Clerk

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the Board's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the Clerk, during the meeting, are part of the public record. These materials are available for review, in the Clerk's Office, during regular business hours. All meeting minutes and audio recordings are available for review at: <u>https://www.carson.org/minutes</u>.

1 - 4. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(8:31:20) – Mayor Bagwell called the meeting to order at 8:31 a.m. Ms. Rowlatt called roll and a quorum was present. Airport Rd Church of Christ pastor Bruce Henderson provided the invocation. At Mayor Bagwell's request, Supervisor Giomi led the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

(8:33:30) – Mayor Bagwell announced that Consent Agenda item 9.A had been pulled and would not be heard, and that item 30.B would be heard immediately after the Consent Agenda. She also noted that the Board had received all the written public comments, entertained additional verbal comments, and requested that members of the public limit them to three minutes or indicate their agreement with a prior speaker.

(8:35:10) – Darlene Warnock introduced herself as a Southpointe subdivision resident and stated that she wanted to see that the lots in the area remain at the one-acre size. She was also informed by Mayor Bagwell that the three percent growth limit applied to the issued housing permits. Ms. Warnock expressed concern about all the other developments in the area in addition to the proposed Borda Crossing subdivision.

(8:37:16) – Alex Tanchek introduced himself as a beneficiary to the Tanchek Family Trust property and an adjacent property owner near the proposed Borda Crossing development. He also read a prepared statement that

DRAFT

gave background on the project and recommended that the Supervisors deny the zoning change and wished to see that the developer and the residents "come up with a reasonable agreement."

(8:40:20) – Krista Leach noted her opposition to the encroachment of high-density neighborhoods into lower density ones and cited a Nevada Appeal article that featured similar issues in Lyon County. She was not in favor of "the concept of private open space as criteria to allow smaller lot sizes in planned unit and common open space developments." Ms. Leach requested an opportunity to respond to the Supervisors during the Borda Crossing agenda item discussion.

(8:45:00) – Brenda Hunt introduced herself as the Watershed Program Manager with the Carson Water Subconservancy District and indicated her support of agenda items 20.A, 20.B, and 20.C which will amend Title 12 and Title 18 appendix of the Carson City Municipal Code (CCMC). She believed that the low impact development (LID) practices will improve the surface water quality by filtering pollutants before the waters reach the storm drains and the Carson River. Ms. Hunt noted that other benefits of LID practices including reduced flooding and improved air quality.

(8:47:59) – Karen Crandall introduced herself as a Muldoon Street resident and stated her objection to the Borda Crossing development and her support of the prior speakers against the project. She called the proposed development "out of place for Southeast Carson." She cited examples of one-acre homes that had been built and sold recently.

(8:49:54) – Michael Tanchek introduced himself as a Clearview Drive resident and an adjacent property owner to the proposed Borda Crossing development. He urged the Board to deny the zoning map amendment. Mr. Tanchek also referenced his written comments, which are incorporated into the record, and noted that the developers had not listened to the residents and cited the recreational uses of the street. He noted that he had received a letter from the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection's (NDEP's) Vulnerability Assessment Department stating that "I really should be concerned about the hazardous conditions of putting a detention basin on the Corner of Silver Sage and Center (Drives) would pose to my well."

(8:59:03) – Connie Creech introduced herself as a Center Drive resident and concurred with the previous callers' objections to the Borda Crossing project and their concerns "about the quality of life and how it equates to all of our recreation and how we live in the southeast rural area." Ms. Creech was also "concerned about my well" and recommended looking into split zoning, similar to the Southpointe development.

(9:02:12) – Mayor Bagwell thanked the callers for their comments and noted that they would be addressed during the respective agenda items.

6. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF MINUTES – JANUARY 21, 2021

(9:02:27) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained comments or corrections.

(9:02:41) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the January 21, 2021 meeting minutes with a title correction in item 26. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones and carried 5-0-0.

DRAFT

CONSENT AGENDA

(9:03:27) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and reminded everyone that item 9.A will be tabled to a future meeting. She also entertained a motion.

(9:03:46) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the Consent Agenda consisting of items 7.A, 8.A, 10.A, 11.A, and 12.A and 13.A. Supervisor White seconded the motion.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor White
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

7. City Manager

7.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON RATIFYING THE APPROVAL OF BILLS AND OTHER REQUESTS FOR PAYMENTS BY THE CITY MANAGER FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY 9, 2021 THROUGH FEBRUARY 5, 2021.

8. CLERK-RECORDER

8.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE ANNUAL TECHNOLOGY FUND REPORT REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO NRS 247.306, CONTAINING THE ESTIMATED PROCEEDS COLLECTED BY THE CARSON CITY CLERK-RECORDER IN FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2021 AND A PROPOSAL FOR THE EXPENDITURES OF THOSE PROCEEDS.

9. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

9.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST FOR A HISTORICAL TAX DEFERMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT AND ZONED RESIDENTIAL OFFICE, LOCATED AT 408 WEST ROBINSON, APN 003-236-01.

This item will be continued at a later date.

