Public Comments Planning Commission Dated May 31^{st} 2023 Bepsy Strasburg

There is no established methodology in the Growth Management ordinance on how to calculate the number of allocations per year. Only essential resources must be considered in determining the annual allocations. Current practice is to use a 3% growth rate of prior year allowable permits even though a range of 2% to 3% is given in attachment D.

The average rate of annual permits from 2018 to 2022 is 265 with the max of 350 in 2022 because of the large number of **multi-family units** of 176 permits in 2022.

Annual approved permits were significantly less than the # of permits allocated by the Growth Management Committee. There is no justification to use 3% growth based on actual usage over the last 5 years.

This Commission can approve, approve with conditions or deny the due to the adverse impact on City Resources.

With the exception of the Fire Department which is getting 4th fire station and the School District which is experiencing a reduction in enrollments, the other departments anticipate an increased workload OR a downside impact of additional growth. Departments are only projecting for 2024 with their budgets just finalized in April/May 2023. This budget had no funds to chip away the annual road maintenance deficit.

The premise is all departments will get the additional budget needed to sustain the increased service levels which is not sustainable without raising taxes and therefore, this assumption is unfounded.

		Public Works	Department
demand model will not be completed until early 2024. Therefore in no position to sustain any growth let alone keep pact with current inventory	Waste Water, StormWater and Landfill can sustain 3% growth Transportation has an annual deficit of \$21M in road maintenance and their travel	capital improvement projects or water storage, treatment, distribution and production facilities	Response to accommodate growth of 3% in 2024 Can accommodate 3% growth until 2024 depending on completion of planned

support additional growth?	Human limiting the # of annual permits. Wasn't the qu	Requires additional headcount to accommodat
growth?	Wasn't	t to accomi
	ther the current resources	nodate growth. But still supports not

Has in	Has increase capacity with 4th Fire Station but still recommends automatic
rtment sprink	ers

Fire Depar

Services

Health &

LYLE WALLERIAL
PL- COMM

Largest manpower department of Carson City but anticipated downside impact of congested apartment style housing which has been largest growing segment of annual permits, growth of homeless and mental health crisis intervention will over whelm even this highly resourced department.

School Enrollment is decreasing at elementary and High School level.

Sheriff

Need to increase service levels at the 3% growth but wants not to limit residential growth as it will be counterproductive to economic growth (speculation). Requests additional funding and water resources to sustain growth

Parks & Recreation

Planning staff recommendation is to maximize flexibility and to facilitate Developers to get financing for proposed developments. This is outside the requirements of this ordinance. Similarly, whether the allocation will suppress the economic activity of Carson City or impact the Developer's ability to increase housing supply or reduce the affordability of housing.

I urge this Commission to use their discretion to establish a fixed # for allowable permits based on actual usage of allocations between 2018 and 2022. There is no justification to allocate additional permits when the Planning staff, have concluded that growth will be at a moderate pace (page 11 of staff report) and there is no mandated methodology to use a 3% growth rate of prior year allocation of permits.

Thank you for your time.

2027	2026	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018		_			
60305	59908	59508	59116	58722	58314	57073	56434	56151	56057	on	Populati (
0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	2.2%	1.1%	0.5%	0.2%		%	Growth F	_	-0	
834	811	788	765	750	350	200	177	278	322	ed	Propos a	_	Permits	
		813	766	744	_					on U	altocati s D	Year Pe	Prior ge Single	Ā
				(265		T-LE			sed	co	imit	ge :	Avera
				44	124	163	133	155		hed	Detac /	amily	Single	*
				12	48	28	44	58		d	Attache I	Family	ge Single Single	*
				0	N	ď٦	0	ω		ex F	dug			
				24	176	4	0	62		amily	Multi-			
0	0	0	0	80	350	200	7. 6. 7.	2700			i- Tota [
				55%	35%	82%	75%	56%		d %	etache	Family	Single	
				15%	14%	14%	25%	21%		d %	Attache	Family	Single	
				0%	1%	3%	0%	1%		%	Duplex			
				30%	50%	2%	0%	22%		%	Multi-Family			
				100.00%) 100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%		Total				

permits used between 2018 - 2022 permits given to Multi-Family housing in 2022