

I have been a resident of Carson City for 35 years and have paid property taxes for nearly as long.

I offer the following suggestions for consideration in review of the Carson City Charter.

**Section 2.090, item 1** states “not repugnant to the Constitution”. The use of the word “repugnant” is vague and subjective. I suggest replacing “repugnant” with “not in conflict with” or something similar.

**Section 2.110, item 3 Emergency Ordinance:** An emergency ordinance should be applicable for a limited time, perhaps 30 days, before it is required to go through the regular process of ordinance adoption including an opportunity for public input.

**Section 3.010, Mayor: duties item 1(c)** “Shall perform such emergency duties as may be necessary for the general health, welfare and safety of Carson City”. Emergency duties of the mayor should be for a limited time, before the Mayor is required to obtain approval of the Board of Supervisors including an opportunity for public input.

The past two years have demonstrated that emergency powers have been systematically abused and appropriate boundaries need to be established to avoid devastating consequences to businesses and the community. Fundamental rights, which up until recently, were presented as invaluable, have been trampled in the name of an emergency. *Today a health emergency, tomorrow an ecological emergency and after that ....*

Thank-you for the opportunity to submit comments on the Carson City Charter. I would appreciate being notified of public meetings where the Charter will be discussed

Respectfully submitted,  
Adele Basham

## CHARTER REVIEW SUGGESTION FORM

Please include your name and contact information in the respective fields in case we need to reach out for more information regarding your suggested changes.

\* Full Name

Mr. Richard J. Arguile

Phone

[REDACTED]

\* Email

[REDACTED]

\* Paragraph Text

"Six for Sixty". 1970 census pop. 15,468 4 wards Each Supervisor representing 3,867. 2020 census pop. 58,639 \$ wards Each Supervisor representing 14,660. Population will reach 60,000 in two to three years. ( City Plan) Too large a community to campaign city-wide for Supervisor now - Expensive Elections. Too few Supervisors for the tasks for a larger community. Suggestion: Two new wards created bringing total Supervisors to Six. Elections: Presidential Election Year: Ward 2 and Ward 4 plus New Ward A (Half the City Votes) Non-Presidential Election Year: Ward 1 and Ward 3 plus New Ward B (Half the City Votes) Half city voting at large each two years increases the potential diversity of Supervisors to represent fast-growing minorities. Less committee work for each Supervisor with six sharing the committee assignments. Six Supervisors reduces the influence of outside developers on planning growth.

## CHARTER REVIEW SUGGESTION FORM

Please include your name and contact information in the respective fields in case we need to reach out for more information regarding your suggested changes.

\* Full Name

Mr. Edward B. Choklek

Phone

[REDACTED]

\* Email

[REDACTED]

\* **Paragraph Text**

I am suggesting that Carson City adopt a new ordinance that all vehicles owned by Carson City residents be assessed an annual registration fee to provide a new revenue stream for road and street capital projects and for ongoing maintenance and repair. This new fee can be structured by vehicle class (motorcycles, cars, trucks, recreational vehicles, etc.) or by vehicle gross weight categories.