10. FINANCE

10.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE REPORT ON THE CONDITION OF EACH FUND IN THE TREASURY AND THE STATEMENTS OF

DRAFT

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES THROUGH FEBRUARY 5, 2021, PER NRS 251.030 AND NRS 354.290.

11. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS

11.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO CONTRACT NO. 1718-127 FOR FEDERAL LOBBYING SERVICES WITH PORTER GROUP, LLC, TO EXTEND THE CONTRACT TERM FOR AN ADDITIONAL YEAR THROUGH FEBRUARY 20, 2022 AND FOR A NOT TO EXCEED ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF \$49,800.

12. SHERIFF

12.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND DOUGLAS COUNTY, TO PASS \$46,000 IN GRANT FUNDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 2020 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM, TO DOUGLAS COUNTY FOR THE TRINET NARCOTICS TASK FORCE.

13. TREASURER

13.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE TREASURER'S MONTHLY STATEMENT OF ALL MONEY ON DEPOSIT, OUTSTANDING CHECKS AND CASH ON HAND FOR JANUARY 2021, SUBMITTED PER NEVADA REVISED STATUTE ("NRS") 354.280.

(END OF CONSENT AGENDA)

ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND OTHER ITEMS

14. ITEM(S) PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA WILL BE HEARD AT THIS TIME.

No items were pulled from the consent agenda.

15. Community Development

15.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE HISTORIC RESOURCES COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2020.

(9:17:04) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Planning Manager Hope Sullivan gave background and presented the 2020 Historic Resources Commission Annual Report which is incorporated into the record. Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

DRAFT

(9:19:37) – Supervisor Schuette moved to accept the Annual Report. Supervisor White seconded the motion.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Schuette
SECONDER:	Supervisor White
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

16. SHERIFF

16.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE OF A GRANT FROM THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLES PROGRAM ("STATE"), TO FUND DEVELOPMENT OF AN OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE PROGRAM BY THE CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ("CCSO") FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY 1, 2021 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2021 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$61,453, AND WHETHER TO APPROVE A PROJECT FUNDING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE STATE AND CCSO MEMORIALIZING THE ISSUANCE AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE GRANT AWARD.

(9:20:11) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Carson City Sheriff Ken Furlong introduced himself and Traffic Management Unit Supervisor Sergeant Mike Cullen. Mayor Bagwell clarified for the record that the Nevada Environmental Protection Agency Section 106 clearance had been received for question 6 of the grant application. She also entertained questions or comments regarding the Staff Report, incorporated into the record, and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(9:22:57) – Supervisor White moved to authorize acceptance of the grant and approve the project funding agreement as presented. Supervisor Giomi seconded the motion.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor White
SECONDER:	Supervisor Giomi
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

17. CITY MANAGER

DRAFT

17.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE APPOINTMENT OF SIX MEMBERS TO THE CARSON CITY CULTURAL COMMISSION, THREE MEMBERS FOR TERMS THAT WILL EXPIRE IN JANUARY 2022, TWO MEMBERS FOR TERMS THAT WILL EXPIRE IN JANUARY 2023, AND ONE MEMBER FOR A TERM THAT WILL EXPIRE IN JANUARY 2024.

(9:23:14) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and received confirmation that applicant Guadalupe Ramirez had declined and applicant Aprilina Faave was not present. She also noted that since four of the applicants were reappointments, only the two new applicants would be interviewed. The Board interviewed the two new applicants Amy Clemens and Sierra Scott who responded to the Board's questions, after which the Supervisors discussed the appointment timeframes. Supervisor Giomi recommended appointing current chair Barbara D'Anneo to the term ending in 2024, Christopher Leyva and Karen Abowd to the term ending in 2023, and appointing Elinor Bugli, Amy Clemens, and Sierra Scott to the term ending in 2022. There were no objections.

(9:45:16) – Supervisor Giomi moved to appoint Elinor Bugli, Sierra Scott, and Amy Clemens each for a term that expires in January 2022. He also moved to appoint Karen Abowd and Christopher Leyva each for a term that expires in January 2023, and to appoint Barbara D'Anneo for a term that expires in January 2024. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Schuette.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor Schuette
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

(9:45:53) – Mayor Bagwell thanked the incumbent applicants, who were not interviewed, for their service to the community. Supervisor Schuette thanked Mr. Leyva for indicating on his application that he intended "to bring the young perspective" to the Cultural Commission.

17.B FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION REGARDING THE TRANSIENT LODGING TAX, INCLUDING CONTINUATION OF THE 1% TRANSIENT LODGING TAX ("TAX") DEDICATED TO THE ARTS AND CULTURE PROGRAM CURRENTLY ADMINISTERED BY THE CARSON CITY CULTURE AND TOURISM AUTHORITY ("CTA").

(9:46:48) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Ms. Paulson gave background and presented the Staff Report which is incorporated into the record. She noted that the one percent transient lodging tax to be used primarily for the implementation of the Carson City Arts & Cultural Master Plan and development of a Cultural Tourism Campaign expires by limitation on June 1, 2021. Ms. Paulson explained that on February 8, 2021 the Carson City Culture and Tourism Authority (CTA) had approved a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to approve an ordinance continuing the tax by a vote of 4-0 (1 vacancy), which had been supported by the majority of the lodging properties. She noted that the next step was to bring an ordinance to the Board to approve the

DRAFT

removal of the tax expiration date, the first reading of which would take place on March 4, 2021. Mayor Bagwell stated that the reason for the initial sunset date was to obtain feedback that [the tax] has helped them and that "it's the right thing to do," and she was pleased that only one property had opposed the removal of the sunset date.

(9:51:01) – Supervisor Giomi indicated that the CTA had committed to be transparent to the lodging properties regarding the uses of the tax by providing a report three times per year. He added that the CTA would provide an annual report to the Board of Supervisors as well. Supervisor White was in support of the tax and the removal of the sunset date. Supervisor Jones thanked the CTA and was informed that the removal of the sunset date would be permanent. This item was not agendized for action.

18. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS

18.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PURCHASE AUTHORITY FOR ROOFING MATERIALS UTILIZING JOINDER CONTRACT NO. PW1925, FROM RACINE COUNTY, WISCONSIN, THROUGH OMNIA PARTNERS, WITH GARLAND/DBS, INC., FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$165,947.57, AND A DETERMINATION THAT CTR ROOFING LTD. IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NRS CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 20300255, LIBRARY ROOFING PROJECT, TO CTR ROOFING LTD. FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$137,500.

(9:54:47) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained comments or questions and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(9:55:17) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the purchase authority and award the contract as presented. The motion was seconded by Supervisor White.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor White
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

18.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE PURCHASE OF FOUR VEHICLES FOR THE CARSON CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT ("CCFD"), UTILIZING THE STATE OF NEVADA'S COMPETITIVE BID LIST AND A COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT THROUGH HGACBUY, FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$391,319.29.

(9:55:37) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained comments or questions and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

DRAFT

(9:55:55) – Supervisor White moved to approve the purchases as presented. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor White
SECONDER:	Supervisor Jones
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

19. FINANCE

19.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING STAFF-RECOMMENDED ASSUMPTIONS TO BE USED IN PREPARATION OF THE CARSON CITY BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2022 (JULY 1, 2021 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2022).

(9:56:20) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Chief Financial Officer Sheri Russell gave background and noted that the FY 2022 property tax rate must be set in this meeting as the State Department of Taxation required that information from all local governments by February 22, 2021. She also presented the Staff Report, including the City's FY 2022 Budget Projections, both of which are incorporated into the record, and responded to clarifying questions. Ms. Russell anticipated the official budget hearing adoption to take place during the May 20, 2021 Board meeting and noted that the final budget was due on June 1, 1021. Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

(10:26:38) – Supervisor Schuette moved to direct staff to use \$3.57 as the property tax rate in preparing the FY 2022 Carson City Budget. The motion was seconded by Supervisor White.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Schuette
SECONDER:	Supervisor White
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

20. PUBLIC WORKS

20.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT CONCERNING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 12 AND TITLE 18 APPENDIX OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE ("CCMC"), AND CONCERNING A PROPOSED "CARSON CITY DRAINAGE MANUAL" ("DRAINAGE MANUAL"),

DRAFT

WHICH TOGETHER WOULD IMPLEMENT LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT ("LID") STANDARDS IN CARSON CITY.

(10:27:25) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained Board comments or questions. Supervisor White requested clarification on the Background and Analysis section of the Staff Report, specifically the statement: *All attendees of the meeting expressed a willingness to work through site specific issues*...calling it a red flag, which would imply "unresolved issues" wishing to understand how they will be implemented. Supervisor Giomi believed that "the willingness to work together" on a specific site issue was germane to the business impact statement and recommended discussing the next two agenda items as well. Mayor Bagwell opened discussion on items 20.A, 20.B, and 20.C concurrently.

(10:31:58) – Chief Stormwater Engineer Robb Fellows provided background and explained the outreach process, noting that because every site was different, each low impact development (LID) would be handled differently. Discussion ensued, and Deputy Public Works Director Dan Stuckey ensured Supervisor White that from the engineering standpoint, we're going to treat everyone equally." Supervisor Giomi noted that the LID was a federal government requirement and believed that the Nevada Builders Alliance request to have the regulation become effective on June 1, 2021 and Staff agreed to it. Supervisor White also requested the removal of the word *social* from Section III, 12.20.020 - Declaration of purpose. of the proposed bill as it was not part of the business impact statement.

The board hereby determines and declares that:

 (a) It is necessary to establish stormwater and drainage management programs which protect water quality and water supply by the employment of a watershed approach that balances environmental; social, conservation and economic considerations.

(10:44:12) – Supervisor Schuette believed this discussion was appropriate because of the public's concerns regarding agenda items 29.B and 29.C. Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

(10:45:16) – Supervisor White moved to approve the business impact statement as presented. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Giomi.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor White
SECONDER:	Supervisor Giomi
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

20.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED CARSON CITY DRAINAGE MANUAL CONCERNING THE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT ("LID") PRACTICES AND CONTROL MEASURES NEEDED TO PROTECT WATER QUALITY

DRAFT

AND REDUCE THE DISCHARGE OF POLLUTANTS FROM THE CITY'S STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES.

(10:45:54) – Based on the discussion during item 20.A, Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

(10:46:001) – Supervisor White moved to accept the drainage manual as presented. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor White
SECONDER:	Supervisor Jones
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

20.C FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, A PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 12 AND TITLE 18 APPENDIX OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE ("CCMC") TO ESTABLISH VARIOUS PROVISIONS IMPLEMENTING LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, ESTABLISHING GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, AUTHORIZING EXEMPTIONS TO COMPLIANCE WITH LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, ADOPTING AND INCORPORATING BY REFERENCE THE CARSON CITY DRAINAGE MANUAL AND REPEALING VARIOUS PROVISIONS OF DIVISION 14 OF TITLE 18 APPENDIX.

(10:46:24) – Based on discussion during item 20.A, Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

(10:46:30) – Supervisor Giomi moved to introduce, on first reading, Bill No. 103 with the following change: removing the word "social" from Section III, 12.20.020, 1 (a), with an effective date of July 1, 2021. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Schuette.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor Schuette
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

21. RECESS AS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DRAFT

(10:47:55) – Supervisor Bagwell recessed the Board of Supervisors.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

22. CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL - REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

(11:01:08) – Chairperson Bagwell called the Redevelopment Authority meeting to order. Ms. Rowlatt called roll and noted the presence of a quorum.

23. PUBLIC COMMENT

(11:01:23) – Chairperson Bagwell entertained public comments; however, none were forthcoming.

24. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF MINUTES - JANUARY 7, 2021 AND JANUARY 21, 2021

(11:01:32) – Chairperson Bagwell introduced the item and entertained comments, corrections, or a motion.

(11:01:47) – Member White moved to approve the minutes of the January 7, 2021 and January 21, 2021 meetings. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Giomi and carried 5-0-0.

25. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

25.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2021 AND 2022 REDEVELOPMENT DISCRETIONARY FUNDS TENTATIVE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS AND ESTIMATION OF ALLOCATIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING FOUR YEARS FOR THE PURPOSES OF REDEVELOPMENT REVOLVING FUND BUDGET PLANNING TO IMPLEMENT REDEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES.

(11:02:05) – Chairperson Bagwell introduced the item. Mr. Plemel provided background, presented the Staff Report and supporting documentation, including the Proposed FY 2022 Redevelopment Discretionary Funds Budget Allocations and Projections, and responded to clarifying questions.

(11:08:25) – Member Jones was opposed to the shade structure at McFadden Plaza and believed \$20,000 was high for the V&T Round House arch conceptual plan, stating that the funds should be used for the "actual installation." Vice Chair Giomi was informed by Mr. Stucky that the funds would be used for the planning of the arch location, engineering and stakeholder input, and structural input. He also noted that he was in favor of the McFadden Plaza shade structure and recommended remaining historically accurate when placing the V&T Round House arch. Member White recommended moving the Façade Improvement Program funds to sidewalk improvement. He was also opposed to the shade structure at McFadden Plaza and the purchase of the holiday decorations. Member Schuette was in favor of infrastructure repairs; however, she believed in "the whole sense of community" and having the residents gather downtown for holiday and Nevada Day events. She was also in favor of the shade structure at McFadden Plaza and the bicycle racks. Vice Chair Giomi cautioned against overlooking the recommendations of the Redevelopment Authority Citizens Committee. Mr. Plemel reminded

DRAFT

the Authority that the projects being discussed did not comprise the entire Redevelopment budget and that they were not being asked to approve the entire budget. Chairperson Bagwell did not believe that the McFadden Plaza shade structure was the right solution and recommended utilizing the funds for sidewalk improvements in the Redevelopment Area. Further discussion ensued after which Chairperson Bagwell entertained a motion.

(11:52:45) – Member Schuette moved to accept the recommended redevelopment budget allocations with the exception of the McFadden Plaza shade structure funding which will instead be applied to Redevelopment sidewalks. The motion was seconded by Member White.

RESULT:	APPROVED (4-1-0)
MOVER:	Member Schuette
SECONDER:	Member White
AYES:	Members White, Jones, Schuette, and Chairperson Bagwell
NAYS:	Vice Chair Giomi
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

25.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF \$121,573 FROM THE FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2021 REDEVELOPMENT REVOLVING FUND FOR DOWNTOWN HOLIDAY DECORATIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$95,000, BIKE RACKS FOR BUSINESSES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000, V&T ARCH CONCEPTUAL PLANS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 AND HISTORIC V&T BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN TRAIL MARKERS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,573.

(11:53:40) – Chairperson Bagwell introduced the item. Mr. Plemel presented the Staff Report and supporting documentation. Member White recommended ordering the bicycle racks from a local vendor, if possible. Chairperson Bagwell entertained a motion.

(11:57:35) – Member White moved to recommend to the Board of Supervisors approval of the proposed expenditures with the exception of the bicycle racks which, if possible, should be purchased from a local fabricator. The motion was seconded by Member Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (4-1-0)
MOVER:	Member White
SECONDER:	Member Jones
AYES:	Members White, Jones, Schuette, and Chairperson Bagwell
NAYS:	Vice Chair Giomi
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

26. PUBLIC COMMENT

(11:58:28) – Chairperson Bagwell entertained public comments; however, none were forthcoming.

27. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: TO ADJOURN AS THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

(11:58:45) – Chairperson Bagwell adjourned the Redevelopment Authority meeting at 11:58 a.m.

28. RECONVENE AS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(11:59:16) – Mayor Bagwell reconvened the Board of Supervisors meeting at 11:59 a.m.

29. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - PLANNING

29.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE OF \$121,573 FROM THE FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2021 REDEVELOPMENT REVOLVING FUND FOR DOWNTOWN HOLIDAY DECORATIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$95,000, BIKE RACKS FOR BUSINESSES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000, V&T ARCH CONCEPTUAL PLANS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 AND HISTORIC V&T BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN TRAIL MARKERS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,573, AS EXPENSES INCIDENTAL TO THE CARRYING OUT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN.

PURSUANT TO NRS 279.628, THIS RESOLUTION MUST BE ADOPTED BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(11:59:29) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and noted that the Redevelopment Authority discussion would carry over for this item. She also entertained a motion.

(11:59:32) – Supervisor Giomi moved to adopt Resolution No. 2021-R-3. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor Jones
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

(12:00:10) – Mayor Bagwell recessed the meeting at 12:00 p.m.

(1:01:58) – Mayor Bagwell recessed the meeting at 1:01 p.m. A quorum was still present.

DRAFT

29.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING AND ESTABLISHING VARIOUS PROVISIONS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM SINGLE FAMILY 1 ACRE (SF1A) TO SINGLE FAMILY 6,000 (SF6) ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SILVER SAGE DRIVE AND CLEARVIEW DRIVE, APN 009-124-03.

(1:02:02) – Mayor Bagwell introduced items 29.B and 29.C and noted that both items will be heard concurrently but acted on separately. Supervisor Giomi read into the record a prepared disclosure statement, advised of no disqualifying conflict of interest, and stated that he would participate in discussion and action.

(1:04:03) – Associate Planner Heather Ferris provided background and reviewed the two Staff Reports, incorporated into the record. She also noted that in addition to the 19 written comments opposing the project and received during the Board's initial discussion, supplemental oral and written comments had been received for this meeting, many from the same individuals, and had been included in the packet or added as late material to accompany each item. Ms. Ferris also noted that 13 written comments accompany both agenda items. Ms. Ferris also noted that the written comments accompany both agenda items. Ms. Ferris also noted that the written comments accompany both agenda items. Ms. Ferris also noted that Mr. Plemel, Development Engineering Senior Project Manager Stephen Pottéy, applicant representative and Senior Planner at Manhard Consulting Louis Cariola, and applicant Mark Turner were present in person or via telephone to respond to questions.

(1:14:15) – At Mayor Bagwell's request, Mr. Plemel addressed the public comment regarding the three percent growth rate, noting that it was a policy set every year and that the percentage represented "the maximum percentage of population growth per year, that the Growth Management program is intended to limit." He clarified that in 2021, the number of permits for residential units set by ordinance was 672, adding that since 1981 Carson City's average growth had been "about 1.7 percent." Mr. Plemel also explained that property ownership (and changes) would not be considered for a Land Use permit which stays with the land. Supervisor Giomi requested clarification on "how the Master Plan and the zoning became disconnected," and wished to hear about the multi-unit complex permits. Mr. Plemel cited the example of the apartments near the Galaxy Theater which had been issued 375 permits for 375 apartment units. He also clarified that for some areas such as the Downtown Mixed Use zoning district, the zoning had been actively changed to match the Master Plan; however, areas like the Borda Crossing that were designated as higher density "would be with new development and with property owner requests as they come through," calling it a typical way of implementing a Master Plan.

(1:22:45) – Mr. Pottéy stated that he would address the following public comment concerns:

- Wellhead protection
- Undergrounding of utilities
- Standards to which will the new street be built
- The City's legal right to extend the pavement (Center Drive)
- The new road: through street versus a cul-de-sac

(1:23:27) - Mr. Pottéy recommended that Mr. Tanchek share the aforementioned NDEP letter he has received regarding the detention basin for Staff, who wished to ensure that the site improvement plans are applicable to State codes, and to review "which codes it's referring to." He also stated that subdivision improvement plans are

DRAFT

required to be reviewed and approved by NDEP prior to the issuance of a permit. Mr. Pottéy referenced the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 534.390 which referred to wells near rivers, lakes, perennial streams, and canals. He explained that detention basins are required to be 100 feet away from septic tanks, and the proposed basin is about 90 feet away from Mr. Tanchek's property line. Mr. Pottéy noted that the proposed undergrounding of the utilities was "a standard condition required by the Carson City Municipal Code (CCMC)." He also explained that all new streets must meet City standards. Mr. Pottéy noted that "the subject parcel showed a 60-foot right-of-way all around the parcel," adding that "the legal description of Mr. Tanchek's property does describe it as starting at the center of [Center Drive]." He recommended an addition to Condition 33 to state: "the extents of these paving improvements will be limited to the extents allowed by the requirements of any existing that it was necessary for the proposed street to connect Silver Sage Drive and Center Drive "because it provides multi-modal connectivity consistent with the City's adopted Complete Streets Policy" as it would approve accessibility to first responders and would improve efficiency for winter maintenance.

(1:29:35) – Ms. Sullivan addressed the City's policies regarding its rural areas. She wished to specifically address a public comment and stated that the City valued the rural areas and it valued one-acre lots. She also referenced the Master Plan and pointed out the low-density areas the residents of which had provided public comment. She noted that "the Board has policies to protect these rural areas"; however, they did have an edge. Based on a question from Supervisor White, Ms. Ferris advised Mr. Pottéy to email the addition to Condition 33 to Mr. Plemel in order to project it on the screen. Mayor Bagwell addressed Ms. Warnock's request to keep the lots at one-acre, referencing the Master Plan and the zoning discussion that had occurred earlier in the discussion. She also acknowledged Supervisor Giomi's request to update the zoning when the Master Plan is updated as it was "hard on the public" and believed that having two exit points was safer in case of emergencies. Mayor Bagwell received confirmation that the number of homes had been reduced from 39 to 28 homes and a "buffer track all the way around the property" had been created by the developer. She also understood that the new project had been supported by some of the residents once they had seen it.

(1:38:13) – Mayor Bagwell received confirmation from Mr. Cariola that the applicant had made concessions by providing 56 and 65 feet of separation from the existing development property lines and that there were only two lots fronting Center Drive on the east side. He also addressed buffers and enhanced landscaping. Supervisor Schuette noted that the neighbors had acknowledged an upcoming development; however, they had hoped that "they would not bear the brunt of the transition." She also believed that "east side is the area that is impacted." She acknowledged that the area was used as open space for equestrian purposes and even though she supported development in the area, she wished to see the developers redesign the buffer to accommodate them. Supervisor White did not "see consensus today" and would not support the proposed zoning change. Mayor Bagwell explained the process by which she would evaluate projects which included a "reliance on the Planning Commission and the determination whether the evidence supports their decision." She also stated that she would look for evidentiary reasons to overturn a decision by the Planning Commission and noted that the Master Plan, which she called the consensus document by the community, matched what was being requested. She believed that "everyone enjoys their home" and that is why projects are objected to. She referenced Mr. Tanchek's request that was being addressed by Mr. Pottéy's addition to Condition 33. She did not see any evidence not to allow the development pursuant to the CCMC, as the City needed to provide evidence when denving a project. Supervisor Jones addressed another nearby development that had been approved and believed that the developers had made

DRAFT

necessary concessions such as reducing the number of homes and creating buffer areas with open space. He also indicated his support to the project.

(1:56:08) – Supervisor Giomi indicated he had received comments opposing the common open space law as written, which allows developers to decrease lot sizes by increasing the common open space areas. He noted that he had also received supporting communication to the law and highlighted the City's prioritization of open space. Supervisor Giomi explained that he was on the team working with Mr. Plemel on the Master Plan which was designed to curb urban sprawl. He believed that the previous submission of the development plan showed "incompatibility in rezoning and utilizing all of that parcel as 6,000 square foot lots." He was not certain this solution was ideal; however, it provided compatibility with the Master Plan, which he believed did not get implemented for many years due to the recession. Supervisor Schuette believed that the project could be returned to the developer to be designed as a cul-de-sac; however, the developer could return with a project that accommodates more homes. Mayor Bagwell reiterated her objection to a single access point to the neighborhood for safety issues and cited such an experience in her neighborhood.

(2:08:00) – Ms. Sullivan clarified that the findings were consistent with the Master Plan and that the zoning map amendment must also be consistent with the Master Plan. She also addressed the infrastructure needs for a medium-density neighborhood. Ms. Sullivan noted that the Board had three choices in relation to the Tentative Map: to approve it, to deny it, or to approve it with conditions. She also explained that per the Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) the Board had 60 days for those choices unless the developer agreed to continue the item. Mr. Yu read into the record the applicable sections of the NRS regarding the 60-day approval timeframe and confirmed Ms. Sullivan's comments. Supervisor White inquired about having the new road extend to Clearview Drive, and Mr. Pottéy noted that there were minimum distances that must be adhered to. Mayor Bagwell entertained additional comments and when none were forthcoming, a motion regarding item 29.B only.

(2:15:10) – Supervisor Jones moved to introduce, on first reading, Bill No. 104. Mayor Bagwell seconded the motion. She also reminded the public that a second reading would be agendized for another vote. Supervisors Giomi and Schuette noted that should additional issues arise, they would be discussed during the second reading. Mayor Bagwell called for the vote.

RESULT:	APPROVED (4-1-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Jones
SECONDER:	Mayor Bagwell
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	Supervisor White
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

29.C FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST FOR A TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP KNOWN AS BORDA CROSSING, PROPOSING TO CREATE 28 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LOTS ON A 5.27 ACRE PARCEL ZONED SINGLE

DRAFT

FAMILY 1 ACRE (SF1A), LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SILVER SAGE DRIVE AND CLEARVIEW DRIVE, APN 009-124-03.

(2:18:11) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and reminded the Board of the addition to Condition 33 to read: *the extents of these paving improvements will be limited to the extents allowed by the requirements of any existing easements or agreements governing this access way.* She also entertained further discussion and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(2:19:16) – Mayor Bagwell moved to approve SUB-2020-0016, based on the ability to make the required findings in the affirmative and subject to the conditions of approval recommended by the Planning Commission with the addition to Condition No. 33 read into the record by the Mayor. Supervisor Schuette wished to see a condition to have the developers listen to the neighborhood and for the neighborhood to hear from the developers.

(2:22:40) – Mr. Turner thanked everyone for their input and explained that they had observed all the discussion during the previous development discussion, which had prompted them to provide a design featuring a 25 percent reduction in density. He also noted that they had created large open space areas near Clearview Drive and the Southpointe subdivision to protect as many surrounding property owners as possible "knowing that we couldn't protect everybody – it's just not able to be done, given the geometry of the site." However, he offered to have removable bollards to provide emergency use to the street. He believed the traffic would go towards Carson Street and the Freeway and not to the Center Street access, calling it a public street belonging to Carson City. He believed that closing off the street would indicate that a portion of the street "belongs only to the residents of Center Drive." He also addressed the equestrian use noting that they welcome "the combination of the activities that go on the larger parcels of Carson City." Mr. Turner believed that the developed property would provide additional tax revenue to the City, considering it a positive aspect.

(2:29:30) – Supervisor Giomi reminded the Board that ownership of Center Drive "may be under debate." Ms. Sullivan recommended working with the Fire Marshal on the use of bollards or other equipment. Deputy Public Works Director Dan Stucky noted that due to "history on public versus private streets" having the connectivity would justify the public street, adding that should the street become a cul-de-sac or have bollards, the Public Works Department would recommend it become a private street as it would only serve a particular development. Supervisor Giomi was in favor of closing the street with the Fire Department's recommendation. Supervisor White believed that the item now becomes an issue of private property rights and did not believe additional pressure was warranted on the developer. Mayor Bagwell was willing to add to the motion another condition that the developer could work with the Fire Department and the City Engineer to determine whether an appropriate methodology could be possible to open Center Drive to emergency use. Supervisor White seconded the motion.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Mayor Bagwell
SECONDER:	Supervisor White
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

DRAFT

30. HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

30.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RATIFICATION OF THE SUBMISSION OF A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, REQUESTING A TOTAL OF \$277,947 FOR A 2-YEAR GRANT FROM THE FUND FOR A HEALTHY NEVADA.

(2:38:10) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained questions/comments by the Board and when none were forthcoming a motion.

(2:38:36) – Supervisor Giomi moved to ratify submission of the grant application. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor Jones
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

30.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE APPOINTMENT OF A COUNTY HEALTH OFFICER FOR CARSON CITY AND WHETHER TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 20300270, COUNTY HEALTH OFFICER, FOR A TERM BEGINNING MARCH 1, 2021 AND TERMINATING ON DECEMBER 31, 2022, FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,500 PER MONTH FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$55,000 TO BE FUNDED FROM THE HEALTH ADMINISTRATION PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ACCOUNT IN THE GENERAL FUND.

(9:04:15) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and thanked Dr. Colleen Lyons for applying for the County Health Officer position. Dr. Lyons participated telephonically in an interview by the Board of Supervisors, while on vacation, and emphasized communication with citizens whether through public speaking engagements or authoring articles and letters to the editor. The Board interviewed Dr. Lyons by asking questions about the quarantine and about building relationships with coworkers and the public. Supervisor White thanked her for vacationing in "nowhere, Nevada." At Supervisor Giomi's request, Carson City Health and Human Services (CCHS) Director Nicki Aaker reviewed the orientation plan she had prepared for Dr. Lyons which included shadowing staff members at their jobs. Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

(9:16:28) – Supervisor Jones moved to appoint Dr. Colleen Lyons as the Carson City Health Officer and to approve the contract as presented. Supervisor Schuette seconded the motion.

DRAFT

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Jones
SECONDER:	Supervisor Schuette
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

30.C FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE MAYOR, TO EXECUTE ANY FUTURE LEASE AGREEMENT FOR REAL PROPERTY TO BE USED BY CARSON CITY AS COVID-19 VACCINATION SITES FOR THE DURATION OF THE STATE OF EMERGENCY DECLARED BY THE NEVADA GOVERNOR AS THE RESULT OF THE CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC, IF THE AGREEMENT DOES NOT EXCEED \$50,000 AND IS PAID BY AVAILABLE GRANT FUNDING.

(2:39:00) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained questions/comments from the Board and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(2:39:15) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve Resolution No. 2021-R-4. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Schuette.

RESULT:	APPROVED (4-1-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor Schuette
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	Supervisor White
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

31. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

31.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING DIRECTIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING CARSON CITY DEPARTMENTAL AND STAFF FUNCTIONS IN CARSON CITY AS A CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY IN RELATION TO THE EXERCISE OF EMERGENCY POWERS PURSUANT TO NRS CHAPTERS 244 AND 414 AND CCMC CHAPTER 6.02 FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENSURING THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE IN CARSON CITY IN RESPONSE TO THE GLOBAL CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19) PANDEMIC.

DRAFT

(2:40:20) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Quad County Public Health Preparedness Manager Jeanne Freeman gave background and updated the Board on the COVID-19 activities, including the volunteer management activities by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). She also noted the opening of vaccinations to the Community Support Frontline Staff and the upcoming vaccination availability to the 65 plus group. Ms. Freeman stated that the vaccines had been delayed this week due to national weather issues; therefore, appointments had been rescheduled and as of now, they had no vaccines on hand. She also thanked all the partners that have been assisting from neighboring counties and responded to clarifying questions by the Board.

(2:52:58) – Carson City Health and Human Services (CCHHS) Director Nicki Aaker announced that the COVID-19 test positivity rate was at 6.4 percent and that Carson City was no longer "flagged". She also expressed concern that the number of tests had declined. Ms. Aaker provided the following statistics for the period of January 31, 2021-February 13, 2021 and responded to clarifying questions:

- 95 confirmed cases in Carson City (19 percent decrease from the previous period)
- 7 average daily cases
- 47 percent female
- 52 percent male
- One percent preferred not to answer
- Average age of Carson City cases: 41 years-old
- Four known hospitalizations
- Six students and one faculty member tested positive
- Community exposure was the largest cause of infection (58 percent), followed by household exposure (27 percent)
- Masks will still be mandated with the new recovery plan

31.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING COORDINATION OF LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITY ON PENDING STATE LEGISLATION BEFORE THE NEVADA LEGISLATURE AND WHETHER TO ADOPT AN OFFICIAL POLICY POSITION OR DIRECT STAFF AND CARSON CITY'S RETAINED LOBBYIST TO ADVOCATE FOR OR AGAINST ANY SUCH LEGISLATION, INCLUDING THE SUBMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDATORY LANGUAGE.

(3:02:17) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Ms. Paulson referenced the Staff Report with supporting material and the late material, all of which are incorporated into the record. She also noted that Staff was seeking direction from the Board on whether the City should support, oppose, or remain neutral on applicable legislative matters. Ms. Paulson reviewed four items and after discussion, the Board took action on the following:

(3:04:02) – Supervisor Giomi moved to oppose AB83. The motion was seconded by Supervisor White.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor White
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

DRAFT

(3:11:05) – Supervisor White moved to oppose AB90. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor White
SECONDER:	Supervisor Jones
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

(3:16:10) – Supervisor Giomi moved to support SB98. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Schuette.

RESULT:	APPROVED (4-1-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor Giomi
SECONDER:	Supervisor Schuette
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	Supervisor White
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

Mayor Bagwell reminded the public that the City will be following the appropriate legislative issues and the items will be posted on the City's website.

(3:24:27) – Supervisor White moved to oppose the creation of innovation zones as submitted (a formal bill number has not yet been issued to the item). The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones.

RESULT:	APPROVED (5-0-0)
MOVER:	Supervisor White
SECONDER:	Supervisor Jones
AYES:	Supervisors Giomi, White, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None
ABSENT:	None

32. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – NON-ACTION ITEMS

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS STATUS REVIEW OF PROJECTS INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS CORRESPONDENCE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS STATUS REPORTS AND COMMENTS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

DRAFT

STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORT

(3:24:57) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and encouraged the Supervisors to familiarize themselves with Title 4.13 prior to an upcoming Liquor and Entertainment Board meeting.

(3:25:47) – Supervisor White announced the newly created and unofficially named Homeless Outreach Team and encouraged the members to participate in a ride-along with the Carson City Sheriff's Office. Supervisor White also updated the Board on the Nevada Association of Counties meeting which had received a briefing from the Reno Tahoe Airport. He also informed the Board that the Nevada State Prison Preservation Society had received a change to its certificate of occupancy and was no longer a prison.

(3:33:50) – Ms. Paulson informed the Board that Staff will be working on a reopening plan with direction form the Governor's Office to present to the Board in April 2021.

(3:34:30) – Supervisor Schuette thanked Judges Tom Armstrong and Kristin Lewis for having her attend specialty court.

CLOSED NON-MEETING TO CONFER WITH COUNSEL

Mayor Bagwell announced that this portion of the meeting will take place after adjournment.

33. PUBLIC COMMENT

(3:36:16) – Mayor Bagwell entertained final public comments. Ms. Leach read a prepared statement expressing frustration that she had not had the opportunity to comment during the discussion of item 29.C while the developer had. She also called the Master Plan outdated and cited Schulz Ranch and Southpointe developments as those with transition lots.

34. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: TO ADJOURN

(3:38:42) – Mayor Bagwell adjourned the meeting at 3:38 p.m.

DRAFT

The Minutes of the February 18, 2021 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting are so approved on this 18th day of March, 2021.

LORI BAGWELL, Mayor

ATTEST:

AUBREY ROWLATT, Clerk – Recorder

Attachments: Emailed Public Comments